ASYMMETRIC DISTRIBUTION OF EXTREME VALUES OF CUBIC L-FUNCTIONS ON THE 1-LINE.

PRANENDU DARBAR, CHANTAL DAVID, MATILDE LALIN, ALLYSA LUMLEY

ABSTRACT. We investigate the distribution of values of cubic Dirichlet L-functions at $s = 1$. Following ideas of Granville and Soundararajan for quadratic L-functions, we model the distribution of $L(1, \chi)$ by the distribution of random Euler products $L(1, \mathbb{X})$ for certain family of random variables $\mathbb{X}(p)$ attached to each prime. We obtain a description of the proportion of $|L(1, \chi)|$ that are larger or that are smaller than a given bound, and yield more light into the Littlewood bounds. Unlike the quadratic case, there is an asymmetry between lower and upper bounds for the cubic case, and small values are less probable than large values.

1. INTRODUCTION

We study in this paper the distribution of the values of Dirichlet L-functions attached to cubic characters. Let χ be a primitive cubic Dirichlet character over $\mathbb{Q}$, and let

$$L(s, \chi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(n)}{n^s}.$$

We are interested in the distribution of the special values $|L(1, \chi)|$ as χ varies over the family $\mathcal{F}_3$ of cubic primitive characters over $\mathbb{Q}$. The approach of this work is to compare the distribution of values for $|L(1, \chi)|$ with the distribution of values of a random Euler product, $|L(1, \mathbb{X})|$, where $L(1, \mathbb{X}) = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{\mathbb{X}(p)}{p}\right)^{-1}$ and the $\mathbb{X}(p)$ are independent random variables that take the values $0, 1, \omega_3 := e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}$ and ω_3^2 with suitable probabilities. The main motivation for this work is in determining the extreme values that $|L(1, \chi)|$ can take.

This problem has been studied thoroughly in the case of the quadratic characters, with the pioneering work of Granville and Soundararajan [GS03] describing the distribution of extreme values for $L(1, \chi_d)$ where χ_d varies over quadratic characters, in order to gain understanding of the well-known discrepancy between the extreme values that $L(1, \chi_d)$ may exhibit (the Ω-results of Chowla, described below) and the conditional bounds on these extreme values (the O-results of Littlewood).

For a quadratic character χ_d of conductor $|d|$, it was shown by Littlewood [Lit28] (assuming GRH, the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis) that

$$\left(\frac{1}{2} + o(1)\right) \frac{\zeta(2)}{e^\gamma \log_2 |d|} \leq |L(1, \chi_d)| \leq (2 + o(1)) e^\gamma \log_2 |d|, \tag{1.1}$$

Date: June 29, 2023.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11M06, 11R16, 11M20.

Key words and phrases. extreme values of L-functions; cubic characters; Littlewood bounds.

where here and throughout, γ is the Euler-Mascheroni constant, and the notation $\log_j$ represents the j-fold iterated logarithm, so that $\log_2 |d| = \log\log |d|$. On the other hand, under the same hypothesis, Littlewood also established that there are infinitely many fundamental discriminants d for which

$$L(1, \chi_d) \geq (1 + o(1))e^{\gamma} \log_2 |d|, \tag{1.2}$$

and there are infinitely many fundamental discriminants d such that

$$L(1, \chi_d) \leq (1 + o(1))\zeta(2)/(e^{\gamma} \log_2 |d|). \tag{1.3}$$

In [Cho49], Chowla removed the assumption of GRH on the Ω-result (1.2). If we compare the bounds in (1.1) with those obtained in (1.2) and (1.3), we can see there is a discrepancy in the coefficient of the main term. In [GS03], the authors provide asymptotics for the probability that $L(1, \chi_d) > e^{\gamma}\tau$, and for the probability the $L(1, \chi_d) < \frac{\zeta(2)}{e^{\gamma}\tau}$ uniformly in a wide range of τ. The uniformity of their results provides evidence that the Ω-results of Chowla may represent the true nature of these extreme values, but falls just short of determining which coefficient is the correct one.

We now turn to the extreme values of cubic characters. Our first result is a conditional O-result in the style of Littlewood for cubic characters.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume GRH. Let χ be a primitive character of order 3 and conductor q. Then we have*

$$\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + o(1)\right)\left(\frac{\zeta(3)}{e^{\gamma} \log_2 q}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq |L(1, \chi)| \leq (2 + o(1))\, e^{\gamma} \log_2 q.$$

The upper bound is not new, as the original proof of Littlewood holds for any primitive character χ of conductor q, but to our knowledge, the lower bound does not appear in the literature. Theorem 1.1 is a particular case of Theorem 1.10 which holds for characters of prime order $\ell \geq 3$. We also obtain Ω-results, Theorems 1.7 and 1.8, which exhibit a constant discrepancy similar to the case of quadratic characters: 1 versus 2 for the upper bound and 1 versus $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ for the lower bound. Other Ω-results for the large values of characters of order $\ell \geq 2$ as [Lam17, Theorem 1.2] (for the upper bound) exhibit the same constant discrepancy.

Our main theorems describe the distribution of extreme values for $|L(1, \chi)|$ for a family of cubic characters. Limiting distribution results for cubic characters over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$ were obtained by Akbary and Hamieh [AH20, AH21]. To our knowledge, our results are the first describing the distribution of extreme values for cubic characters over $\mathbb{Z}$, by considering the tail of the distribution, and represent the first family where there is an *asymmetric distribution*. As in [GS03], the range of uniformity we are able to achieve leads us to believe that the Ω-results of Theorems 1.7 and 1.8 may represent the true nature of the extreme values in cubic families.

In order to precisely describe our results, we need some notation. For X large, let $\mathcal{F}_3(X)$ denote the subset of $\mathcal{F}_3$ of cubic characters with conductor bounded by X and let

$$\phi_X(\tau) := \mathbb{P}\left(|L(1,\chi)| > e^\gamma \tau\right) := \frac{1}{|\mathcal{F}_3(X)|} \sum_{\substack{\chi \in \mathcal{F}_3(X) \\ |L(1,\chi)| > e^\gamma \tau}} 1$$

$$\psi_X(\tau) := \mathbb{P}\left(|L(1,\chi)| < \left(\frac{\zeta(3)}{e^\gamma}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{\tau}\right) := \frac{1}{|\mathcal{F}_3(X)|} \sum_{\substack{\chi \in \mathcal{F}_3(X) \\ |L(1,\chi)| < \left(\frac{\zeta(3)}{e^\gamma}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{\tau}}} 1.$$

In order to study these probabilities, we use the method of moments, and we show that the complex moments of $|L(1,\chi)|$ agree with the expectations of random Euler products $L(1,\mathbb{X})$ for a large range of values.

We now define the independent random variables $\mathbb{X}(p)$ given by

$$\mathbb{X}(p) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{with probability} = 0, \\ 1 & \text{with probability} = \frac{1}{3}, \\ \omega_3 & \text{with probability} = \frac{1}{3}, \\ \omega_3^2 & \text{with probability} = \frac{1}{3}, \end{cases} \tag{1.4}$$

when $p \equiv 2 \pmod 3$ or $p = 3$, and by

$$\mathbb{X}(p) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{with probability} = \frac{2}{p+2}, \\ 1 & \text{with probability} = \frac{p}{3(p+2)}, \\ \omega_3 & \text{with probability} = \frac{p}{3(p+2)}, \\ \omega_3^2 & \text{with probability} = \frac{p}{3(p+2)}, \end{cases} \tag{1.5}$$

when $p \equiv 1 \pmod 3$. We will see in Section 2 why these random variables are naturally associated to the cubic family $\mathcal{F}_3$.

Let $n = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \cdots p_k^{a_k}$ be the prime power factorization of n. We extend the definition of $\mathbb{X}$ by multiplicativity

$$\mathbb{X}(n) = \mathbb{X}(p_1)^{a_1} \mathbb{X}(p_2)^{a_2} \cdots \mathbb{X}(p_k)^{a_k},$$

and we define

$$L(1,\mathbb{X}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbb{X}(n)}{n} = \prod_{p \text{ prime}} \left(1 - \frac{\mathbb{X}(p)}{p}\right)^{-1},$$

where both the series and the product are almost surely convergent by Lemma 4.2. Before describing how well this model approximates the distribution of $|L(1,\chi)|$, it is useful to understand the behaviour of the distribution of $|L(1,\mathbb{X})|$. To this end, we define, for $\tau > 0$,

$$\Phi(\tau) := \mathbb{P}\left(|L(1,\mathbb{X})| > e^\gamma \tau\right), \tag{1.6}$$

$$\Psi(\tau) := \mathbb{P}\left(|L(1,\mathbb{X})| < \left(\frac{\zeta(3)}{e^\gamma}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{\tau}\right). \tag{1.7}$$

We obtain the following asymptotic behaviour for $\Phi(\tau)$ and $\Psi(\tau)$, which are each decaying doubly exponentially, although with different rates:

Theorem 1.2. *For large τ, we have*

$$\Phi(\tau) = \exp\left(-\frac{2e^{\tau - C_{\max}}}{\tau}\left(1 + O(\tau^{-1})\right)\right)$$

and

$$\Psi(\tau) = \exp\left(-\frac{e^{\tau^2 - C_{\min}}}{\tau^2}\left(1 + O(\tau^{-1})\right)\right),$$

where $C_{\max} \approx 0.98727\ldots$ and $C_{\min} \approx 1.40459\ldots$ are defined in (4.7) *and* (4.8) *respectively.*

We then show that the distribution of $L(1,\chi)$ over $\chi \in \mathcal{F}_3(X)$ is well approximated by the distribution for the random Euler product $L(1,\mathbb{X})$, in a large range of τ.

Theorem 1.3. *Let X be large. Then, uniformly in the range $1 \le \tau \le \log_2 X - \log_3 X - \log_4 X - 2$, we have*

$$\phi_X(\tau) = \exp\left(-\frac{2e^{\tau - C_{\max}}}{\tau}\left(1 + O(\tau^{-1/2})\right)\right),$$

and uniformly in the range $1 \le \tau \le \sqrt{\log_2 X - \log_3 X - \log_4 X - 2}$,

$$\psi_X(\tau) = \exp\left(-\frac{e^{\tau^2 - C_{\min}}}{\tau^2}\left(1 + O(\tau^{-1/2})\right)\right),$$

where $C_{\max}$ and $C_{\min}$ are as in Theorem 1.2.

If we compare Theorem 1.3 with the other distribution and extreme values results found in the literature, for families of quadratic characters [GS03, DL18, Lum19], for $|\zeta(1+it)|$ [GS06], for all characters modulo q [LLS15], or for L-functions of automorphic forms on GL_n [Lum18], we see that the probability distributions for cubic characters are different, as $\phi_X(\tau)$ and $\psi_X(\tau)$ decay with different rates. Since $\psi_X(\tau)$ decays faster, the small values are less probable, which is reflected in the O-results and Ω-results for small values of cubic characters, in Theorems 1.1, 1.7, and 1.8. The constants $C_{\max}$ and $C_{\min}$ are also different than the constant C_1 which appears consistently in the articles on quadratic families mentioned above.

We remark that the ranges of uniformity for $\phi_X(\tau)$ and $\psi_X(\tau)$ in Theorem 1.3 are comparable to [GS03, Theorem 1], taking into account the increased rate of decay of Theorem 1.2 in the case of $\Psi(\tau)$.

As we mentioned above, the proof of Theorem 1.3 relies on comparing the moments of $|L(1,\chi)|^{2z}$ to the expectations $\mathbb{E}(|L(1,\mathbb{X})|^{2z})$. We will prove the following result in Section 3.

Theorem 1.4. *Let z be a complex number and X be large. Then, uniformly in the region $|z| \leq \frac{\log X}{16 \log_2 X \log_3 X}$ we have*

$$\frac{1}{|\mathcal{F}_3(X)|} \sum_{\chi \in \mathcal{F}_3(X)} |L(1,\chi)|^{2z} = \sum_{\substack{n,m \geqslant 1 \\ nm^2 = \square}} \frac{d_z(m) d_z(n)}{mn} \prod_{\substack{p \equiv 1 \pmod 3 \\ p \mid nm}} \frac{p}{p+2} + O\left(\exp\left(-\frac{\log X}{16 \log_2 X}\right)\right)$$
$$= \mathbb{E}(|L(1,\mathbb{X})|^{2z}) + O\left(\exp\left(-\frac{\log X}{16 \log_2 X}\right)\right),$$

where the z-divisor function $d_z(n)$ is the multiplicative function defined by (3.1).

The range of $|z|$ in Theorem 1.4 directly impacts the range of uniformity for τ in Theorem 1.3. Our range is more limited than what is provided in [GS03, Theorem 3], as the authors are able to take $|z| \leqslant \frac{\log X \log_3 X}{e^{12} \log_2 X}$. A key input of their argument is a result of Graham and Ringrose [GR90, Theorem 5] that is only available over $\mathbb{Q}$. For cubic characters, one needs to work over $\mathbb{Q}(\omega_3)$. It would be an interesting project to extend the result of [GR90] to number fields. Our approach relies on zero density estimates for Dirichlet L-functions proven by Montgomery [Mon71].

Under GRH, we can push the range of $|z|$ in Theorem 1.4 by approximating $L(1,\chi)$ with a short Euler product, defined as

$$L(s,\chi;y) := \prod_{p \leq y} \left(1 - \frac{\chi(p)}{p^s}\right)^{-1}.$$

We also define the short random Euler product

$$L(1,\mathbb{X};y) = \prod_{p \leq y} \left(1 - \frac{\mathbb{X}(p)}{p}\right)^{-1}.$$

Theorem 1.5. *Assume GRH. Let $C > 0$, $e^{40} \leq B \leq (\log_2 X)^C$, z be a real number and $y := B \log X \log_2 X$. Then, uniformly in the region $|z| \leq \frac{\log X \log_2 X}{e^{37} \log B}$, we have when X goes to infinity,*

$$\frac{1}{|\mathcal{F}_3(X)|} \sum_{\chi \in \mathcal{F}_3(X)} |L(1,\chi;y)|^{2z} = \mathbb{E}(|L(1,\mathbb{X};y)|^{2z}) + O\left(X^{-\frac{23}{100}} \mathbb{E}(|L(1,\mathbb{X};y)|^{2z})\right).$$

Results of the same quality were obtained for quadratic characters in [GS03]. Using Theorem 1.5, we can now improve Theorem 1.3 under GRH.

Theorem 1.6. *Assume GRH. Let X be large and let $e^{10} \leq A \leq (\log_2 X)^C$ be a real number. Then, uniformly in the range $\tau \leq \log_2 X + \log_3 X - \log_2 A - 37 + C_{\max} + o(1)$, we have*

$$\phi_X(\tau) = \exp\left(-\frac{2e^{\tau - C_{\max}}}{\tau}\left(1 + O(\tau^{-1/2} + A^{-1})\right)\right)$$

and, uniformly in the range $\tau \leq \sqrt{\log_2 X + \log_3 X - \log_2 A - 37 + C_{\min} + o(1)}$, we have

$$\psi_X(\tau) = \exp\left(-\frac{e^{\tau^2 - C_{\min}}}{\tau^2}\left(1 + O(\tau^{-1/2} + A^{-1})\right)\right),$$

where $C_{\max}$ and $C_{\min}$ are defined in (4.7) *and* (4.8) *respectively.*

The above result gives an asymptotic formula if $A = A(X)$ is chosen as an arbitrary function of X such that $A(X) \to \infty$ as $X \to \infty$.

