

## Note

---

# On a paper of Agur, Fraenkel and Klein

Andrew Granville

*Department of Mathematics, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ont., Canada M5S 1A1*

Received 7 September 1988;

Revised 13 February 1989

### *Abstract*

Granville, A., On a paper of Agur, Fraenkel and Klein, *Discrete Mathematics* 94 (1991) 147–151.

We count binary strings where the possible numbers of successive 0's and 1's are restricted.

For given sets  $A$  and  $B$  of positive integers define, for each  $n \geq 1$ ,  $S(A, B; n)$  to be the set of vectors  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  in  $\{0, 1\}^n$  which do not contain a subvector  $(x_j, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_{j+c}, x_{j+c+1})$  of the form  $(1, 0, 0, \dots, 0, 0, 1)$  (with  $c$  zeros) for any  $c \notin A$  or the form  $(0, 1, 1, \dots, 1, 1, 0)$  (with  $c$  ones) for any  $c \notin B$  (here the indices of the  $x_i$ 's are taken (mod  $n$ )). (A vector in  $\{0, 1\}^n$  is called a 'binary string with  $n$  bits'). Let  $\Psi(A, B; n)$  be the number of elements in  $S(A, B; n) \setminus \{(0, 0, \dots, 0), (1, 1, \dots, 1)\}$ . We prove the following.

**Theorem.** *For any given sets  $A$  and  $B$  of positive integers,*

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \Psi(A, B; n)x^n = -x \frac{d}{dx} \log(1 - f(x)g(x))$$

where  $f(x) = \sum_{a \in A} x^a$  and  $g(x) = \sum_{b \in B} x^b$ .

(N.B.  $f$  and  $g$  converge inside the unit disk, centred at the origin, and so, henceforth assume that  $|x| < 1$ . We call  $f$  the 'characteristic generating function' of the set  $A$ .)

In [1], Agur, Fraenkel and Klein considered the two examples  $A = B = \{\text{integers } n \geq 2\}$  and  $A = B = \{1, 2\}$  and came to an equivalent result by a

---

different method (Equation (1) below gives this equivalence explicitly). The first example appears in connection with a model of information processing called 'majority rule'. They actually had a set of  $k$  integers  $\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k\}$  and  $k$  complex numbers  $\{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_k\}$  such that  $\Psi(A, B; n) = \sum_{i=1}^k c_i \gamma_i^n$  for each  $n \geq 1$ . We shall derive, from the Theorem, necessary and sufficient conditions for when such a result holds.

**Corollary.** *Let  $A, B, f$  and  $g$  be as in the Theorem. There exist integers  $c_1, \dots, c_k$  and complex numbers  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k$  such that  $\Psi(A, B; n) = \sum_{i=1}^k c_i \gamma_i^n$  for each  $n \geq 1$  if and only if  $f(x)g(x)$  is a rational function.*

**Remark.** In the two examples above one has

$$f(x) = g(x) = x^2/(1-x) \quad \text{and} \quad f(x) = g(x) = x(1+x)$$

and so  $f(x)g(x)$  is a rational function.

We now proceed to the following.

**Proof of the Theorem.** We will first consider strings in  $S(A, B; n)$  which begin with 1 (i.e.,  $x_1 = 1$ ), and write them in the abbreviated form

$$1^t 0^{a_1} 1^{b_1} 0^{a_2} \dots 1^{b_{m-1}} 0^{a_m} 1^u$$

which corresponds to the vector which starts with  $t$  ones, then  $a_1$  zeros,  $b_1$  ones,  $\dots$ ,  $a_m$  zeros and finally  $u$  ones. Such a string is counted by  $\Psi(A, B; n)$  if and only if  $m \geq 1$ ,  $t \geq 1$ , each  $a_i \in A$  and  $b_i \in B$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$  where  $b_m = u + t \geq t$ , and  $\sum_{i=1}^m (a_i + b_i) = n$ . Therefore the number of such strings is precisely the coefficient of  $x^n$  in

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m \geq 1} \sum_{t \geq 1} x^t f(x)g(x) \cdots f(x)g(x)f(x) \sum_{u \geq 0, b = u+t \in B} x^u \\ &= \sum_{m \geq 1} f(x)^m g(x)^{m-1} \sum_{t \geq 1} \sum_{b \geq t, b \in B} x^b \\ &= \sum_{m \geq 1} f(x)^m g(x)^{m-1} \sum_{b \in B} b x^b \\ &= \frac{f(x)}{1 - f(x)g(x)} \cdot x g'(x). \end{aligned}$$

By counting the strings in  $S(A, B; n)$  that begin with a 0, in an analogous way, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 0} \Psi(A, B; n) x^n &= \frac{x(f(x)g'(x) + f'(x)g(x))}{1 - f(x)g(x)} \\ &= -x \frac{d}{dx} \log(1 - f(x)g(x)). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

**Proof of the Corollary.** Sir Isaac Newton implicitly used the following identity in his work on symmetric polynomials: For any integers  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k$  and complex numbers  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_k$ ,

$$x \frac{d}{dx} \left\{ \log \left[ \prod_{i=1}^k (1 - \gamma_i x)^{-c_i} \right] \right\} = \sum_{n \geq 1} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^k c_i \gamma_i^n \right] x^n. \tag{1}$$

The corollary can be deduced immediately from comparing this identity to the Theorem, and then invoking the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus.  $\square$

When the characteristic generating function of a set  $A$  can be written as a rational function then one can deduce precise information about the structure of  $A$ .

