

**Teoria dei numeri.** — *Solution to a problem of Bombieri.* Nota(\*) di ANDREW GRANVILLE, presentata dal Socio E. BOMBIERI.

— We solve a problem of Bombieri, stated in connection with the “prime number theorem” for function fields.

Distribution of Primes; Elementary Proofs; Prime Number Theorem.

— *Soluzione della probleme di Bombieri.*

In [1], Bombieri states that if  $a_1, a_2, \dots$  is a sequence of non-negative real numbers satisfying the Selberg-type formula

$$(1) \quad ma_m + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} a_i a_{m-i} = 2m + O(1)$$

for each  $m \geq 1$  then  $a_m = 1 + o(1)$ ; however there is an error in the proof as may be seen by the counterexample  $a_m = 1 - (-1)^m$ . In [2], Bombieri shows that his original result may be recovered by also having the analogous formula to (1) for the sequence  $a_2, a_4, \dots$ ; and, in [4], Zhang improves the error term in this result to  $a_m = 1 + O(1/m)$ .

Herein we return to the original question and solve (slightly more than) a problem stated by Bombieri in [2]:

**Theorem 1.** *If  $a_1, a_2, \dots$  is a sequence of non-negative real numbers satisfying*

$$(2) \quad ma_m + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} a_i a_{m-i} = 2m + o(m)$$

*for each  $m \geq 1$  then either (i)  $a_m = 1 + o(1)$ ; or (ii)  $a_m = 1 - (-1)^m + o(1)$ .*

In [3] (Theorem 2'), Erdős showed that for any sequence of non-negative real numbers satisfying (2) we have

$$(3) \quad \sum_{i=1}^m a_i = m + o(m).$$

We note also that as each  $a_i \geq 0$ , thus  $ma_m \leq 2m + o(m)$  by (2), and so

$$(4) \quad 0 \leq a_m \leq 2 + o(1).$$

Therefore, by taking  $b_j = 1 - a_j$  for each  $j$ , we see that Theorem 1 follows immediately from

**Theorem 2.** *If  $b_1, b_2, \dots$  is a sequence of real numbers satisfying*

$$(5) \quad |b_m| \leq 1 + o(1)$$

and

$$(6) \quad mb_m = \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} b_i b_{m-i} + o(m)$$

for each  $m \geq 1$  then one of the following cases holds:

$$(i) \ b_m = o(1); \quad (ii) \ b_m = (-1)^m + o(1); \quad (iii) \ b_m = 1 + o(1).$$

**Proof:** We start by showing that either (i) holds or

$$(7) \quad B := \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} |b_m| = 1.$$

First note that  $B \geq 0$  by definition, and  $B \leq 1$  by (5). Now  $m|b_m| \leq mB^2 + o(m)$  by (6) and so, choosing  $m$  with  $b_m = B + o(1)$ , we have  $B \leq B^2$ . Therefore either  $B = 0$  (in which case (i) holds), or  $B \geq 1$ , so that  $B = 1$ .

Next we show that if (7) holds then

$$(8) \quad \max_{2m \leq n \leq 3m} |b_n| = 1 + o(1)$$

as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . Suppose that (8) is false so that there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that, for certain arbitrarily large  $m$ , we have  $|b_n| < 1 - 10\delta$  for all  $n$  in the range  $2m \leq n \leq 3m$ . From this we can deduce (by induction on  $n$ ) that  $|b_n| < 1 - \delta$  for all  $n > 3m$ , if  $m$  is sufficiently large, which contradicts (7). The induction proceeds in a straightforward way, by using (6) in the form

$$n|b_n| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |b_i| |b_{n-i}| + o(n),$$

together with the bounds

$$|b_j| < \begin{cases} O(1) & \text{for } j \leq j_0; \\ 1 + \delta/10 & \text{for } j_0 < j < 2m; \\ 1 - 10\delta & \text{for } 2m \leq j \leq 3m; \\ 1 - \delta & \text{for } 3m < j \leq n - 1, \end{cases}$$

where  $j_0$  is chosen so that  $|b_j| < 1 + \delta/10$  for all  $j \geq j_0$  (which is possible, by (5)).

We now prove two lemmas.