We now move to the Ω-results.

Theorem 1.7 (Detecting maximum value)**.** *Assume GRH. For X large, there are $\gg X^{\frac{1}{2}}$ cubic characters with prime conductor bounded by X such that*

$$|L(1,\chi)| \geq e^{\gamma}\left(\log_2 X + \log_3 X - \log(2\log 3) + o(1)\right).$$

Theorem 1.8 (Detecting minimum value)**.** *Assume GRH. For X large, there are $\gg X^{\frac{1}{2}}$ cubic characters with prime conductor bounded by X such that*

$$|L(1,\chi)| \leq \frac{\zeta(3)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(e^{\gamma}\log_2 X + \log_3 X - \log(2\log 3))^{\frac{1}{2}}}(1+o(1)).$$

Other Ω-results for the large values of characters of order 3 can be found in [Lam17, Theorem 2.1], where the proportion of characters is $X^{1-\epsilon}$, but the lower bound is smaller, containing only the first term $\log_2 X$. We are able to get an additional $\log_3 X$ because we are using the distribution. We are then led to the following conjecture about the precise size of the maximal and minimal order for L-functions associated with cubic characters, which is the equivalent of a conjecture of [GS03] for quadratic characters, refining the conjectures of [MV99] [GS03, Conjecture 2]. A similar conjecture for the large values of $|\zeta(1+it)|$ can be found in [GS06]. For characters of order $\ell \geq 3$, a conjecture for the leading term $\log_2 X$ for the large values can be found in [Lam17].

Conjecture 1.9. *Let $\mathcal{F}_3(X)$ be the family of primitive cubic characters over $\mathbb{Q}$ with conductor bounded by X. Then,*

$$\max_{\chi\in\mathcal{F}_3(X)} |L(1,\chi)| = e^{\gamma}(\log_2 X + \log_3 X + C_{\max} - \log 2 + o(1)),$$

and

$$\min_{\chi\in\mathcal{F}_3(\chi)} |L(1,\chi)| = \left(\frac{\zeta(3)}{e^{\gamma}(\log_2 X + \log_3 X + C_{\min} + o(1))}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where $C_{\max}$ and $C_{\min}$ are as in Theorem 1.2.

We reach this conjecture by assuming that the range for the upper bound (respectively lower bound) in Theorem 1.6 can be sufficiently extended so that one can replace the value of τ in the expression for $\phi_X(\tau)$ (resp. $\psi_X(\tau)$) by $\tau_{\max} = \log_2 X + \log_3 X + C_{\max} - \log 2 + \delta$ (resp. $\tau_{\min} = \sqrt{\log_2 X + \log_3 X + C_{\min} + \delta}$) for some constant $\delta \geq 0$. This results in

$$\phi_X(\tau_{\max}) \leq X^{-e^{\delta}}\left(1+o(1)\right), \qquad \psi_X(\tau_{\min}) \leq X^{-e^{\delta}}\left(1+o(1)\right).$$

Since $X^{-e^{\delta}} < \frac{1}{X}$ for $\delta > 0$ and the total number of characters is of order X, this suggests that we do not cross the barrier of $\delta > 0$ when considering $\max_{\chi\in\mathcal{F}_3(X)} |L(1,\chi)|$ and $\min_{\chi\in\mathcal{F}_3(\chi)} |L(1,\chi)|$.

Furthermore, Theorem 1.7 comes (under GRH) very close to exhibiting $X^{\frac{1}{2}}$ characters such that $|L(1,\chi)|$ is close to the extreme values predicted by Conjecture 1.9, since $-\log(2\log 3) = -0.78719\ldots$ and $C_{\max} - \log 2 = 0.29412\ldots$. The same observation (with the appropriate modifications) holds for the small values and Theorem 1.8.

Notice that our results in Theorem 1.6 prove (under GRH) some conjectures that are cubic analogues to those of Montgomery and Vaughan [MV99] (more precisely, Theorem 1.6

implies an analogue of Conjecture 1 and the upper bound on Conjecture 2 in the notation of [GS03]). Namely, using the maximal allowable value τ in Theorem 1.6 implies that the proportion of characters $\chi \in \mathcal{F}_3(X)$ such that

$$|L(1,\chi)| > e^{\gamma}\left(\log_2 X + \log_3 X - \log_2 A - 37 + C_{\max} + o(1)\right)$$

is

$$\exp\left(-\frac{2e^{\log_2 X+\log_3 X-\log_2 A-37+o(1)}}{\log_2 X + \log_3 X - \log_2 A - 37 + C_{\max} + o(1)}\right).$$

If we replace by $\tau = \log_2 X$ (which is in the range of Theorem 1.6), we get that the proportion of characters $\chi \in \mathcal{F}_3(X)$ such that $|L(1,\chi)| > e^{\gamma}\log_2 X$ is both $> \exp\left(-C\frac{\log X}{\log_2 X}\right)$ and $< \exp\left(-c\frac{\log X}{\log_2 X}\right)$ for some appropriate constants $0 < c < C < \infty$, thus leading (under GRH) to a cubic analogue of Conjecture 1 in [GS03].

Similarly, if we take $\tau = \log_2 X + \log_3 X - \log_2 A - 37 + C_{\max}$ with A to be a very large constant (that is, sufficiently large to absorb the constant in the error term, but still a constant) then we conclude that the proportion of characters $\chi \in \mathcal{F}_3(X)$ such that $|L(1,\chi)| > e^{\gamma}(\log_2 X + \log_3 X)$ is bounded above by X^{Θ} with some $-1 < \Theta < 0$, and this leads to the upper bound of the corresponding cubic analogue to Conjecture 2 in [GS03].

Less attention has been paid to the small values in the literature, maybe because the arguments are completely symmetric to the large values for quadratic characters. However, as we have observed in Theorems 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 1.6, and 1.8, we see an asymmetric behaviour for the family of cubic characters. Of course, all these results are related: the minimum value of $|L(1,\chi)|$ for cubic characters is much larger than what can be found for the quadratic case, which fits perfectly the faster decay for the occurrence of small values of Theorem 1.3.

There have been several papers working toward proving conjectures similar to 1.9 for different families of L-functions, including the work of Bondarenko and Seip [BS17] (for the Riemann zeta function on the critical line), Aistleitner et al. [AMM19] (for the Riemann zeta function on the 1-line) and Aistleitner et al. [AMMP19] (for $L(1,\chi)$ with χ taken over the family of primitive characters modulo q), making use of a resonator method. Again, these results just fall short of confirming the conjectures.

1.1. **Generalizations to any prime order ℓ.** Since the distribution of extreme values, and in particular of small values, is very different for the family of cubic characters than other families studied in the literature (as quadratic characters or all character of conductor q), it is interesting to speculate on what would happen for characters of prime order $\ell > 3$. One can see how most of the results of the present paper could be considered in that context, first by generalizing the sieve of Lemma 3.4 to start the computations, which is not trivial, and which would now take place over $\mathbb{Q}(\omega_\ell)$, creating obvious complications (for example, this is not in general a principal ideal domain). Granville and Lamzouri [GL21] developed a model which can be applied to number theoretic questions about large values of different families of L-functions that can be modelled by almost independent random variables. This model could potentially be applied to describe the distribution of large values of $|L(1,\chi)|$ where χ is a character of order ℓ. We hope to address some of these questions in the future. As a starting point, some of the results of this paper can be easily generalized from cubic to characters of order ℓ, as the O-results of Littlewood and the Ω-results. We will prove these statements in Section 5.

Theorem 1.10. *Assume GRH. Let ℓ be an odd prime and χ be a character of order ℓ and conductor q. Then we have*

$$\frac{C_\ell}{(2e^\gamma \log_2 q)^{\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{\ell}\right)}}(1+o(1)) \le |L(1,\chi)| \le 2e^\gamma \log_2 q(1+o(1)),$$

where

$$C_\ell = \prod_p \left[\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)^{-\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{\ell}\right)}\left(1+\frac{2\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{\ell}\right)}{p}+\frac{1}{p^2}\right)^{-1/2}\right]. \tag{1.8}$$

Remark 1.11. For $\ell = 3$, we have $C_3 = \zeta(3)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, and the bounds

$$\left(\frac{\zeta(3)}{2e^\gamma \log_2 q}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}(1+o(1)) \le |L(1,\chi)| \le 2e^\gamma \log_2 q(1+o(1)).$$

In addition, $\lim_{\ell\to\infty} C_\ell = \zeta(2)$, approaching the original bound of Littlewood.

Theorem 1.12 (Detecting minimum value)**.** *Assume GRH. Let ℓ be a prime. For all large X, there are $\gg X^{\frac{1}{2}}$ characters of order ℓ with prime conductor bounded by X such that*

$$|L(1,\chi)| \le \frac{C_\ell}{(e^\gamma \log_2 X + \log_3 X - \log(2\log \ell))^{\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{\ell}\right)}}(1+o(1)),$$

where C_ℓ is given by (1.8)*.*

The Ω-results are obtained by following a pretentious approach of Granville and Soundararajan, forcing the characters χ to minimize the value of $\mathrm{Re}(\chi)$. More precisely we count the characters χ for which $\chi(p) = e^{\frac{(\ell-1)\pi i}{\ell}}$ or $e^{\frac{(\ell+1)\pi i}{\ell}}$ for the primes p essentially below $\log X \log_2 X$. Thus, the somehow surprising appearance of the exponent $\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{\ell}\right)$ is explained by this strategy of minimizing $\mathrm{Re}(\chi(p))$. A similar phenomenon can be observed in the work of Granville, Harper, and Soundararajan on Halasz's Theorem in function fields [GHS15].

As in the cubic case, there is a discrepancy between the constants of Theorem 1.10 (which is $(1/2)^{\cos(\pi/\ell)}$) and Theorem 1.12 (which is 1). Interestingly, $(1/2)^{\cos(\pi/\ell)} \to 1/2$, which is the discrepancy found for quadratic L-functions. We could speculate that also in this case, the true nature of the extreme values is given by the minimum values of Theorem 1.12 and not the O-results, and

$$\min_{\chi\in\mathcal{F}_\ell(\chi)} |L(1,\chi)| = \frac{C_\ell}{(e^\gamma(\log_2 X + \log_3 X + C_{\min,\ell} + o(1)))^{\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{\ell}\right)}},$$

where C_ℓ is given by (1.8) and $C_{\min,\ell}$ is a constant that can be explicitly determined from the corresponding random model. Computing the distribution would shed more light on this question. We expect the distribution function in the lower bound ψ_X for general ℓ to take the shape

$$\psi_X(\tau) = \exp\left(-\frac{e^{\tau^{a(\ell)}-C_{\min,\ell}}}{\tau^{a(\ell)}}(1+o(1))\right).$$

where $a(\ell) = \left(\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{\ell}\right)\right)^{-1}$.

The average over the family of Section 2, which is needed to determine the random variables $\mathbb{X}(p)$, can also be done easily for the general family $\mathcal{F}_\ell$ of characters of order ℓ, which we will do.

1.2. **Organization of the paper.** This article is organized as follows. In Section 2, we define the family of cubic characters, present the relevant background, and compute the average character value over the family. This leads to the definition of the random variables (1.4) and (1.5). In Section 3, we prove Theorem 1.4 about the complex moments in our family. In Section 4, we prove Theorems 1.2 and 1.3. We consider Ω-results in Section 5, where we prove Theorems 1.7, 1.8, 1.10 and 1.12. Finally, we prove Theorem 1.6 in Section 6.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to Andrew Granville and Youness Lamzouri for helpful discussions. This work is supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada [DG-155635-2019 to CD, DG-355412-2022 to ML, PDF-532937-2019 to AL], the Fonds de recherche du Québec - Nature et technologies [Projet de recherche en équipe 300951 to CD and ML], the Research Council of Norway [Grant 275113 through the Alain Bensoussan Fellowship Programme of the European Research Consortium for Informatics and Mathematics to PD].

2. Average over the character family

Let ℓ be a fixed odd prime, and let $\mathcal{F}_\ell$ be the set of primitive characters of order ℓ over $\mathbb{Q}$ with conductor coprime to ℓ, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{F}_\ell = \left\{\chi = \chi_{p_1}^{e_1}\,\chi_{p_2}^{e_2}\cdots\chi_{p_s}^{e_s} \;:\; p_i \text{ distinct primes},\ p_i \equiv 1 \,(\mathrm{mod}\,\ell),\ e_i \in \{1,\dots,\ell-1\}\right\}, \tag{2.1}$$

where χ_p is the ℓth residue symbol modulo p defined by

$$\chi_p(\alpha) \equiv \alpha^{(p-1)/\ell} \,(\mathrm{mod}\, p).$$

Since there is no canonical choice of a primitive ℓth root of unity modulo p in $\mathbb{Q}$, there is no canonical choice of χ_p versus any χ_p^h with $1 < h \le \ell - 1$.

The conductor of each character $\chi_{p_1}^{e_1}\,\chi_{p_2}^{e_2}\cdots\chi_{p_s}^{e_s}$ in $\mathcal{F}_\ell$ is $p_1\cdots p_s$, where the p_i are distinct primes congruent to $1 \,(\mathrm{mod}\,\ell)$, and for each $p_1\cdots p_s$ there are $(\ell-1)^s$ primitive cubic characters with this conductor. We denote by $\mathcal{F}_\ell(X)$ the subset of $\mathcal{F}_\ell$ consisting of characters of conductor $\le X$. Let ω_ℓ denote a primitive ℓth root of unity in $\mathbb{C}$.

Proposition 2.1. *We have*

$$\sum_{\chi\in\mathcal{F}_\ell(X)} 1 = C_\ell X + O\left(X^{\frac{\ell+2}{\ell+5}+\epsilon}\right), \tag{2.2}$$

and more generally for $m \in \mathbb{Z}, m \ge 1$ and m an ℓth power,

$$\sum_{\chi\in\mathcal{F}_\ell(X)} \chi(m) = C_\ell \prod_{\substack{p\mid m\\ p\equiv 1\,(\mathrm{mod}\,\ell)}} \left(\frac{p}{p+\ell-1}\right) X + O\left(\ell^{\omega(m)} X^{\frac{\ell+2}{\ell+5}+\epsilon}\right).$$

Here

$$C_\ell = r_\ell F_{\ell,2}(1),$$

where r_ℓ is the residue of the Dedekind zeta function of the ℓth cyclotomic extension $\mathbb{Q}(\omega_\ell)$ at $s=1$ and $F_{\ell,2}(s)$ is given by (2.4). The power of X in the error term can be improved to $O\left(\ell^{\omega(m)}X^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}\right)$ assuming GRH. Therefore, when m is an ℓth power,

$$\frac{1}{|\mathcal{F}_\ell(X)|}\sum_{\chi\in\mathcal{F}_\ell(X)}\chi(m) = \prod_{\substack{p\mid m\\ p\equiv 1 \,(\mathrm{mod}\,\ell)}}\left(\frac{p}{p+\ell-1}\right) + O\left(\ell^{\omega(m)}X^{-\frac{3}{\ell+5}+\epsilon}\right), \tag{2.3}$$

and the error term can be improved to $O\left(\ell^{\omega(m)}X^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}\right)$ assuming GRH.