**Proposition.** *Suppose that  $f(x)$  is the characteristic generating function of the set  $A$ . Then  $f(x)$  is a rational function if and only if  $A$  consists of the integers belonging to some finite union of arithmetic progressions with, at most, finitely many exceptions.*

I had hoped that a similar result might be deduced for a product of characteristic generating functions  $f(x)g(x)$ , so that if this were a rational function then the sets  $A$  and  $B$  might both be finite unions of arithmetic progressions with finitely many exceptions. This would have given a delightful conclusion to the Corollary! However, this conjecture is incorrect, as may be seen from the clever counterexample provided independently by Michael Albert and Neil Calkin, and by Paul Erdős:

Let  $A$  be the set of sums of even powers of 2 and let  $B$  be the set of sums of odd powers of 2 (include 0 in both sets). Now as any integer  $n \geq 1$  can be written in a unique way as a sum of distinct powers of 2 so  $\Psi(A, B; n) = 1$  and therefore  $f(x)g(x) = 1/(1-x)$  is a rational function.

On the other hand, any integer  $n$  that lies in an interval of the form  $[2^{2k-1}, 2^{2k})$  cannot belong to the set  $A$ , as  $2^{2k-1}$  appears when we write  $n$  as a sum of distinct powers of 2. So, as these intervals grow to be arbitrarily large,  $A$  cannot contain all positive integers from some point onwards of *any* arithmetic progression.

**Proof of the Proposition.** Any finite union of arithmetic progressions may be rewritten as a finite union of *disjoint* arithmetic progressions, with a common modulus  $m$  (which is the least common multiple of the original moduli). (As an example, the union of 1 (mod 2) and 2 (mod 3) may be written as the union of 1, 2, 3, and 5 (mod 6).) Thus the characteristic generating function of such a set  $A$  is

$$\sum_{r \in R} \frac{x^r}{1 - x^m}$$

where the set  $R \subseteq \{0, 1, \dots, m-1\}$  is composed of the least nonnegative integer in each of the arithmetic progressions. In order to add a finite set of integers  $S$  and to remove a finite set of integers  $T$  from  $A$  we need only add the polynomial  $\sum_{s \in S} x^s - \sum_{t \in T} x^t$  to our generating function. Therefore if  $A$  is a finite union of arithmetic progressions except at most finitely many integers, then it has a characteristic generating function of the form  $u(x)/(1-x^m)$  where  $u(x)$  is some polynomial and  $m$  some positive integer.

On the other hand suppose that  $f(x) = u(x)/v(x)$  where  $u(x)$  and  $v(x) = v_0 + v_1x + \dots + v_dx^d$  are polynomials without a common zero. Note that  $v_0 \neq 0$  else  $v(0) = 0$  and  $u(0) = f(0)v(0) = 0$ , implying that  $u$  and  $v$  do have a common zero. Let  $n_0$  be the maximum of the degrees of  $u(x)$  and  $v(x)$ . Let  $p_a = 1$  if  $a \in A$  and 0 otherwise, so that  $f(x) = \sum_{i \geq 0} p_i x^i$ . Also for any  $n \geq n_0$  define the vector  $c_n = (p_n, p_{n-1}, \dots, p_{n-d})$ .

Now, as the value of each  $p_i$  is either 0 or 1, we see that there are only finitely many distinct vectors  $c_n$ . Therefore, by the Pigeonhole Principle, we can find values  $k$  and  $k+m$ , with  $m \geq 1$ ,  $k \geq n_0 + 1$ , for which  $c_{k+m} = c_k$ . We shall now prove that  $c_{n+m} = c_n$  for each  $n \geq k$ , by induction on  $n$ : We are given the result for  $n = k$  and so assume that  $c_{n-1+m} = c_{n-1}$ . Therefore  $p_{n+m-i} = p_{n-i}$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, d$ . Then by comparing the coefficients of  $x^n$  and  $x^{n+m}$  on both sides of the equation

$$v(x)f(x) = u(x),$$

we get

$$\sum_{i=0}^d v_i p_{n-i} = \sum_{i=0}^d v_i p_{n+m-i} = 0. \quad (2)$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} v_0 p_{n+m} &= - \sum_{i=1}^d v_i p_{n+m-i} && \text{by (2)} \\ &= - \sum_{i=1}^d v_i p_{n-i} && \text{by the induction hypothesis} \\ &= v_0 p_n && \text{by (2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $p_{n+m} = p_n$  as  $v_0 \neq 0$ .

Finally, as  $c_{n+m} = c_n$  for each  $n \geq k$ , so  $p_{n+m} = p_n$  for each  $n \geq k$  and so, if  $a \geq k$  we see that  $a \in A$  if and only if  $a+m \in A$ . The result follows immediately.  $\square$

At first sight it seems that the main difficulty in the above proof lies in showing that whenever the characteristic generating function of some set is the rational function  $u(x)/v(x)$  then  $v(x)$  divides  $1-x^m$  for some  $m \geq 1$ . Actually it is possible to generalize this (though with some difficulty) to the following result.

*If  $f_1(x), f_2(x), \dots, f_k(x)$  are the characteristic generating functions of  $k$  sets of nonnegative integers, such that  $f_1(x)f_2(x) \cdots f_k(x)$  is the rational function  $u(x)/v(x)$  then  $v(x)$  divides  $(1-x^m)^k$  for some  $m \geq 1$ .*

Unfortunately, as we saw from the above counterexample, this does not imply that each  $f_i(x)$  takes the form  $u_i(x)/(1 - x^m)$ .

### **Acknowledgements**

I would like to thank Michael Albert, Neil Calkin and Paul Erdős for finding the counterexample mentioned herein.

### **References**

- [1] Z. Agur, A.S. Fraenkel and S.T. Klein, The number of fixed points of the majority rule, *Discrete Math.* 70 (1988) 295–302.