

CORRIGENDUM FOR

**PRIME DIVISORS ARE POISSON DISTRIBUTED**

ANDREW GRANVILLE

Kevin Ford pointed out that the proof of Theorem 3 of [1] contains several significant mistakes (perhaps I did not check it over carefully enough because it is the most easily proved result in the paper!). We give here a correct proof of a slightly modified version of that Theorem.

Almost all integers up to  $x$  have  $\sim \log \log x$  distinct prime factors. In our article [1] we examined the distribution of the sizes of those prime factors, and Theorem 3 looked at the integers with far fewer prime factors than is typical. If  $S_k(x)$  denotes the set of integers  $n \leq x$  which have exactly  $k$  distinct prime factors then it is well-known that

$$(1) \quad |S_k(x)| = \frac{x}{\log x} \frac{(\log \log x)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} \left\{ 1 + O\left(\frac{k}{\log \log x}\right) \right\}$$

for  $k = o(\log \log x)$ . We will denote by  $p_1(n) < p_2(n) < \dots < p_k(n)$  the distinct prime factors of  $n \in S_k(x)$ . Theorem 3 of [1] shows that the numbers

$$\{\log \log p_i(n) / \log \log n : 1 \leq i \leq k-1\}$$

are distributed on  $(0, 1)$  like  $k-1$  random numbers, as we vary over  $n \in S_k(x)$ , for  $k = o(\log \log x)$ . More precisely we prove the following:

**Theorem 3** (of [1]). *Suppose that  $2 \leq k = o(\log \log x)$ . Let  $\epsilon \in [1/\log \log x, 1/k)$  and  $\alpha_0 = 0 < \alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \dots < \alpha_{k-1} \leq \alpha_k = 1$  where  $\alpha_{j+1} - \alpha_j \geq \epsilon$  for each  $j$  and  $\alpha_k - \alpha_{k-1} \geq \epsilon + \log \log \log x / \log \log x$ . Then there are*

$$(k-1)! \epsilon^{k-1} |S_k(x)| \left\{ 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon \log \log x}\right) \right\}$$

*integers  $n \in S_k(x)$  for which  $\log \log p_i(n) / \log \log x \in [\alpha_i, \alpha_i + \epsilon)$  for every  $i$  in the range  $1 \leq i \leq k-1$ .*

---

L'auteur est partiellement soutenu par une bourse de la CRSNG du Canada. Thanks are due to Kevin Ford for finding my mistake and for reading over this corrigendum.

Key Words: Prime divisors, Local distribution, Permutation, Poisson, Hardy-Ramanujan, factorization.

MSC 2000 subject classifications: Primary 11A51; Secondary 11N60, 11N05, 62E17, 62E20, 11Y05.

Typeset by  $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}$ -TEX

*Proof.* The number of  $n \in S_k(x)$  for which  $\log \log p_i(n)/\log \log x \in [\alpha_i, \alpha_i + \epsilon)$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq k-1$ , equals

$$(2) \quad \sum_{p_1 \in I_1, p_2 \in I_2, \dots, p_{k-1} \in I_{k-1}} \sum_{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{k-1} \geq 1} \pi^* \left( p_{k-1}; \frac{x}{p_1^{a_1} \dots p_{k-1}^{a_{k-1}}} \right)$$

where  $I_j = [\exp((\log x)^{\alpha_j}), \exp((\log x)^{\alpha_j + \epsilon}))$ , and  $\pi^*(b; T)$  counts the number of prime powers  $p^a \leq T$  with prime  $p > b$ . By the prime number theorem we have  $\pi^*(b; T) = T/\log T(1 + O(1/\log T))$  if  $b \leq T/\log T$ , and we always have  $\pi^*(b; T) \leq T$ .

Since  $(\log x)^{\alpha_{j+1}} \geq (\log x)^{\alpha_j + \epsilon} \geq e(\log x)^{\alpha_j}$ , we deduce that

$$\log(p_1 \dots p_{k-1}) < \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} (\log x)^{\alpha_j + \epsilon} \leq (1 - 1/e)^{-1} (\log x)^{\alpha_{k-1} + \epsilon} < 2 \frac{\log x}{\log \log x}.$$

This implies that in each term of the outer sum in (2) we have  $x/(p_1 \dots p_{k-1}) > x^{1-o(1)}$  and  $p_{k-1} \leq x^{o(1)}$ . In order to estimate the inner sum in (2) we treat the terms differently depending on whether  $p_1^{a_1} \dots p_{k-1}^{a_{k-1}}$  is smaller or larger than  $x^\delta$ , where  $\delta = 100/\log \log x$ . The terms with  $p_1^{a_1} \dots p_{k-1}^{a_{k-1}} \leq x^\delta$  each contribute

$$(3) \quad \frac{x}{\log x} \frac{1}{p_1^{a_1} \dots p_{k-1}^{a_{k-1}}} \left\{ 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log \log x}\right) \right\}.$$

The terms with  $p_1^{a_1} \dots p_{k-1}^{a_{k-1}} > x^\delta$  each contribute  $\leq x^{1-\delta}$  and there are  $\ll (\log x)^k/k!$  such terms (since we must have  $p_1^{a_1} \dots p_{k-1}^{a_{k-1}} \leq x$ ). Thus their total contribution is  $\ll x^{1-\delta/2}$ . Therefore summing up (3) over all values of the  $a_i$  we find that the inner sum in (2) equals

$$(4) \quad \frac{x}{\log x} \frac{1}{(p_1 - 1) \dots (p_{k-1} - 1)} \left\{ 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log \log x}\right) \right\}.$$

Therefore the sum in (2) becomes, since the intervals  $I_j$  are disjoint,

$$(5) \quad \left\{ 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log \log x}\right) \right\} \frac{x}{\log x} \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \sum_{p_j \in I_j} \frac{1}{p_j - 1}$$

Now  $\sum_{a < p < b} 1/(p-1) = \log \log b - \log \log a + O(1/\log a)$ , so that

$$\sum_{p \in I_j} \frac{1}{p-1} = \epsilon \log \log x + O\left(\frac{1}{(\log x)^{\alpha_j}}\right) = \epsilon \log \log x + O\left(\frac{1}{e^j}\right),$$

and hence (5) equals

$$\frac{x}{\log x} (\epsilon \log \log x)^{k-1} \left\{ 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon \log \log x}\right) \right\}.$$

The result follows by comparing this to (1).

## REFERENCES

1. GRANVILLE, A. (2007), *Prime divisors are Poisson distributed*, Int. J. Number Theory **3**, 1–18.

Département de Mathématiques et Statistique, Université de Montréal, CP 6128 succ Centre-Ville,  
Montréal, Québec H3C 3J7, Canada.  
andrew@dms.umontreal.ca <http://www.dms.umontreal.ca/~andrew/>