Remark 2.2. For the applications in this work, we will specialize to the case $\ell = 3$. The main term for the first result was proven by Cohn [Coh54] in the cubic case by using the Dirichlet series

$$\prod_{p\equiv 1 \,(\mathrm{mod}\,3)}\left(1+2p^{-s}\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{u(n)}{n^s},$$

where $u(n)$ is the number of cubic characters of conductor n.

Proof. Let $a_\ell(n)$ be the number of primitive characters of order ℓ and conductor n. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{a_\ell(n)}{n^s} &= \prod_{p\equiv 1 \bmod \ell}\left(1+\frac{\ell-1}{p^s}\right) = \prod_{p\equiv 1 \bmod \ell}\left(1-\frac{1}{p^s}\right)^{-(\ell-1)}F_{\ell,1}(s)\\ &= \zeta_K(s)F_{\ell,2}(s),\end{aligned}$$

where $\zeta_K(s)$ is the Dedekind zeta function of $K=\mathbb{Q}(\omega_\ell)$. In other words, we have

$$\zeta_K(s) = \left(1-\frac{1}{\ell^s}\right)^{-1}\prod_{p\neq\ell}\left(1-\frac{1}{p^{e_\ell(p)s}}\right)^{-\frac{(\ell-1)}{e_\ell(p)}},$$

where $e_\ell(p)$ is the multiplicative order of p modulo ℓ,

$$F_{\ell,1}(s) = \prod_{p\equiv 1 \bmod \ell}\left(1+\frac{\ell-1}{p^s}\right)\left(1-\frac{1}{p^s}\right)^{\ell-1},$$

and

$$F_{\ell,2}(s) = F_{\ell,1}(s)\left(1-\frac{1}{\ell^s}\right)\prod_{\substack{p\neq\ell\\ e_\ell(p)>1}}\left(1-\frac{1}{p^{e_\ell(p)s}}\right)^{\frac{\ell-1}{e_\ell(p)}}. \tag{2.4}$$

Notice that $F_{\ell,1}(s)$ and $F_{\ell,2}(s)$ converge absolutely for $\mathrm{Re}(s) > \frac{1}{2}+\epsilon$.

For any $\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon \le \sigma \le 1$, we will use the bound

$$|\zeta_K(\sigma+it)| \ll_\epsilon t^{\frac{(\ell-1)(1-\sigma)}{3}+\epsilon} \quad \text{[HB88]}, \tag{2.5}$$

$$|\zeta_K(\sigma+it)| \ll_\epsilon t^{\epsilon} \quad \text{Lindelöf Hypothesis.} \tag{2.6}$$

We apply Perron's formula

$$\sum_{n\le X} a_\ell(n) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{1+\epsilon-iT}^{1+\epsilon+iT} \zeta_K(s)F_{\ell,2}(s)\frac{X^s}{s}ds + O\left(\frac{X^{1+\epsilon}}{T}\right),$$

and we move the integral to $s=\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon$. Completing the above integral over a rectangle with vertices in $\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon \pm iT$, $1+\epsilon\pm iT$ and applying the bound (2.5), we have, for the horizontal integrals,

$$\begin{aligned} &\ll \frac{1}{T}\int_{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}^{1+\epsilon} |\zeta_K(\sigma+iT)||F_{\ell,2}(\sigma+iT)|X^\sigma d\sigma \ll \frac{1}{T}\max_{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon\le\sigma\le 1+\epsilon} T^{\frac{(\ell-1)(1-\sigma)}{3}+\epsilon}X^\sigma \\ &\ll \frac{1}{T}\left(T^{\frac{\ell-1}{6}+\epsilon}X^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}+T^\epsilon X\right). \qquad (2.7)\end{aligned}$$

For the integral over $s=\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\ll \int_{-T}^{T}\left|\zeta_K\left(\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon+it\right)\right|\left|F_{\ell,2}\left(\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon+it\right)\right|X^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}\frac{dt}{\left|\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon+it\right|} \\ &\ll T^{\frac{\ell-1}{6}+\epsilon}X^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}. \qquad (2.8)\end{aligned}$$

Setting $T=X^{\frac{3}{\ell+5}}$, we finally obtain

$$|\mathcal{F}_\ell(X)| = r_\ell\, F_{\ell,2}(1)\, X + O\left(X^{\frac{\ell+2}{\ell+5}+\epsilon}\right),$$

where r_ℓ is the residue of $\zeta_K(s)$ at $s=1$.

If we assume GRH, we use the bound (2.6) instead of (2.5). Then (2.7) and (2.8) give a bound of $\ll T^{\epsilon-1}X + T^\epsilon X^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}$. Taking $T=X^{\frac{1}{2}}$ leads to an error term of $O\left(X^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}\right)$.

Now suppose that m is a ℓ-th power. Then,

$$\sum_{\chi\in\mathcal{F}_\ell(X)}\chi(m) = \sum_{\substack{\chi\in\mathcal{F}_\ell(X)\\(\mathrm{cond}(\chi),m)=1}} 1 = \sum_{\substack{n\le X\\(n,m)=1}} a_\ell(n),$$

and

$$\sum_{\substack{n=1\\(n,m)=1}}^{\infty}\frac{a_\ell(n)}{n^s} = \prod_{\substack{p\equiv 1 \bmod \ell\\ p\nmid m}}\left(1+\frac{\ell-1}{p^s}\right) = \prod_{\substack{p\equiv 1 \bmod \ell\\ p\mid m}}\left(1+\frac{\ell-1}{p^s}\right)^{-1}\zeta_K(s)F_{\ell,2}(s).$$

We apply Perron's formula

$$\sum_{n\le X} a_\ell(n) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{1+\epsilon-iT}^{1+\epsilon+iT}\prod_{\substack{p\equiv 1 \bmod \ell\\ p\mid m}}\left(1+\frac{\ell-1}{p^s}\right)^{-1}\zeta_K(s)F_{\ell,2}(s)\frac{X^s}{s}ds + O\left(\frac{X^{1+\epsilon}}{T}\right),$$

and we move the integral to $s=\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon$ as before. The bounds are the same, except that we have the extra factor

$$\left|\prod_{\substack{p\equiv 1 \bmod \ell\\ p\mid m}}\left(1+\frac{\ell-1}{p^s}\right)^{-1}\right| \ll \ell^{\omega(m)}.$$

Finally, we obtain,

$$\sum_{\chi\in\mathcal{F}_\ell(X)}\chi(m)=\prod_{\substack{p\equiv 1 \bmod \ell\\ p\mid m}}\left(\frac{p}{p+\ell-1}\right) r_K\, F_{\ell,2}(1)\, X+O\left(\ell^{\omega(m)}X^{\frac{\ell+2}{\ell+5}+\epsilon}\right).$$

As before, the error term can be improved to $O\left(\ell^{\omega(m)}X^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}\right)$ if we assume GRH.

□

Notice that the main term in (2.3) represents the expected value of the random variable $\mathbb{X}(m)$ defined for primes $p=\ell$ and $p\not\equiv 1\,(\mathrm{mod}\,\ell)$ by

$$\mathbb{X}(p)=\begin{cases}0 & \text{with probability} = 0,\\ \omega_\ell^k & \text{with probability} = \frac{1}{\ell},\ \text{for } 0\le k\le \ell-1,\end{cases}$$

and for $p\equiv 1\,(\mathrm{mod}\,\ell)$ by

$$\mathbb{X}(p)=\begin{cases}0 & \text{with probability} = \frac{\ell-1}{p+\ell-1}\\ \omega_\ell^k & \text{with probability} = \frac{p}{\ell(p+\ell-1)},\ \text{for } 0\le k\le \ell-1,\end{cases}$$

and extended multiplicatively as

$$\mathbb{X}(n)=\mathbb{X}(p_1)^{a_1}\mathbb{X}(p_2)^{a_2}\cdots\mathbb{X}(p_k)^{a_k},$$

for $n=p_1^{a_1}p_2^{a_2}\cdots p_k^{a_k}$. Indeed, we can think of (2.3) as $\mathbb{P}\left(\mathbb{X}(m)\neq 0\right)=1-\mathbb{P}\left(\mathbb{X}(m)=0\right)$. Working prime by prime, (2.3) reads as $\mathbb{P}\left(\mathbb{X}(p)=0\right)=0$ for $p=\ell$ or $p\not\equiv 1\,(\mathrm{mod}\,\ell)$, and

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\mathbb{X}(p)=0\right)=1-\frac{p}{p+\ell-1}=\frac{\ell-1}{p+\ell-1}\quad\text{when } p\equiv 1\,(\mathrm{mod}\,\ell).$$

This justifies the definition of the random variables.

We can also give a simple heuristic for the random variables, independently of Proposition 2.2. The following argument is also found in Lemma 8.1 of [BDFL10] for the function field case.

The heuristic is as follows. From the definition of $\mathcal{F}_\ell$ given by (2.1), each primitive character of conductor n (square-free and supported only on primes which are $\equiv 1\,(\mathrm{mod}\,\ell)$) can be written uniquely as $\chi_{n_1}\chi_{n_2}^2\cdots\chi_{n_{\ell-1}}^{\ell-1}$ for $n=n_1n_2\cdots n_{\ell-1}$. Then, to count the characters, we can count the $(\ell-1)$-tuples $(n_1,n_2,\ldots,n_{\ell-1})$ (supported on primes which are $\equiv 1\,(\mathrm{mod}\,\ell)$) which are both square-free and pairwise coprime.

We create a model modulo p^2 for a fixed prime p. In order to count the characters, we want to count the $(\ell-1)$-tuples $(n_1,n_2,\ldots,n_{\ell-1})\,(\mathrm{mod}\,p^2)$ such that n_k is not equivalent to $0\,(\mathrm{mod}\,p^2)$ (this models the square-free condition), and p does not simultaneously divide any pair of n_j and n_k (this models the coprimality condition). Now we focus on the additional condition that the character is nonzero. For that, we consider $\chi(p^\ell)=\chi_{n_1}(p^\ell)\chi_{n_2}^2(p^\ell)\cdots\chi_{n_{\ell-1}}^{\ell-1}(p^\ell)$, as this character only takes the values 0 and 1. We need to count the $(\ell-1)$-tuples $(n_1,n_2,\ldots,n_{\ell-1})\,(\mathrm{mod}\,p^2)$ such that n_k is not equivalent to $0\,(\mathrm{mod}\,p^2)$ and such that $n_k\not\equiv 0\,(\mathrm{mod}\,p)$ (this models the fact that the character is not zero). Then, a model for $\mathbb{P}\left(\mathbb{X}(p)\neq 0\right)=1-\mathbb{P}\left(\mathbb{X}(p)=0\right)$ yielding the product on the left side of (2.3) is given by

the quotient

$$\frac{\#\{(n_1,\dots,n_{\ell-1})\,(\mathrm{mod}\,p^2)\ :\ n_k\not\equiv 0\,(\mathrm{mod}\,p^2)\forall k,(n_k\not\equiv 0\,(\mathrm{mod}\,p)\forall k)\}}{\#\{(n_1,\dots,n_{\ell-1})\,(\mathrm{mod}\,p^2)\ :\ n_k\not\equiv 0\,(\mathrm{mod}\,p^2)\forall k,(n_k\equiv 0\,(\mathrm{mod}\,p)\text{ for at most one value of }k)\}}.$$

In the case where $p\not\equiv 1\,(\mathrm{mod}\,\ell)$ or $p=\ell$, since the n_k are supported on primes that are $\equiv 1\,(\mathrm{mod}\,\ell)$, the conditions $n_k\equiv 0\,(\mathrm{mod}\,p)$ are never met, and the above quotient has the same numerator and denominator, which gives $\mathbb{P}\left(\mathbb{X}(p)=0\right)=0$.

In the case where $p\equiv 1\,(\mathrm{mod}\,\ell)$, the fact that the n_k are supported only on primes that are $\equiv 1\,(\mathrm{mod}\,\ell)$ is not a restriction as they cover all the residue classes mod p^2 by the Chinese Remainder Theorem and Dirichlet's Theorem for primes in arithmetic progressions. A simple counting argument then gives

$$\frac{\#\{(n_1,\dots,n_{\ell-1})\,(\mathrm{mod}\,p^2)\ :\ n_k\not\equiv 0\,(\mathrm{mod}\,p^2)\forall k,(n_k\not\equiv 0\,(\mathrm{mod}\,p)\forall k)\}}{\#\{(n_1,\dots,n_{\ell-1})\,(\mathrm{mod}\,p^2)\ :\ n_k\not\equiv 0\,(\mathrm{mod}\,p^2)\forall k,(n_k\equiv 0\,(\mathrm{mod}\,p)\text{ for at most one value of }k)\}}$$
$$=\frac{(p^2-p)^{\ell-1}}{(p^2-p)^{\ell-1}+(\ell-1)(p^2-p)^{\ell-2}(p-1)}=\frac{p^2-p}{(p^2-p)+(\ell-1)(p-1)}=\frac{p}{p+\ell-1},$$

and this gives $\mathbb{P}\left(\mathbb{X}(p)=0\right)=\frac{\ell-1}{p+\ell-1}$.

3. Moments of $L(1,\chi)$

We compute in this section the moments of $L(1,\chi)$ for $\chi\in\mathcal{F}_3(X)$. We introduce the generalized divisor function in order to properly state our result. Let $z\in\mathbb{C}$, and n be a positive integer. The z-divisor function $d_z(n)$ is the multiplicative function defined on primes powers by

$$d_z(p^a)=\frac{\Gamma(z+a)}{\Gamma(z)\,a!}. \tag{3.1}$$

Then, for any Dirichlet series $D(s)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{a(n)}{n^s}$, where the $a(n)$ are multiplicative, and for $s\in\mathbb{C}$ such that the series is absolutely convergent, we have

$$D(s)^z=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{d_z(n)a(n)}{n^s}.$$

We collect here the estimates that we will use for $d_z(n)$, which can also be found at the end of Section 2 of [GS03]. We have $|d_z(n)|\leq d_{|z|}(n)$, and for a real number $k\geq 1$, $d_k(mn)\leq d_k(m)d_k(n)$. For any positive integers a,b,n, we have $d_a(n)d_b(n)\leq d_{a+b}(n)$, and for any $z\in\mathbb{C},\beta\in\mathbb{R}$, $|d_z(n)|^\beta\leq d_{|z|^\beta}(n)$.

The goal of this section is to prove Theorem 1.4. We first remark that the second identity of Theorem 1.4 follows directly since

$$\mathbb{E}(|L(1,\mathbb{X})|^{2z})=\sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty}\frac{d_z(n)d_z(m)}{nm}\mathbb{E}\left(\mathbb{X}(n)\overline{\mathbb{X}}(m)\right)=\sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty}\frac{d_z(n)d_z(m)}{nm}\mathbb{E}\left(\mathbb{X}(nm^2)\right)$$
$$=\sum_{\substack{n,m=1\\ nm^2=\square}}^{\infty}\frac{d_z(n)d_z(m)}{nm}\prod_{\substack{p\equiv 1\,(\mathrm{mod}\,3)\\ p\mid mn}}\frac{p}{p+2},$$

where the last line follows from Lemma 4.2.