**Lemma 1.** *If  $|b_m| = 1 + o(1)$  then  $|b_i| = 1 + o(1)$  and  $b_i b_{m-i} = b_m + o(1)$  for all but  $o(m)$  values of  $i \leq m$ .*

**Proof:** Let  $c_i = b_i b_{m-i} / b_m$  so that  $|c_i| \leq 1 + o(1)$  by (5) (if  $m - i \rightarrow \infty$ ) and  $\sum_{i=1}^m c_i = m + o(m)$  by (6). Therefore  $c_i = 1 + o(1)$  for all but  $o(m)$  values of  $i \leq m$ , which is equivalent to the second assertion of the lemma. Moreover  $c_i = 1 + o(1)$  implies that  $|b_i| |b_{m-i}| = 1 + o(1)$  for such  $i$ , whereas both  $|b_i|$  and  $|b_{m-i}|$  are  $\leq 1 + o(1)$  by (5). Thus both  $|b_i|$  and  $|b_{m-i}|$  equal  $1 + o(1)$ .

**Lemma 2.** *Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$ . For any sufficiently large  $m$  and for any integers  $k$  and  $n$  in the ranges  $1 \leq k \leq \varepsilon m$ ,  $m \leq n \leq 2m$ , for which  $|b_n|$ ,  $|b_{n+k}| = 1 + o(1)$ , we have the estimate*

$$b_{m+k} = b_m b_{n+k} / b_n + O(\varepsilon),$$

where the constant implied by “ $O$ ” is absolute.

**Proof:** Let  $\sigma = 1$  if  $b_n$  and  $b_{n+k}$  have the same sign, and let  $\sigma = -1$  otherwise. Now, as  $|b_n|$  and  $|b_{n+k}|$  both equal  $1 + o(1)$ , we see that  $|b_i| = 1 + o(1)$ ,  $b_i b_{n-i} = b_n + o(1)$  and  $b_i b_{n+k-i} = b_{n+k} + o(1)$  for all but  $o(m)$  values of  $i \leq n$ , by Lemma 1. Therefore, by taking  $j = n - i$ , we see that  $b_j = \sigma b_{j+k} + o(1)$  for all but  $o(m)$  values of  $j \leq m$ . Substituting this into (6) gives

$$mb_m - \sigma \left( (m+k)b_{m+k} - \sum_{i=1}^k b_i b_{m+k-i} \right) = \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} (b_j - \sigma b_{j+k}) b_{m-j} + o(m) = o(m),$$

and the result follows from (5) as

$$k|b_{m+k}| + \sum_{i=1}^k |b_i b_{m+k-i}| = O(k).$$

**Completion of the proof of Theorem 2:** Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$  and suppose that  $m$  is sufficiently large. By (8) there exists  $n$  in the range  $2m \leq n \leq 3m$  with  $|b_n| = 1 + o(1)$ , and so  $|b_i| = 1 + o(1)$  for all but  $o(m)$  values of  $i \leq 2m$ , by Lemma 1. Therefore there exists an integer  $k$  in the range  $1 \leq k \leq \varepsilon m$  such that both  $|b_{m+k}|$  and  $|b_{m+2k}| = 1 + o(1)$ . Taking  $n = m + k$  in Lemma 2, we see that  $b_m = b_{m+2k} + O(\varepsilon)$ ; and letting  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , we then get

$$(9) \quad |b_m| = 1 + o(1)$$

as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ .

Now take  $k = 1$  and  $n = m + 1$  in Lemma 2. By (9) this implies that  $b_m b_{m+2} = b_{m+1}^2 + o(1) = 1 + o(1)$ , so that  $b_m$  and  $b_{m+2}$  have the same sign if  $m$  is sufficiently large. Therefore there exist constants  $\nu$  and  $\eta$ , equal to  $-1$  or  $1$ , such that

$$b_{2m} = \nu + o(1); \quad \text{and} \quad b_{2m+1} = \eta + o(1).$$

Substituting this into (6) for even  $m$  we obtain  $\nu = (\nu^2 + \eta^2)/2 = 1$ ; therefore we get (ii) if  $\eta = -1$ , and (iii) if  $\eta = 1$ .

- [1] E. Bombieri, *Sull'analogo della formula di Selberg nei corpi di funzioni*, Atti. Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur., **35** (1963), 252–257.
- [2] E. Bombieri, *Correction to my paper "Sull'analogo della formula di Selberg nei corpi di funzioni"*, (preprint).
- [3] P. Erdős, *On a tauberian theorem connected with the new proof of the prime number theorem*, Jour. Ind. Math. Soc., **13** (1949), 133–147.
- [4] W.-B. Zhang, *Elementary proofs of the abstract prime number theorem for algebraic function fields*, (preprint).

School of Mathematics – Institute for Advanced Study,  
Princeton, NJ 08540, USA.