Before proceeding to the proof of Theorem 1.4, we require a few auxiliary results. We first recall the following definition

$$N(\sigma, T, \chi) := \#\{\rho = \beta + i\gamma : L(\rho, \chi) = 0,\ \sigma \leq \beta < 1 \text{ and } |\gamma| \leq T\}. \tag{3.2}$$

Estimates for $N(\sigma, T, \chi)$ are known as zero density estimates. The Generalized Riemann Hypothesis states that for any $\sigma > \frac{1}{2}$ we have $N(\sigma, T, \chi) = 0$ for all characters χ modulo q. In the absence of the Riemann Hypothesis one can show that $N(\sigma, T, \chi)$ is quite small when compared to the total zero count

$$N(T, \chi) := \#\{\rho = \beta + i\gamma : L(\rho, \chi) = 0,\ 0 < \beta < 1 \text{ and } |\gamma| \leq T\} \sim \frac{T}{\pi} \log \frac{qT}{2\pi}.$$

Lemma 3.1. [Mon71, Theorem 12.2] *Suppose that $Q \geq 1$ and $T \geqslant 2$. If $\frac{1}{2} \leq \sigma \leq \frac{4}{5}$, we have*

$$\sum_{q \leq Q} \sideset{}{^*}\sum_{\chi \,(\mathrm{mod}\, q)} N(\sigma, T, \chi) \ll (Q^2 T)^{\frac{3(1-\sigma)}{2-\sigma}} (\log(QT))^9,$$

and if $\frac{4}{5} \leq \sigma \leq 1$ then

$$\sum_{q \leq Q} \sideset{}{^*}\sum_{\chi \,(\mathrm{mod}\, q)} N(\sigma, T, \chi) \ll (Q^2 T)^{\frac{2(1-\sigma)}{\sigma}} (\log(QT))^{14}.$$

Note that the power of T here is strictly less than 1 for all $\frac{1}{2} < \sigma \leq 1$. We will see why this becomes useful in just a few lemmas. First, let us write an expression for $|L(1, \chi)|^{2z}$ under the assumption that $N(1 - \epsilon, 2(\log q)^{2/\epsilon}, \chi) = 0$ for a fixed ϵ:

Lemma 3.2. *Let q be large and $0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{2}$ be fixed. Let y be a real number such that $\frac{\log q}{\log_2 q} \leq \log y \leq \log q$. Furthermore, assume that $L(s, \chi)$ has no zeros inside the rectangle $\{s : 1 - \epsilon < \mathrm{Re}(s) \leq 1 \,\text{and}\, |\,\mathrm{Im}(s)| \leq 2(\log q)^{2/\epsilon}\}$. Then for any complex number z such that $|z| \leq \log y/(4 \log_2 q \log_3 q)$ we have*

$$|L(1, \chi)|^{2z} = \sum_{m,n \geqslant 1} \frac{d_z(m) d_z(n) \chi(n) \overline{\chi}(m)}{mn} e^{-mn/y} + O_\epsilon\left(\exp\left(-\frac{\log y}{4 \log_2 q}\right)\right),$$

where $d_z(n)$ is the z-divisor function defined by (3.1).

Proof. The proof can be easily adapted from the proof of Proposition 3.3 in [DL18]. □

We will need two versions of the Pólya–Vinogradov inequality.

Lemma 3.3. [Hin83] *Let K be a number field of degree d and let χ be a primitive nonprincipal character of the group of narrow ideal-classes modulo an ideal $\mathfrak{q}$. Then we have*

$$\sum_{N(\mathfrak{a}) \leq X} \chi(\mathfrak{a}) \ll N(\mathfrak{q})^{\frac{1}{d+1}} (\log N(\mathfrak{q}))^d X^{\frac{d-1}{d+1}}.$$

Lemma 3.4. *If n is a positive integer which is not a cube, then*

$$\sum_{\chi \in \mathcal{F}_3(X)} \chi(n) \ll X^{\frac{1}{2}} \log X\, \tilde{n}^{\frac{1}{2}} (\log \tilde{n})^{\frac{3}{2}},$$

where $\tilde{n}$ denotes the radical of n.

Remark 3.5. We remark that this result is a weaker analogue of [GS03, Lemma 4.1], which gives a bound of $X^{\frac{1}{2}}n^{\frac{1}{4}}(\log n)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ in the quadratic case. This is because we have to use Lemma 3.3, since we are working with integers in $\mathbb{Z}[\omega_3]$, and the degree of the extension is 2.

The following statement provides a way to express the number of elements of $\mathcal{F}_3(X)$ as a single sum over characters of $\mathbb{Z}[\omega_3]$, with some additional conditions.

Lemma 3.6. [BY10, Lemma 2.1] *The primitive cubic Dirichlet characters of conductor q, $(q,3)=1$ are of the form $\chi_n : m \to \left(\frac{m}{n}\right)_3$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega_3]$, $n \equiv 1 \pmod 3$, n squarefree and not divisible by any rational primes, with norm $N(n)=q$.*

From Lemma 3.6, we have

$$\sum_{\chi \in \mathcal{F}_3(X)} 1 = \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega_3] \\ N(n) \leq X}}{}' 1,$$

where the prime indicates that the sum runs over the integers $n \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega_3]$ which are square-free, not divisible by any $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, and such that $n \equiv 1 \pmod 3$. We use the detectors

$$\sum_{\substack{d \in \mathbb{Z}, d \mid n \\ d \equiv 1 \pmod 3}} \mu_{\mathbb{Z}}(d) = \begin{cases} 1 & n \text{ is not divisible by a rational prime,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

(where $\mu_{\mathbb{Z}}(d) = \mu(|d|)$), and

$$\sum_{\substack{d \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega_3], d \equiv 1 \pmod 3 \\ d^2 \mid n}} \mu_{\mathbb{Z}[\omega_3]}(d) = \begin{cases} 1 & n \text{ is square-free,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof of Lemma 3.4. Using Lemma 3.6, we have

(3.3)

$$\sum_{\chi \in \mathcal{F}_3(X)} \chi(n) = \sum_{\substack{d \in \mathbb{Z},\ d \equiv 1 \pmod 3 \\ |d| \leq \sqrt{X}}} \mu_{\mathbb{Z}}(d) \left(\frac{n}{d}\right)_3 \sum_{\substack{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega_3],\ \ell \equiv 1 \pmod 3 \\ (\ell,d)=1 \\ N(\ell) \leq \sqrt{X/N(d)}}} \mu_{\mathbb{Z}[\omega_3]}(\ell) \left(\frac{n}{\ell^2}\right)_3 \sum_{\substack{c \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega_3],\ c \equiv 1 \pmod 3 \\ (c,d)=1 \\ N(c) \leq X/N(d\ell^2)}} \left(\frac{n}{c}\right)_3$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{d \in \mathbb{Z},\ d \equiv 1 \pmod 3 \\ e \mid d,\ e \equiv 1 \bmod 3 \\ |d| \leq \sqrt{X}}} \mu_{\mathbb{Z}[\omega_3]}(e)\mu_{\mathbb{Z}}(d) \left(\frac{n}{d}\right)_3 \left(\frac{n}{e}\right)_3 \sum_{\substack{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega_3],\ \ell \equiv 1 \pmod 3 \\ (\ell,d)=1 \\ N(\ell) \leq \sqrt{X/N(d)}}} \mu_{\mathbb{Z}[\omega_3]}(\ell) \left(\frac{n}{\ell^2}\right)_3 \sum_{\substack{c \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega_3],\ c \equiv 1 \pmod 3 \\ N(c) \leq X/N(de\ell^2)}} \left(\frac{n}{c}\right)_3.$$

The function $\psi_n : (c) \mapsto \left(\frac{n}{c}\right)_3$ defined on ideals $(c) \subset \mathbb{Z}[\omega_3]$ (coprime to 3, and where $c \equiv 1 \pmod 3$) is a non-trivial Hecke character of modulus $9n$, and we apply Lemma 3.3. It is not necessarily primitive, but we work with the primitive character induced by $\left(\frac{n}{c}\right)_3$, whose conductor divides $9\tilde{n}$, where $\tilde{n}$ is the radical of n. Since $[\mathbb{Q}(\omega_3) : \mathbb{Q}] = 2$, we get by Lemma 3.3 that

$$\sum_{\substack{c \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega_3], c \equiv 1 \pmod 3 \\ N(c) \leq X/N(de\ell^2)}} \left(\frac{n}{c}\right)_3 \ll N(\tilde{n})^{\frac{1}{3}} \log^2 N(\tilde{n}) \left(\frac{X}{N(de\ell^2)}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \ll \tilde{n}^{\frac{2}{3}} \left(\frac{X}{N(de\ell^2)}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} (\log \tilde{n})^2,$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\chi\in\mathcal{F}_3(X)}\chi(n) &\ll \sum_{\substack{d\in\mathbb{Z},\, d\equiv 1 \,(\mathrm{mod}\, 3)\\ |d|\le\sqrt{X}\\ e|d,\, e\equiv 1\,(\mathrm{mod}\,3)}}\ \sum_{\substack{\ell\in\mathbb{Z}[\omega_3],\ell\equiv 1\,(\mathrm{mod}\,3)\\ (\ell,d)=1\\ N(\ell)\le\sqrt{X/N(d)}}} \min\left(\tilde{n}^{\frac{2}{3}}\left(\frac{X}{N(de\ell^2)}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}(\log\tilde{n})^2, \frac{X}{N(ed\ell^2)}\right)\\ &\ll X^{\frac{1}{3}}\tilde{n}^{\frac{2}{3}}(\log\tilde{n})^2\sum_{\substack{d\in\mathbb{Z},d\equiv 1\,(\mathrm{mod}\,3)\\ |d|\le\sqrt{X}\\ e|d,\, e\equiv 1\,(\mathrm{mod}\,3)}}\frac{1}{N(ed)^{\frac{1}{3}}}\sum_{\substack{\ell\in\mathbb{Z}[\omega_3],\ell\equiv 1\,(\mathrm{mod}\,3)\\ N(\ell)\le\ell_0}}\frac{1}{N(\ell)^{\frac{2}{3}}}\\ &+ X\sum_{\substack{d\in\mathbb{Z},d\equiv 1\,(\mathrm{mod}\,3)\\ |d|\le\sqrt{X}\\ e|d,\, e\equiv 1\,(\mathrm{mod}\,3)}}\frac{1}{N(ed)}\sum_{\substack{\ell\in\mathbb{Z}[\omega_3],\ell\equiv 1\,(\mathrm{mod}\,3)\\ N(\ell)\ge\ell_0}}\frac{1}{N(\ell)^2}\\ &\ll X^{\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{n}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\log\tilde{n})^{\frac{3}{2}}\sum_{\substack{d\in\mathbb{Z},d\equiv 1\,(\mathrm{mod}\,3)\\ |d|\le\sqrt{X}\\ e|d,\, e\equiv 1\,(\mathrm{mod}\,3)}}\frac{1}{N(ed)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \ll X^{\frac{1}{2}}\log X\tilde{n}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\log\tilde{n})^{\frac{3}{2}},\end{aligned}$$

where $\ell_0 = X^{\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{n}^{-1/2}(\log\tilde{n})^{-3/2}N(ed)^{-1/2}$. □

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Let $y = X^{\alpha}$ for some $\alpha < \frac{1}{2}$ and let z be a complex number such that $|z| \le \log y/(4\log_2 X\log_3 X)$. Let

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\epsilon}(X) = \{\chi\in\mathcal{F}_3(X) : N(1-\epsilon, 2(\log q)^{2/\epsilon}, \chi) = 0\},$$

where $N(1-\epsilon, 2(\log q)^{2/\epsilon}, \chi)$ is defined by (3.2). Then,

$$\frac{1}{|\mathcal{F}_3(X)|}\sum_{\chi\in\mathcal{F}_3(X)}|L(1,\chi)|^{2z} = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{F}_3(X)|}\sum_{\chi\in\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\epsilon}(X)}|L(1,\chi)|^{2z} + \frac{1}{|\mathcal{F}_3(X)|}\sum_{\chi\in\mathcal{F}_3(X)\setminus\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\epsilon}(X)}|L(1,\chi)|^{2z}.$$

We first show that the second sum is negligible using Lemma 3.1. Afterwards, we apply Lemma 3.2 to the first sum to obtain the desired main term. First, if $\frac{4}{5}\le 1-\epsilon$ then

$$\begin{aligned}|\mathcal{F}_3(X)\setminus\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\epsilon}(X)| &= \sum_{q\le X}\sum_{\substack{\chi \text{ cubic}\\ N(1-\epsilon,2(\log q)^{2/\epsilon},\chi)\neq 0}} 1\\ &\ll \sum_{q\le X}\sideset{}{^*}\sum_{\chi\,(\mathrm{mod}\,q)} N(1-\epsilon, 2(\log q)^{2/\epsilon}, \chi)\\ &\ll (X^2 2(\log X)^{2/\epsilon})^{\frac{2\epsilon}{1-\epsilon}}(\log(X2(\log X)^{2/\epsilon}))^{14} \ll X^{\frac{4}{5}+\epsilon},\end{aligned}$$

where the last line comes from choosing [1] $\epsilon = \frac{1}{6}$. Thus we have, by the standard bound $|L(1,\chi)| \ll \log \mathrm{cond}(\chi)$,

$$\sum_{\chi\in\mathcal{F}_3(X)\setminus\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\epsilon}(X)}|L(1,\chi)|^{2z} \ll X^{\frac{4}{5}+\epsilon}\exp(2z\log_2 X).$$

[1] It suffices to choose any $\epsilon < \frac{1}{5}$. The choice of $\epsilon = \frac{1}{6}$ is motivated by convenience of the final expression.

Recall that $y = X^{\alpha}$, for some $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$, which gives

$$\sum_{\chi \in \mathcal{F}_3(X) \setminus \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\epsilon}(X)} |L(1,\chi)|^{2z} \ll X^{\frac{4}{5}+\epsilon} \exp\left(\frac{\alpha \log X}{2 \log_3 X}\right) \ll X^{\frac{4}{5}+2\epsilon}.$$

So far we have seen,

$$\frac{1}{|\mathcal{F}_3(X)|} \sum_{\chi \in \mathcal{F}_3(X)} |L(1,\chi)|^{2z} = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{F}_3(X)|} \sum_{\chi \in \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{1/6}(X)} |L(1,\chi)|^{2z} + O\left(X^{-\frac{1}{5}+\epsilon}\right).$$

Now, we apply Lemma 3.2 to obtain

$$\frac{1}{|\mathcal{F}_3(X)|} \sum_{\chi \in \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{1/6}(X)} |L(1,\chi)|^{2z} = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{F}_3(X)|} \sum_{\chi \in \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{1/6}(X)} \sum_{m,n \geqslant 1} \frac{d_z(m) d_z(n) \chi(n) \overline{\chi}(m)}{mn} e^{-mn/y} + O\left(\exp\left(-\frac{\alpha \log X}{4 \log_2 q}\right)\right).$$

In order to apply the orthogonality relation we require the sum to be over $\mathcal{F}_3(X)$ and not $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{1/6}(X)$. We can extend our sum to the full family by noting that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\chi \in \mathcal{F}_3(X) \setminus \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{1/6}(X)} \sum_{m,n \geqslant 1} \frac{d_z(m) d_z(n) \chi(n) \overline{\chi}(m)}{mn} e^{-mn/y} &\ll |\mathcal{F}_3(X) \setminus \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{1/6}(X)| \sum_{m,n \geqslant 1} \frac{d_z(m) d_z(n)}{mn} e^{-mn/y} \\ &\ll X^{\frac{4}{5}+\epsilon} (\log(3y))^{2\lceil |z| \rceil} \ll X^{\frac{4}{5}+2\epsilon},\end{aligned}$$

where the second line follows from [GS03, Eq. (2.4)] and the fact that $e^{-mn/y} \leq e^{-(m+n)/y}$ for $m, n > 1$, and the last calculation comes from the assumptions on y and $|z|$.

Therefore,

$$\frac{1}{|\mathcal{F}_3(X)|} \sum_{\chi \in \mathcal{F}_3(X)} |L(1,\chi)|^{2z} = \sum_{m,n \geqslant 1} \frac{d_z(m) d_z(n)}{mn} e^{-mn/y} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{F}_3(X)|} \sum_{\chi \in \mathcal{F}_3(X)} \chi(nm^2) + O\left(\exp\left(-\frac{\alpha \log X}{4 \log_2 q}\right)\right),$$

and applying (2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{|\mathcal{F}_3(X)|} \sum_{\chi \in \mathcal{F}_3(X)} |L(1,\chi)|^{2z} = &\sum_{\substack{m,n \geqslant 1 \\ nm^2 = \square}} \frac{d_z(m) d_z(n) e^{-mn/y}}{mn} \prod_{\substack{p \equiv 1 \pmod 3 \\ p \mid nm}} \frac{p}{p+2} \\ &+ O\left(X^{-3/8+\epsilon} \sum_{\substack{m,n \geqslant 1 \\ nm^2 = \square}} \frac{d_z(m) d_z(n) (d(mn))^2}{mn} e^{-mn/y}\right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{|\mathcal{F}_3(X)|} \sum_{\substack{m,n \geqslant 1 \\ nm^2 \neq \square}} \frac{d_z(m) d_z(n)}{mn} e^{-mn/y} \sum_{\chi \in \mathcal{F}_3(X)} \chi(nm^2) + O\left(\exp\left(-\frac{\alpha \log X}{4 \log_2 X}\right)\right).\end{aligned}$$

We recognize the first sum as the main term listed in Theorem 1.4 with a smoothing factor $e^{-mn/y}$, which will be removed later. Therefore, it is necessary to prove that the other terms

are negligible. For the second sum, we have

$$X^{-\frac{3}{8}+\epsilon}\sum_{\substack{m,n\geqslant 1\\ nm^2=\square}}\frac{d_z(m)d_z(n)(d(mn))^2}{mn}e^{-mn/y}\ll X^{-\frac{3}{8}+\epsilon}\bigg(\sum_{n\geqslant 1}\frac{d_{\lceil|z|\rceil+2}(n)}{n}e^{-n/y}\bigg)^2$$
$$\ll X^{-\frac{3}{8}+\epsilon}(\exp(3y))^{2(\lceil|z|\rceil+2)}\ll X^{-\frac{3}{8}+2\epsilon},$$

where we have applied that $e^{-mn/y}\leq e^{-(m+n)/y}$, $d(mn)\leq d(m)d(n)$ and $d_a(m)d_b(m)\leq d_{a+b}(m)$ for a,b positive integers.

For the sum over non-cubes we apply Lemma 3.4 together with the fact that the radical of nm^2 is bounded by nm to get

$$\sum_{\substack{m,n\geqslant 1\\ nm^2\neq\square}}\frac{d_z(m)d_z(n)}{mn}e^{-mn/y}\sum_{\chi\in\mathcal{F}_3(X)}\chi(nm^2)\ll X^{\frac{1}{2}}\log X\bigg(\sum_{n\geqslant 1}\frac{d_z(n)(\log(n))^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\sqrt{n}}e^{-n/y}\bigg)^2. \tag{3.4}$$

We now further split (3.4) into two sums, for $n\leq y(\log y)^2$ and for $n>y(\log y)^2$. For the first case, using $1\leq\frac{\sqrt{y}\log(y)}{\sqrt{n}}$, we get

$$\sum_{n\leq y(\log y)^2}\frac{d_z(n)(\log n)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\sqrt{n}}e^{-n/y}\leq\sqrt{y}(\log y)^3\sum_{n\leq y(\log y)^2}\frac{d_z(n)}{n}e^{-n/y}\ll\sqrt{y}(\log y)^3(\log(3y))^{\lceil|z|\rceil}.$$

Replacing in (3.4) and using $y=X^{\alpha}$ we see the contribution of $n\leq y(\log y)^2$ is

$$\ll X^{\frac{1}{2}+\alpha}(\log X)^7\exp\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\frac{\log(X)}{\log_3 X}\right)\ll X^{\frac{1}{2}+\alpha+\epsilon},$$

which is $O\left(X^{1-\epsilon'}\right)$ when $\alpha<\frac{1}{2}$. For the remaining range, we note that if $m\geq y(\log y)^2$ then $e^{-m/(2y)}\leq e^{-(\log y)^2/2}$, so that

$$\bigg(\sum_{n\geq y(\log y)^2}\frac{d_z(n)(\log(n))^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\sqrt{n}}e^{-n/y}\bigg)^2\ll e^{-(\log y)^2}(\log X)^3\bigg(\sum_{n\geq y(\log y)^2}\frac{d_z(n)}{\sqrt{n}}e^{-n/(2y)}\bigg)^2.$$

Now, if k is a positive integer and $x>3$, we have $d_k(n)e^{-n/x}\leq e^{k/x}\sum_{a_1a_2\cdots a_k=n}e^{-(a_1+a_2+\cdots+a_k)/x}$ so that

$$\bigg(\sum_{n\geq y(\log y)^2}\frac{d_z(n)}{\sqrt{n}}e^{-n/(2y)}\bigg)^2\leq\bigg(e^{1/(2y)}\sum_{a=1}^{\infty}\frac{e^{-a/(2y)}}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}}\bigg)^{2\lceil|z|\rceil}\ll y^{2\lceil|z|\rceil}.$$

Replacing in (3.4), we see the contribution of $n>y(\log y)^2$ is

$$\begin{aligned}\ll X^{\frac{1}{2}}(\log X)^4e^{-(\log y)^2}y^{2\lceil|z|\rceil}&=\exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\log X+4\log_2 X-(\log y)^2+2\lceil|z|\rceil\log y\right)\\&=\exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\log X+4\log_2 X-(\alpha\log X)^2+\frac{\alpha^2(\log X)^2}{2\log_2 X\log_3 X}\right)\\&\ll X^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have proven that

(3.5)
$$\frac{1}{|\mathcal{F}_3(X)|}\sum_{\chi\in\mathcal{F}_3(X)}|L(1,\chi)|^{2z}=\sum_{\substack{m,n\geqslant 1\\ nm^2=\square}}\frac{d_z(m)d_z(n)e^{-mn/y}}{mn}\prod_{\substack{p\equiv 1\,(\mathrm{mod}\,3)\\ p\mid nm}}\frac{p}{p+2}+O\left(\exp\left(-\frac{\alpha\log X}{4\log_2 X}\right)\right),$$

and the last remaining step is the removal of the smoothing factor. Note that $1-e^{-t}\ll t^{\beta}$ for any $\beta,t>0$, thus

$$\sum_{\substack{m,n\geqslant 1\\ nm^2=\square}}\frac{d_z(m)d_z(n)(1-e^{-mn/y})}{mn}\prod_{\substack{p\equiv 1\,(\mathrm{mod}\,3)\\ p\mid nm}}\frac{p}{p+2}\ll\sum_{\substack{m,n\geqslant 1\\ nm^2=\square}}\frac{d_z(m)d_z(n)(\frac{mn}{y})^{\beta}}{mn}$$

$$\ll y^{-\beta}\sum_{r\geq 1}\frac{d_{|z|}(r)^2}{r^{2-2\beta}}\left(\sum_{s\geq 1}\frac{d_{|z|}(s)^3}{s^{3-3\beta}}\right)^2, \tag{3.6}$$

where we have used $nm^2=\square$ and the substitution $n=rs^3$ and $m=rt^3$. For the sum over r, we use [Lam11, Lemma 3.3], with the choice $\beta=\frac{3}{\log_2 X}$, to obtain

$$\sum_{r\geq 1}\frac{d_{|z|}(r)^2}{r^{2-2\beta}}\leq\exp((2+o(1))\lceil|z|\rceil\log_2\lceil|z|\rceil).$$

For the sum over s, we use the multiplicativity of $d_z(n)$, which gives

$$\sum_{s=1}^{\infty}\frac{d_{|z|}(s)^3}{s^{3-3\beta}}=\prod_{p}\left(1+\frac{d_{|z|}(p)^3}{p^{3-3\beta}}+\frac{d_{|z|}(p^2)^3}{p^{6-6\beta}}+\cdots\right),$$

the recursive property $\Gamma(z+1)=z\Gamma(z)$, and the fact that for any integer $r\geq 1$, we have

$$d_{|z|}(p^r)=\frac{\Gamma(|z|+r)}{\Gamma(|z|)\,r!}=\frac{|z|(|z|+1))\cdots(|z|+r-1)}{r!}.$$

Splitting the Euler product into two pieces depending on the size of p relative to $|z|$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{s=1}^{\infty}\frac{d_{|z|}(s)^3}{s^{3-3\beta}}&=\prod_{p\leq|z|+2}\left(1+\frac{d_{|z|}(p)^3}{p^{3-3\beta}}+\frac{d_{|z|}(p^2)^3}{p^{6-6\beta}}+\cdots\right)\prod_{p>|z|+2}\left(1+O\left(\frac{|z|^3}{p^{3-3\beta}}\right)\right)\\&\ll\prod_{p\leq|z|+2}\left(1+\frac{d_{|z|}(p)}{p^{1-\beta}}+\frac{d_{|z|}(p^2)}{p^{2-2\beta}}+\cdots\right)^3\prod_{p>|z|+2}\left(1+O\left(\frac{|z|^3}{p^{3-3\beta}}\right)\right)\\&\ll\prod_{p\leq|z|+2}\left(1+\frac{3(|z|+1)}{p^{1-\beta}}+\cdots\right)^3\prod_{p>|z|+2}\left(1+O\left(\frac{|z|^3}{p^{3-3\beta}}\right)\right)\\&\ll\prod_{p\leq|z|+2}\left(1-\frac{1}{p^{1-\beta}}\right)^{-3|z|}\prod_{p>|z|+2}\left(1+O\left(\frac{|z|^3}{p^{3-3\beta}}\right)\right),\end{aligned}$$

and using Mertens' theorem $\prod_{p\le y}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)\sim\frac{e^{-\gamma}}{\log y}$, we obtain

$$\sum_{s=1}^{\infty}\frac{(d_{|z|}(s))^3}{s^{3-3\beta}}\ll(e^{\gamma}\log(|z|+2))^{3|z|}\prod_{p>|z|+2}\left(1+O\left(\frac{|z|^3}{p^3}\right)\right)$$
$$\ll(e^2\log(|z|+2))^{3|z|}.$$

Replacing in (3.6), the error term from removing the smoothing is

$$\begin{aligned}&\ll\exp(-\beta\log y+12|z|+6|z|\log_2|z|+(2+o(1))\lceil|z|\rceil\log_2\lceil|z|\rceil))\\&\ll\exp\left(-\beta\alpha\log X+\frac{3\alpha\log X}{\log_2 X\log_3 X}+\frac{3\alpha\log X}{2\log_2 X\log_3 X}\log\left(\log_2 X-\log_3 X-\log_4 X\right)\right.\\&\qquad\left.+(2+o(1))\frac{\alpha\log X}{4\log_2 X\log_3 X}\log\left(\log_2 X-\log_3 X-\log_4 X\right)\right)\\&\ll\exp\left(-\frac{\alpha\log X}{\log_2 X}\right),\end{aligned}$$

which is smaller than the error term from (3.5). Recalling that $\alpha<1/2$, we choose $\alpha=1/4$. This completes the proof. □

4. Working with the random variables

Let $\mathbb{X}(m)$ and $L(1,\mathbb{X})$ be the random variables defined in the introduction. The main result of this section is the following theorem, which will be used to prove Theorems 1.2 and 1.3.

Theorem 4.1. *For τ large, we have*

$$\Phi(\tau)=\frac{\mathbb{E}\left(|L(1,\mathbb{X})|^{2\kappa}\right)(e^{\gamma}\tau)^{-2\kappa}}{\kappa\sqrt{2\pi\mathcal{L}''(\kappa)}}\left(1+O\left(\sqrt{\frac{\log\kappa}{\kappa}}\right)\right)$$

and

$$\Psi(\tau)=\frac{\mathbb{E}\left(|L(1,\mathbb{X})|^{-2\widetilde{\kappa}}\right)\zeta(3)^{\widetilde{\kappa}}}{\widetilde{\kappa}\sqrt{2\pi\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}''(\widetilde{\kappa})}(e^{\gamma}\tau^2)^{\widetilde{\kappa}}}\left(1+O\left(\sqrt{\frac{\log\widetilde{\kappa}}{\widetilde{\kappa}}}\right)\right),$$

where $\Phi(\tau)$ and $\Psi(\tau)$ are given by (1.6) *and* (1.7) *respectively, $\mathcal{L},\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$ are defined by* (4.2) *and* (4.3)*, and $\kappa,\widetilde{\kappa}$ are the unique solutions to* (4.16) *and* (4.17)*.*

4.1. Expectations.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $m=p_1^{a_1}\cdots p_k^{a_k}$, and let $\mathbb{X}(p)$ be the independent random variables defined in the introduction, taking the value 0 with probability*

$$\delta_p:=\begin{cases}0 & p\equiv 2 \bmod 3 \text{ or } p=3,\\ \frac{2}{p+2} & p\equiv 2 \bmod 3,\end{cases}$$

and each of the values $1,\omega_3,\omega_3^2$ with probability $\frac{\alpha_p}{3}$ where

$$\alpha_p:=\begin{cases}1 & p\equiv 2 \bmod 3 \text{ or } p=3,\\ \frac{p}{p+2} & p\equiv 2 \bmod 3.\end{cases}\tag{4.1}$$

Notice that $\alpha_p = 1 - \delta_p$. *Then*

$$\mathbb{E}(\mathbb{X}(m)) = \begin{cases} \prod_{j=1}^{k}(1-\delta_{p_j}) = \prod_{\substack{p\equiv 1 \,(\mathrm{mod}\, 3)\\ p\mid m}} \frac{p}{p+2} & \text{if } m = \square, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}\left(\frac{\mathbb{X}(m)}{m}\right) \le \zeta(3)$ *and* $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{Var}\left(\frac{\mathbb{X}(m)}{m}\right) \le \zeta(6)$.

Proof. By independence,

$$\mathbb{E}(\mathbb{X}(m)) = \prod_{j=1}^{k} \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{X}(p_j)^{a_j}).$$

If a_j is divisible by 3, then

$$\mathbb{E}(\mathbb{X}(p_j)^{a_j}) = \frac{\alpha_{p_j}}{3} + \frac{\alpha_{p_j}}{3} + \frac{\alpha_{p_j}}{3} = 1 - \delta_{p_j}.$$

On the other hand, if $a_j \equiv \pm 1 \,(\mathrm{mod}\, 3)$,

$$\mathbb{E}(\mathbb{X}(p_j)^{a_j}) = \frac{\alpha_{p_j}}{3} + \omega_3^{\pm 1}\frac{\alpha_{p_j}}{3} + \omega_3^{\mp 1}\frac{\alpha_{p_j}}{3} = 0.$$

Finally, we have

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}\left(\frac{\mathbb{X}(m)}{m}\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}(\mathbb{X}(n^3))}{n^3} \le \zeta(3),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{Var}\left(\frac{\mathbb{X}(m)}{m}\right) &= \sum_{m\neq\square} \frac{\mathbb{E}(\mathbb{X}(m)^2)}{m^2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^6}\left[\mathbb{E}\left(\mathbb{X}(n^3)^2\right) - \mathbb{E}\left(\mathbb{X}(n^3)\right)^2\right] \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^6}\left[\mathbb{E}\left(\mathbb{X}(n^3)\right) - \mathbb{E}\left(\mathbb{X}(n^3)\right)^2\right] \le \zeta(6). \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 4.3. Lemma 4.2 guarantees the conditions for Kolmogorov's three-series theorem, namely, (i) $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\left|\frac{\mathbb{X}(m)}{m}\right| > 1\right) < \infty$ (since $|\mathbb{X}(m)| \le 1$ with probability 1) (ii) $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}\left(\frac{\mathbb{X}(m)}{m}\right) < \infty$, and (iii) $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{Var}\left(\frac{\mathbb{X}(m)}{m}\right) < \infty$.

For any $z \in \mathbb{C}$, let

$$E_p(z) := \mathbb{E}\left(\left|1 - \frac{\mathbb{X}(p)}{p}\right|^{-2z}\right).$$

Lemma 4.4. *For* $z \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$E_p(z) = 1 - \alpha_p + \frac{\alpha_p}{3}\left(1 - \frac{2}{p} + \frac{1}{p^2}\right)^{-z} + \frac{2\alpha_p}{3}\left(1 + \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p^2}\right)^{-z}.$$

Proof. We compute

$$E_p(z) = \mathbb{E}\left(\left|1 - \frac{\mathbb{X}(p)}{p}\right|^{-2z}\right) = \mathbb{E}\left(\left|1 - \frac{\mathbb{X}(p) + \overline{\mathbb{X}}(p)}{p} + \frac{\mathbb{X}(p)\overline{\mathbb{X}}(p)}{p^2}\right|^{-z}\right)$$
$$= 1 - \alpha_p + \frac{\alpha_p}{3}\left(1 - \frac{2}{p} + \frac{1}{p^2}\right)^{-z} + \frac{2\alpha_p}{3}\left(1 + \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p^2}\right)^{-z},$$

and the statement follows. □

For any real number $r > 0$, we define

$$\mathcal{L}(r) := \log(\mathbb{E}(|L(1, \mathbb{X})|^{2r})) = \sum_p \log E_p(r), \tag{4.2}$$

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(r) := \log(\mathbb{E}(|L(1, \mathbb{X})|^{-2r})) = \sum_p \log E_p(-r). \tag{4.3}$$

Proposition 4.5. *For any real number $r \geq 4$ we have*

$$\mathcal{L}(r) = 2r \log\log r + 2r\gamma + \frac{2r(C_{\max} - 1)}{\log r} + O\left(\frac{r}{(\log r)^2}\right), \tag{4.4}$$

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(r) = r \log\log r + r\gamma + \frac{r(C_{\min} - 1)}{\log r} - r\log\zeta(3) + O\left(\frac{r}{(\log r)^2}\right), \tag{4.5}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}'(r) &= 2\log\log r + 2\gamma + \frac{2C_{\max}}{\log r} + O\left(\frac{1}{(\log r)^2}\right), \\ \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}'(r) &= \log\log r + (\gamma - \log\zeta(3)) + \frac{C_{\min}}{\log r} + O\left(\frac{1}{(\log r)^2}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

where

$$2C_{\max} := \int_0^1 \frac{2e^{2t} - 2e^{-t}}{t(e^{2t} + 2e^{-t})}dt + \int_1^\infty \frac{-6}{t(e^{3t} + 2)}dt \approx 1.97455\ldots \tag{4.7}$$

and

$$C_{\min} := \int_0^1 \frac{2e^{t} - 2e^{-2t}}{t(e^{-2t} + 2e^{t})}dt + \int_1^\infty \frac{-3e^{-2t}}{t(e^{-2t} + 2e^{t})}dt \approx 1.40459\ldots. \tag{4.8}$$

Moreover, for all real numbers y, t such that $|y| \geq 3$ and $|t| \leq |y|$ we have

$$\mathcal{L}''(y) \asymp \frac{2}{|y|\log|y|} \text{ and } \mathcal{L}'''(y + it) \ll \frac{1}{|y|^2\log|y|}. \tag{4.9}$$

The same holds for the derivatives of $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(r)$.

We will prove Proposition 4.5 in Section 4.2.

Remark 4.6. The difference between the coefficients of $r\log\log r$ in equations (4.4) and (4.5) reflects the distinction between the maximal values (achieved when $\mathbb{X}(p) = 1$, and when the term $\left(1 - \frac{2}{p} + \frac{1}{p^2}\right)^{-r}$ dominates in $E_p(r)$) and the minimal values (achieved when $\mathrm{Re}(\mathbb{X}(p)) = -\frac{1}{2}$, and when the term $\left(1 + \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p^2}\right)^{-r}$ dominates in $E_p(-r)$).

4.2. **Proof of Proposition 4.5.** We first prove some preliminary results.

Lemma 4.7. *Let $r \geq 4$ be a real number. Then we have*

$$\log E_p(r) = \begin{cases} -2r \log\left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) + O(1) & p < r^{\frac{2}{3}}, \\ \log\left(\frac{e^{2r/p} + 2e^{-r/p}}{3}\right) + O\left(\frac{r}{p^2}\right) & p > r^{\frac{2}{3}}, \end{cases} \tag{4.10}$$

and

$$\frac{E_p'(r)}{E_p(r)} = \begin{cases} -2\log\left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)\left(1 + O\left(\exp(-3r^{\frac{1}{3}})\right)\right) & \text{if } p \leq r^{\frac{2}{3}}, \\ \frac{\frac{2}{p}\left(e^{2r/p} - e^{-r/p}\right)}{e^{2r/p} + 2e^{-r/p}}\left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{r}{p^2}\right)\right) & \text{if } p > r^{\frac{2}{3}}. \end{cases}$$

We also have

$$\log E_p(-r) = \begin{cases} r \log\left(1 + \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p^2}\right) + O(1) & p < r^{\frac{2}{3}}, \\ \log\left(\frac{e^{-2r/p} + 2e^{r/p}}{3}\right) + O\left(\frac{r}{p^2}\right) & p > r^{\frac{2}{3}}, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\frac{E_p'(-r)}{E_p(-r)} = \begin{cases} \log\left(1 + \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p^2}\right)\left(1 + O\left(\exp(-3r^{\frac{1}{3}})\right)\right) & \text{if } p \leq r^{\frac{2}{3}}, \\ \frac{\frac{2}{p}\left(e^{r/p} - e^{-2r/p}\right)}{e^{-2r/p} + 2e^{r/p}}\left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{r}{p^2}\right)\right) & \text{if } p > r^{\frac{2}{3}}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We begin with (4.10). For $p \leq r^{\frac{2}{3}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_p(r) &= \frac{\alpha_p}{3}\left(1 - \frac{2}{p} + \frac{1}{p^2}\right)^{-r}\left(1 + \frac{1 - \alpha_p + \frac{2\alpha_p}{3}\left(1 + \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p^2}\right)^{-r}}{\frac{\alpha_p}{3}\left(1 - \frac{2}{p} + \frac{1}{p^2}\right)^{-r}}\right) \\ &= \frac{\alpha_p}{3}\left(1 - \frac{2}{p} + \frac{1}{p^2}\right)^{-r}\left(1 + O\left(\exp\left(-3r^{\frac{1}{3}}\right)\right)\right), \end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

since

$$\frac{1 - \alpha_p + \frac{2\alpha_p}{3}\left(1 + \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p^2}\right)^{-r}}{\frac{\alpha_p}{3}\left(1 - \frac{2}{p} + \frac{1}{p^2}\right)^{-r}} \sim 2\left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-r}\left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-2r} \sim 2\exp(-3r/p).$$

This proves (4.10) when $p \leq r^{\frac{2}{3}}$. For $p > r^{\frac{2}{3}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_p(r) &= 1 - \alpha_p + \frac{\alpha_p}{3}\left(1 - \frac{2}{p} + \frac{1}{p^2}\right)^{-r} + \frac{2\alpha_p}{3}\left(1 + \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p^2}\right)^{-r} \\ &= 1 - \alpha_p + \frac{\alpha_p}{3}\exp\left(\frac{2r}{p} + O\left(\frac{r}{p^2}\right)\right) + \frac{2\alpha_p}{3}\exp\left(-\frac{r}{p} + O\left(\frac{r}{p^2}\right)\right) \\ &= 1 + \frac{\alpha_p}{3}\left(e^{2r/p} + 2e^{-r/p} - 3\right)\exp\left(O\left(\frac{r}{p^2}\right)\right) \\ &\sim \frac{1}{3}\left(e^{2r/p} + 2e^{-r/p}\right)\left(1 + O\left(\frac{r}{p^2}\right)\right) \end{aligned}$$

and (4.10) follows for this case too.

Now we have

$$E_p'(r) = -\frac{\alpha_p}{3}\left(1-\frac{2}{p}+\frac{1}{p^2}\right)^{-r}\log\left(1-\frac{2}{p}+\frac{1}{p^2}\right) - \frac{2\alpha_p}{3}\left(1+\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p^2}\right)^{-r}\log\left(1+\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p^2}\right).$$

For $p \le r^{\frac{2}{3}}$, we use (4.11) and get

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{E_p'(r)}{E_p(r)} &= -\log\left(1-\frac{2}{p}+\frac{1}{p^2}\right)\left(1+O\left(\exp(-3r^{\frac{1}{3}}\right)\right)\\ &= -2\log\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)\left(1+O\left(\exp(-3r^{\frac{1}{3}}\right)\right).\end{aligned}$$

For $p > r^{\frac{2}{3}}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}E_p'(r) &= -\frac{\alpha_p}{3}e^{2r/p}\left(1+O\left(\frac{r}{p^2}\right)\right)\left(-\frac{2}{p}+O\left(\frac{1}{p^2}\right)\right) - \frac{2\alpha_p}{3}e^{-r/p}\left(1+O\left(\frac{r}{p^2}\right)\right)\left(\frac{1}{p}+O\left(\frac{1}{p^2}\right)\right)\\ &= \frac{2\alpha_p}{3p}\left(e^{2r/p}-e^{-r/p}\right)\left(1+O\left(\frac{1}{p}+\frac{r}{p^2}\right)\right)\\ &= \frac{2}{3p}\left(e^{2r/p}-e^{-r/p}\right)\left(1+O\left(\frac{1}{p}+\frac{r}{p^2}\right)\right).\end{aligned}$$

This gives

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{E_p'(r)}{E_p(r)} &= \frac{\frac{2\alpha_p}{3p}\left(e^{2r/p}-e^{-r/p}\right)}{1+\frac{\alpha_p}{3}\left(e^{2r/p}+2e^{-r/p}-3\right)}\left(1+O\left(\frac{1}{p}+\frac{r}{p^2}\right)\right)\\ &= \frac{\frac{2}{p}\left(e^{2r/p}-e^{-r/p}\right)}{\left(e^{2r/p}+2e^{-r/p}\right)}\left(1+O\left(\frac{1}{p}+\frac{r}{p^2}\right)\right).\end{aligned}$$

We now proceed to consider the $E_p(-r)$ case. For $p \le r^{\frac{2}{3}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}E_p(-r) &= \frac{2\alpha_p}{3}\left(1+\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p^2}\right)^r\left(1+\frac{1-\alpha_p+\frac{\alpha_p}{3}\left(1-\frac{2}{p}+\frac{1}{p^2}\right)^r}{\frac{2\alpha_p}{3}\left(1+\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p^2}\right)^r}\right)\\ &= \frac{2\alpha_p}{3}\left(1+\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p^2}\right)^r\left(1+O\left(\exp\left(-3r^{\frac{1}{3}}\right)\right)\right),\end{aligned}$$

since

$$\frac{1-\alpha_p+\frac{\alpha_p}{3}\left(1-\frac{2}{p}+\frac{1}{p^2}\right)^r}{\frac{2\alpha_p}{3}\left(1+\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p^2}\right)^r} \sim \frac{1}{2}\left(1+\frac{1}{p}\right)^{-2r}\left(1+\frac{1}{p}\right)^{-r} \sim \frac{1}{2}\exp(-3r/p).$$

The rest of the proof proceeds similarly as in the case $E_p(r)$. □

Define

$$f(t) = \begin{cases}\log\left(\frac{e^{2t}+2e^{-t}}{3}\right) & 0 \le t < 1,\\ \log\left(\frac{e^{2t}+2e^{-t}}{3}\right) - 2t & 1 \le t.\end{cases} \tag{4.12}$$

and

$$\widetilde{f}(t) = \begin{cases} \log\left(\frac{e^{-2t}+2e^{t}}{3}\right) & 0 \le t < 1, \\ \log\left(\frac{e^{-2t}+2e^{t}}{3}\right) - t & 1 \le t. \end{cases} \tag{4.13}$$

Lemma 4.8. *The functions $f(t)$ and $\widetilde{f}(t)$ are bounded on $[0,\infty)$, $f(t) = t^2 + t^3/3 + O(t^4)$ and $\widetilde{f}(t) = t^2 - t^3/3 + O(t^4)$ if $0 \le t < 1$. Moreover,*

$$\widetilde{f}'(t) = f'(t) = \begin{cases} 2t + O(t^2) & \text{if } 0 \le t < 1, \\ O(e^{-3t}) & \text{if } t \ge 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We see that $f(t)$ is bounded on $[0,\infty)$ since $\frac{e^{2t}}{3} \le \frac{e^{2t}+2e^{-t}}{3} \le e^{2t}$, and the Taylor expansion gives $f(t) = t^2 + t^3/3 + O(t^4)$ if $0 \le t < 1$. For the derivative, if $0 \le t < 1$, then $f'(t) = \frac{2e^{2t}-2e^{-t}}{e^{2t}+2e^{-t}} = 2t + O(t^2)$, and if $t \ge 1$, then $f'(t) = \frac{-6e^{-t}}{e^{2t}+2e^{-t}} = O(e^{-3t})$. The proof is similar for $\widetilde{f}(t)$. □

Proof of Proposition 4.5. We will first prove (4.4) and (4.5), beginning with (4.4).

Lemmas 4.7 and 4.8 give, as in [DL18, Eq. (4.13)],

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(r) &= -2r \sum_{p \le r^{\frac{2}{3}}} \log\left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) + \sum_{p > r^{\frac{2}{3}}} \log\left(\frac{e^{2r/p} + 2e^{-r/p}}{3}\right) + O\left(r^{\frac{2}{3}}\right) \\ &= -2r \sum_{p \le r} \log\left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) + \sum_{r^{\frac{2}{3}} < p < r^{\frac{4}{3}}} f\left(\frac{r}{p}\right) + O\left(r^{\frac{2}{3}}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

Using the Prime Number Theorem $\pi(t) - \mathrm{li}(t) \ll \frac{t}{(\log t)^3}$, together with partial summation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{r^{\frac{2}{3}} < p < r^{\frac{4}{3}}} f\left(\frac{r}{p}\right) &= \int_{r^{\frac{2}{3}}}^{r^{\frac{4}{3}}} f\left(\frac{r}{t}\right) \frac{dt}{\log t} + O\left(\frac{r}{(\log r)^2}\right) \\ &= \frac{r}{\log r} \int_{r^{-1/3}}^{r^{\frac{1}{3}}} \frac{f(u)}{u^2} du + O\left(\frac{r}{(\log r)^2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\int_{r^{-1/3}}^{r^{\frac{1}{3}}} \frac{f(u)}{u^2} du = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{f(u)}{u^2} du + O\left(r^{-1/3}\right),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{f(u)}{u^2} du &= \int_0^{\infty} \frac{f'(u)}{u} du - \left(\lim_{x \to 1^-} \frac{f(x)}{x} - \lim_{x \to 0^+} \frac{f(x)}{x} + \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{f(x)}{x} - \lim_{x \to 1^+} \frac{f(x)}{x}\right) \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} \frac{f'(u)}{u} du - 2 \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{2e^{2t} - 2e^{-t}}{t(e^{2t} + 2e^{-t})} dt + \int_1^{\infty} \frac{-6}{t(e^{3t} + 2)} dt - 2 = 2C_{\max} - 2. \end{aligned}$$

Then, collecting the above estimates and replacing in (4.14) gives

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}(r) =& -2r\sum_{p\leq r}\log\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)+\frac{r}{\log r}\int_0^\infty\frac{f'(u)}{u}du-2\frac{r}{\log r}+O\left(\frac{r}{(\log r)^2}\right)\\ =&2r\log\log r+2r\gamma+\frac{2r(C_{\max}-1)}{\log r}+O\left(\frac{r}{(\log r)^2}\right),\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the explicit form of Mertens' third theorem

$$\prod_{p\leq r}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)=\frac{e^{-\gamma}}{\log r}+O\left(\frac{1}{\log^3 r}\right)$$

due to Rosser and Schoenfeld [RS62].

We now proceed to (4.5), the proof is very similar to (4.4) but we include the details as we arrive at a different constant.

Lemmas 4.7 and 4.8 give, as in the case $\mathcal{L}(r)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(r) =&r\sum_{p\leq r^{\frac{2}{3}}}\log\left(1+\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p^2}\right)+\sum_{p>r^{\frac{2}{3}}}\log\left(\frac{e^{-2r/p}+2e^{r/p}}{3}\right)+O\left(r^{\frac{2}{3}}\right)\\ =&r\sum_{p\leq r}\log\left(1+\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p^2}\right)+\sum_{r^{\frac{2}{3}}<p<r^{\frac{4}{3}}}\widetilde{f}\left(\frac{r}{p}\right)+O\left(r^{\frac{2}{3}}\right),\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\sum_{r^{\frac{2}{3}}<p<r^{\frac{4}{3}}}\widetilde{f}\left(\frac{r}{p}\right)=\frac{r}{\log r}\int_{r^{-1/3}}^{r^{\frac{1}{3}}}\frac{\widetilde{f}(u)}{u^2}du+O\left(\frac{r}{(\log r)^2}\right).$$

We have

$$\int_{r^{-1/3}}^{r^{\frac{1}{3}}}\frac{\widetilde{f}(u)}{u^2}du=\int_0^\infty\frac{\widetilde{f}(u)}{u^2}du+O\left(r^{-1/3}\right),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^\infty\frac{\widetilde{f}(u)}{u^2}du=&\int_0^\infty\frac{\widetilde{f}'(u)}{u}du-\left(\lim_{x\to1^-}\frac{\widetilde{f}(x)}{x}-\lim_{x\to0^+}\frac{\widetilde{f}(x)}{x}+\lim_{x\to\infty}\frac{\widetilde{f}(x)}{x}-\lim_{x\to1^+}\frac{\widetilde{f}(x)}{x}\right)\\ =&\int_0^1\frac{2e^t-2e^{-2t}}{t(e^{-2t}+2e^t)}dt+\int_1^\infty\frac{-3e^{-2t}}{t(e^{-2t}+2e^t)}dt-1=C_{\min}-1.\end{aligned}$$

Then, collecting the above estimates gives

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(r) =&r\sum_{p\leq r}\left(\log\left(1-\frac{1}{p^3}\right)-\log\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)\right)+\frac{r}{\log r}\int_0^\infty\frac{\widetilde{f}'(u)}{u}du-\frac{r}{\log r}+O\left(\frac{r}{(\log r)^2}\right)\\ =&r\log\log r+r\gamma-r\log\zeta(3)+\frac{r(C_{\min}-1)}{\log r}+O\left(\frac{r}{(\log r)^2}\right),\end{aligned}$$

where we have applied Mertens' third theorem as before.

We will only prove the first equation in (4.6) as the proofs are identical for both. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}'(r) =& -2\sum_{p\le r^{\frac{2}{3}}}\log\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)+\sum_{r^{\frac{2}{3}}<p}\frac{2\left(e^{2r/p}-e^{-r/p}\right)}{p\left(e^{2r/p}+2e^{-r/p}\right)}+O\left(r^{-1/3}\right)\\ =& -2\sum_{p\le r}\log\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)+\sum_{r^{\frac{2}{3}}<p<r^{\frac{4}{3}}}\frac{1}{p}f'\left(\frac{r}{p}\right)+O\left(r^{-1/3}\right),\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\sum_{r^{\frac{2}{3}}<p<r^{\frac{4}{3}}}\frac{1}{p}f'\left(\frac{r}{p}\right)=\frac{1}{\log r}\int_0^\infty\frac{f'(u)}{u}du+O\left(\frac{1}{(\log r)^2}\right),$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}'(r) =& -2\sum_{p\le r}\log\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)+\frac{1}{\log r}\int_0^\infty\frac{f'(u)}{u}du+O\left(\frac{1}{(\log r)^2}\right)\\ =& 2\log\log r+2\gamma+\frac{2C_{\max}}{\log r}+O\left(\frac{1}{(\log r)^2}\right).\end{aligned}$$

The approach for the results in (4.9) is the same, although the calculations are more technical. □

The following result corresponds to Lemma 4.5 from [DL18].

Lemma 4.9. *Let r be large. If $p\gg r$, then for some positive constant b_1 we have*

$$\frac{|E_p(r+it)|}{E_p(r)},\frac{|E_p(-r+it)|}{E_p(-r)}\le\exp\left(-b_1\left(1-\cos\left(t\log\left(\frac{p^2+p+1}{p^2-2p+1}\right)\right)\right)\right).$$

Proof. Let x_1,x_2,x_3 be positive real numbers and θ_2,θ_3 be real numbers. The following inequality is established in the proof of [GS03, Lemma 3.2]:

$$|x_1+x_2e^{i\theta_2}+x_3e^{i\theta_3}|\le(x_1+x_2+x_3)\exp\left(-\frac{x_1x_3(1-\cos\theta_3)}{(x_1+x_2+x_3)^2}\right).$$

We apply the above inequality to $x_1=\frac{\alpha_p}{3}\left(1-\frac{2}{p}+\frac{1}{p^2}\right)^{-r}$, $x_2=1-\alpha_p$, $x_3=\frac{2\alpha_p}{3}\left(1+\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p^2}\right)^{-r}$ and $\theta_2=t\log\left(1-\frac{2}{p}+\frac{1}{p^2}\right)$, and $\theta_3=t\log\left(\frac{p^2-2p+1}{p^2+p+1}\right)$. We conclude since $\cos(\log(x))=\cos(\log(1/x))$. The proof for $-r$ is completely analogous. □

Lemma 4.10. *Let r be large. Then, there exists a constant $b_2>0$ such that*

$$\frac{|\mathbb{E}\left(|L(1,\mathbb{X})|^{r+it}\right)|}{\mathbb{E}\left(|L(1,\mathbb{X})|^{r}\right)},\frac{|\mathbb{E}\left(|L(1,\mathbb{X})|^{-r+it}\right)|}{\mathbb{E}\left(|L(1,\mathbb{X})|^{-r}\right)}\ll\begin{cases}\exp\left(-b_2\frac{t^2}{r\log r}\right) & \text{if } |t|\le r/4,\\ \exp\left(-b_2\frac{|t|}{\log|t|}\right) & \text{if } |t|>r/4.\end{cases}$$

Proof. This follows from Lemma 4.9 with exactly the same proof as in [DL18, Lemma 4.6]. □

Lemma 4.11. *For any $\lambda > 0$, let*

$$f(y) := \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{(c)} y^s \frac{e^{\lambda s}-1}{\lambda s}\frac{ds}{s}. \tag{4.15}$$

Then,

$$f(y) = \frac{1}{\lambda}\int_0^{\lambda}\left(\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{(c)}(ye^u)^s\frac{ds}{s}\right)du = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } y > 1,\\ 1+\frac{\log y}{\lambda}, & \text{if } e^{-\lambda}\le y\le 1,\\ 0, & \text{if } y < e^{-\lambda},\end{cases}$$

and we have

$$f(ye^{-\lambda}) \le \phi(y) \le f(y),$$

where $\phi(y)$ is the indicator function

$$\phi(y) := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } y > 0,\\ 0, & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

Proof of Theorem 4.1. We will prove Theorem 4.1 with the saddle-point technique, from which we deduce that $\Phi(\tau)$ is given by a formula involving $\mathbb{E}(|L(1,\mathbb{X})|^{2r})$ evaluated at the saddle point $\kappa = \kappa(\tau)$ defined below. For $\Psi(\tau)$, the distribution is related to $\mathbb{E}(|L(1,\mathbb{X})|^{-2r})$ evaluated at the saddle point $\tilde{\kappa} = \tilde{\kappa}(\tau)$.

Let τ be a large real number and consider the differential equations

$$\left(\mathbb{E}(|L(1,\mathbb{X})|^{2r})(e^{\gamma}\tau)^{-2r}\right)' = 0 \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{L}'(r) = 2(\log\tau+\gamma), \tag{4.16}$$

and

$$\left(\mathbb{E}(|L(1,\mathbb{X})|^{-2r})\left(\frac{e^{\gamma}\tau^2}{\zeta(3)}\right)^{-r}\right)' = 0 \Leftrightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}'(r) = 2\log\tau+\gamma-\log\zeta(3), \tag{4.17}$$

where the derivative is taken with respect to the real variable r. It follows from (4.6) that $\lim_{r\to\infty}\mathcal{L}'(r) = \infty$. Moreover, a straightforward calculation shows that

$$E_p''(r)E_p(r) > (E_p'(r))^2 \tag{4.18}$$

for all primes p, so that $\mathcal{L}''(r) > 0$. The same calculation leads to (4.18) with r replaced $-r$, and then we also have that $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}''(r) > 0$. Thus, we deduce that (4.16) and (4.17) have unique solutions $\kappa := \kappa(\tau)$ and $\widetilde{\kappa} := \widetilde{\kappa}(\tau)$ respectively.

We write $\Phi(\tau)$ as

$$\Phi(\tau) = \mathbb{P}\left((|L(1,\mathbb{X})|^2/(e^{\gamma}\tau))^2 > 1\right).$$

Let $A = \frac{|L(1,\mathbb{X})|^2}{e^{2\gamma}\tau^2} > 1$ be an event. Then

$$\phi(A) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if the event } A \text{ occurs,}\\ 0, & \text{otherwise,}\end{cases}$$

is a random variable and $\mathbb{E}(\phi(A)) = \Phi(\tau) = \mathbb{P}(A)$.

By Lemma 4.11, where f is the function defined by (4.15), we have

$$\mathbb{E}(f(Ae^{-\lambda})) \le \mathbb{E}(\phi(A)) = \Phi(\tau) \le \mathbb{E}(f(A)), \tag{4.19}$$

since $X \le Y$ implies that $\mathbb{E}(X) \le \mathbb{E}(Y)$. Using the definition of f and A, we write

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}(f(Ae^{-\lambda})) &= \mathbb{E}\left(\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{(c)} \frac{|L(1,\mathbb{X})|^{2s}}{(e^{2\gamma}\tau^2)^s} e^{-\lambda s}\left(\frac{e^{\lambda s}-1}{\lambda s}\right)\frac{ds}{s}\right)\\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{(c)} \frac{\mathbb{E}(|L(1,\mathbb{X})|^{2s})}{(e^{2\gamma}\tau^2)^s} e^{-\lambda s}\left(\frac{e^{\lambda s}-1}{\lambda s}\right)\frac{ds}{s},\end{aligned}$$

where $0 < \lambda < 1/(2\kappa)$ is a real number to the chosen later. With the above equation and (4.19), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{(\kappa)} \frac{\mathbb{E}(|L(1,\mathbb{X})|^{2s})}{(e^{2\gamma}\tau^2)^s} e^{-\lambda s}\left(\frac{e^{\lambda s}-1}{\lambda s}\right)\frac{ds}{s}\\ &\qquad \le \Phi(\tau) \le \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{(\kappa)} \frac{\mathbb{E}(|L(1,\mathbb{X})|^{2s})}{(e^{2\gamma}\tau^2)^s}\left(\frac{e^{\lambda s}-1}{\lambda s}\right)\frac{ds}{s},\end{aligned}$$

and it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}0 &\le \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\kappa-i\infty}^{\kappa+i\infty} \mathbb{E}\left(|L(1,\mathbb{X})|^{2s}\right)(e^{\gamma}\tau)^{-2s}\left(\frac{e^{\lambda s}-1}{\lambda s}\right)\frac{ds}{s} - \Phi(\tau)\\ &\le \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\kappa-i\infty}^{\kappa+i\infty} \mathbb{E}\left(|L(1,\mathbb{X})|^{2s}\right)(e^{\gamma}\tau)^{-2s}\left(\frac{e^{\lambda s}-1}{\lambda s}\right)\left(\frac{1-e^{-\lambda s}}{s}\right)ds.\end{aligned}$$

We remark that since $\lambda\kappa < \frac{1}{2}$ by the choice of λ, we have $|e^{\lambda s}-1| < 3$ and $|e^{-\lambda s}-1| < 2$. For $T \ll \kappa$ (to be chosen later), using Lemma 4.10, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\kappa+iT}^{\kappa+i\infty} \mathbb{E}\left(|L(1,\mathbb{X})|^{2s}\right)(e^{\gamma}\tau)^{-2s}\left(\frac{e^{\lambda s}-1}{\lambda s}\right)\frac{ds}{s}\\ &\ll \frac{\mathbb{E}\left(|L(1,\mathbb{X})|^{2\kappa}\right)(e^{\gamma}\tau)^{-2\kappa}}{\lambda}\left(\int_T^{\kappa/2}\exp\left(-b_2\frac{t^2}{\kappa\log\kappa}\right)\frac{dt}{\kappa^2+t^2} + \int_{\kappa/2}^{\infty}\exp\left(-b_2\frac{t}{\log t}\right)\frac{dt}{\kappa^2+t^2}\right)\\ &\ll \frac{\mathbb{E}\left(|L(1,\mathbb{X})|^{2\kappa}\right)(e^{\gamma}\tau)^{-2\kappa}}{\lambda}\left(\frac{e^{-c_1\frac{T^2}{\kappa\log\kappa}}}{T} + \frac{e^{-c_2\frac{\kappa}{\log\kappa}}}{\kappa}\right),\end{aligned}$$

with some absolute constants c_1 and c_2.

Furthermore, when $|t| \le T$, and $s = \kappa + it$ then $|(1-e^{-\lambda s})(e^{\lambda s}-1)| \ll \lambda^2|s|^2$, and we have

$$\int_{\kappa-iT}^{\kappa+iT} \mathbb{E}\left(|L(1,\mathbb{X})|^{2s}\right)(e^{\gamma}\tau)^{-2s}\left(\frac{e^{\lambda s}-1}{\lambda s}\right)\left(\frac{1-e^{-\lambda s}}{s}\right)ds \ll \lambda T\mathbb{E}\left(|L(1,\mathbb{X})|^{2\kappa}\right)(e^{\gamma}\tau)^{-2\kappa},$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned}&\Phi(\tau) - \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\kappa-iT}^{\kappa+iT} \mathbb{E}\left(|L(1,\mathbb{X})|^{2s}\right)(e^{\gamma}\tau)^{-2s}\left(\frac{e^{\lambda s}-1}{\lambda s}\right)\frac{ds}{s}\\ &\ll \left(\frac{e^{-c_1\frac{T^2}{\kappa\log\kappa}}}{\lambda T} + \frac{e^{-c_2\frac{\kappa}{\log\kappa}}}{\lambda\kappa} + \lambda T\right)\mathbb{E}\left(|L(1,\mathbb{X})|^{2\kappa}\right)(e^{\gamma}\tau)^{-2\kappa}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.20}$$

We now give a bound for the integral between $\kappa - iT$ and $\kappa + iT$. It follows from (4.9) of Proposition 4.5 that when $|t| \leq T$,

$$\mathcal{L}(\kappa + it) = \mathcal{L}(\kappa) + it\mathcal{L}'(\kappa) - \frac{t^2\mathcal{L}''(\kappa)}{2} + O\left(\frac{|t|^3}{\kappa^2 \log \kappa}\right).$$

We also have

$$\frac{e^{\lambda s} - 1}{\lambda s^2} = \frac{1}{s}\left(1 + O\left(\lambda\kappa\right)\right) = \frac{1}{\kappa}\left(1 - i\frac{t}{\kappa} + O\left(\lambda\kappa + \frac{t^2}{\kappa^2}\right)\right).$$

Writing $\mathbb{E}\left(|L(1,\mathbb{X})|^{2s}\right) = \exp\left(\mathcal{L}(s)\right)$ and $\mathcal{L}'(\kappa) = 2(\log\tau + \gamma)$, and using the above two equations, we have

$$\frac{\mathbb{E}\left(|L(1,\mathbb{X})|^{2s}\right)}{(e^\gamma\tau)^{2s}}\left(\frac{e^{\lambda s} - 1}{\lambda s^2}\right) = \frac{\mathbb{E}(|L(1,\mathbb{X})|^{2\kappa})}{\kappa(e^\gamma\tau)^{2\kappa}}\exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{2}\mathcal{L}''(\kappa)\right)\left(1 - i\frac{t}{\kappa} + O\left(\lambda\kappa + \frac{t^2}{\kappa^2} + \frac{|t|^3}{\kappa^2\log\kappa}\right)\right),$$

since

$$(e^\gamma\tau)^{-2s}\exp\left(it\mathcal{L}'(\kappa)\right) = (e^\gamma\tau)^{-2\kappa-2it}\exp\left(2it\log\tau\right)\exp\left(2it\gamma\right) = (e^\gamma\tau)^{-2\kappa}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\kappa-iT}^{\kappa+iT}\frac{\mathbb{E}\left(|L(1,\mathbb{X})|^{2s}\right)}{(e^\gamma\tau)^{2s}}\left(\frac{e^{\lambda s} - 1}{\lambda s}\right)\frac{ds}{s} \\
&= \frac{\mathbb{E}(|L(1,\mathbb{X})|^{2\kappa})}{\kappa(e^\gamma\tau)^{2\kappa}}\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-T}^{T}\exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{2}\mathcal{L}''(\kappa)\right)\left(1 - i\frac{t}{\kappa} + O\left(\lambda\kappa + \frac{t^2}{\kappa^2} + \frac{|t|^3}{\kappa^2\log\kappa}\right)\right)dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{L}''(\kappa) \asymp 1/(\kappa\log\kappa)$ by (4.9) of Proposition 4.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-T}^{T}\exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{2}\mathcal{L}''(\kappa)\right)dt = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\mathcal{L}''(\kappa)}}\left(1 + O\left(e^{-c_3T^2\mathcal{L}''(\kappa)}\right)\right), \\
&\int_{-T}^{T}|t|^n\exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{2}\mathcal{L}''(\kappa)\right)dt \ll \frac{1}{\mathcal{L}''(\kappa)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}} \qquad 1 \leq n \leq 3,
\end{aligned}$$

and replacing above, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\kappa-iT}^{\kappa+iT}\frac{\mathbb{E}\left(|L(1,\mathbb{X})|^{2s}\right)}{(e^\gamma\tau)^{2s}}\left(\frac{e^{\lambda s} - 1}{\lambda s}\right)\frac{ds}{s} \\
&= \frac{\mathbb{E}(|L(1,\mathbb{X})|^{2\kappa})}{\kappa\sqrt{2\pi\mathcal{L}''(\kappa)}(e^\gamma\tau)^{2\kappa}}\left(1 + O\left(\lambda\kappa + \frac{1}{\kappa^2\mathcal{L}''(\kappa)} + \frac{1}{\kappa^2\log\kappa\mathcal{L}''(\kappa)^{\frac{3}{2}}} + e^{-c_3T^2\mathcal{L}''(\kappa)}\right)\right),
\end{aligned}$$

for some absolute constant c_3. Replacing in (4.20), and using again (4.9) of Proposition 4.5 to get

$$\kappa\sqrt{2\pi\mathcal{L}''(\kappa)} \ll \frac{\kappa^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(\log\kappa)^{\frac{1}{2}}},$$

we finally obtain

$$\Phi(\tau) = \frac{\mathbb{E}(|L(1,\mathbb{X})|^{2\kappa})}{\kappa\sqrt{2\pi\mathcal{L}''(\kappa)}(e^{\gamma}\tau)^{2\kappa}}\left(1+O\left(\lambda\kappa+\frac{1}{\kappa^2\mathcal{L}''(\kappa)}+\frac{1}{\kappa^2\log\kappa\mathcal{L}''(\kappa)^{\frac{3}{2}}}+e^{-c_3T^2\mathcal{L}''(\kappa)}\right.\right.$$
$$\left.\left.+\frac{e^{-c_1\frac{T^2}{\kappa\log\kappa}}}{\lambda T}\frac{\kappa^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(\log\kappa)^{\frac{1}{2}}}+\frac{e^{-c_2\frac{\kappa}{\log\kappa}}}{\lambda\kappa}\frac{\kappa^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(\log\kappa)^{\frac{1}{2}}}+\lambda T\frac{\kappa^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(\log\kappa)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right)\right).$$

We choose $T=\kappa^{\delta}$ for any $1/2<\delta<1$ and $\lambda=\kappa^{-2}$, which can be donne by taking τ sufficiently large by (4.21). We conclude that

$$\Phi(\tau) = \frac{\mathbb{E}(|L(1,\mathbb{X})|^{2\kappa})}{\kappa\sqrt{2\pi\mathcal{L}''(\kappa)}(e^{\gamma}\tau)^{2\kappa}}\left(1+O\left(\sqrt{\frac{\log\kappa}{\kappa}}\right)\right).$$

The proof is similar for $\Psi(\tau)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 4.1.

□

4.3. **Proofs of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3.**

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Recall that $\mathbb{E}\left(|L(1,\mathbb{X})|^{2r}\right)=\exp\left(\mathcal{L}(r)\right)$, and from Proposition 4.5, we have

$$\mathcal{L}(r) = 2r\log\log r+2\gamma r+\frac{2r(C_{\max}-1)}{\log r}+O\left(\frac{r}{(\log r)^2}\right),$$
$$\mathcal{L}'(r) = 2\log\log r+2\gamma+\frac{2C_{\max}}{\log r}+O\left(\frac{1}{(\log r)^2}\right).$$

Recall that $\kappa=\kappa(\tau)$ is the unique solution to $\mathcal{L}'(\kappa)=2(\log\tau+\gamma)$. Therefore,

$$\log\tau = \log\log\kappa+\frac{C_{\max}}{\log\kappa}+O\left(\frac{1}{(\log\kappa)^2}\right). \tag{4.21}$$

Using these estimates and Theorem 4.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(\tau) &= \frac{\mathbb{E}(|L(1,\mathbb{X})|^{2\kappa})}{\kappa\sqrt{2\pi\mathcal{L}''(\kappa)}(e^{\gamma}\tau)^{2\kappa}}\left(1+O\left(\sqrt{\frac{\log\kappa}{\kappa}}\right)\right)\\ &= \exp\left(\mathcal{L}(\kappa)-2\kappa(\log\tau+\gamma)+O(\log\kappa)\right)\\ &= \exp\left(-\frac{2\kappa}{\log\kappa}+O\left(\frac{\kappa}{(\log\kappa)^2}\right)\right)=\exp\left(-\frac{2e^{\tau-C_{\max}}}{\tau}\left(1+O(\tau^{-1})\right)\right),\end{aligned}$$

since $\log\kappa=\tau-C_{\max}+O(\tau^{-1})$ from (4.21).

The proof is similar for $\Psi(\tau)$ by noting that $\mathbb{E}\left(|L(1,\mathbb{X})|^{-2r}\right)=\exp\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(r)\right)$, where

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(r) = r\log\log r+r\gamma+\frac{r(C_{\min}-1)}{\log r}-r\log\zeta(3)+O\left(\frac{r}{(\log r)^2}\right),$$
$$\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}'(r) = \log\log r+(\gamma-\log\zeta(3))+\frac{C_{\min}}{\log r}+O\left(\frac{1}{(\log r)^2}\right).$$

Let $\widetilde{\kappa}$ be the unique solution to $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}'(\widetilde{\kappa}) = 2\log\tau + \gamma - \log\zeta(3))$. Then,

$$2\log\tau = \log\log\tilde{\kappa} + \frac{C_{\min}}{\log\tilde{\kappa}} + O\left(\frac{1}{(\log\tilde{\kappa})^2}\right). \tag{4.22}$$

Using these estimates and Theorem 4.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi(\tau) &= \frac{\mathbb{E}(|L(1,\mathbb{X})|^{-2\tilde{\kappa}})\zeta(3)^{\tilde{\kappa}}}{\tilde{\kappa}\sqrt{2\pi\mathcal{L}''(\kappa)}(e^{\gamma}\tau^2)^{\tilde{\kappa}}}\left(1+O\left(\sqrt{\frac{\log\tilde{\kappa}}{\tilde{\kappa}}}\right)\right)\\ &= \exp\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(\tilde{\kappa}) - \tilde{\kappa}(2\log\tau+\gamma-\log\zeta(3)) + O(\log\tilde{\kappa})\right)\\ &= \exp\left(-\frac{\tilde{\kappa}}{\log\tilde{\kappa}} + O\left(\frac{\tilde{\kappa}}{(\log\tilde{\kappa})^2}\right)\right) = \exp\left(-\frac{e^{\tau^2-C_{\min}}}{\tau^2}\left(1+O(\tau^{-1})\right)\right),\end{aligned}$$

since $\log\tilde{\kappa} = \tau^2 - C_{\min} + O(\tau^{-2})$ from (4.22).

□

Proof of Theorem 1.3. We adapt the proof of [GS06, Theorem 1] to our context. For any $r \geq 1$, we have

$$2r\int_0^{\infty} t^{2r-1}\phi_X(t)dt = \frac{2r}{|\mathcal{F}_3(X)|}\sum_{\chi\in\mathcal{F}_3(X)}\int_0^{|L(1,\chi)|e^{-\gamma}} t^{2r-1}dt = \frac{e^{-2r\gamma}}{|\mathcal{F}_3(X)|}\sum_{\chi\in\mathcal{F}_3(X)}|L(1,\chi)|^{2r}.$$

Then, by Theorem 1.4, uniformly for $r \leq \frac{\log X}{16\log_2 X\log_3 X}$, we get

$$2r\int_0^{\infty} t^{2r-1}\phi_X(t)dt = e^{-2\gamma r}E\left(|L(1,\mathbb{X})|^{2r}\right) + e^{-2\gamma r}\exp\left(-\frac{\log X}{16\log_2 X}\right),$$

and by Proposition 4.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned}E\left(|L(1,\mathbb{X})|^{2r}\right) &= \exp\left(2r\log\log r + 2r\gamma + \frac{2r(C_{\max}-1)}{\log r} + O\left(\frac{r}{(\log r)^2}\right)\right)\\ &= (\log r)^{2r}e^{2\gamma r}\exp\left(\frac{2r(C_{\max}-1)}{\log r} + O\left(\frac{r}{(\log r)^2}\right)\right).\end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^{\infty} t^{2r-1}\phi_X(t)dt &= \frac{(\log r)^{2r}}{2r}\exp\left(\frac{2r}{\log r}(C_{\max}-1) + O\left(\frac{r}{(\log r)^2}\right)\right) + \frac{1}{2r}\exp\left(-2\gamma r - \frac{\log X}{16\log_2 X}\right)\\ &= (\log r)^{2r}\exp\left(\frac{2r}{\log r}(C_{\max}-1) + O\left(\frac{r}{(\log r)^2}\right)\right). \qquad (4.23)\end{aligned}$$

Let $r_2 = re^{\delta}$, where $\delta > 0$ is sufficiently small to be determined later. We apply (4.23) with r_2 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\tau+\delta}^{\infty} t^{2r-1}\phi_X(t)dt &\le (\tau+\delta)^{2r-2r_2}\int_{\tau+\delta}^{\infty} t^{2r_2-1}\phi_X(t)dt \le (\tau+\delta)^{2r-2r_2}\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{2r_2-1}\phi_X(t)dt \\
&= (\tau+\delta)^{2r(1-e^{\delta})}(\log r+\delta)^{2re^{\delta}}\exp\left(\frac{2re^{\delta}}{\log r+\delta}(C_{\max}-1)+O\left(\frac{r}{(\log r)^2}\right)\right) \\
&\le \tau^{2r(1-e^{\delta})}(\log r)^{2re^{\delta}}\exp\left(2r(1-e^{\delta})\log\left(1+\frac{\delta}{\tau}\right)+2re^{\delta}\log\left(1+\frac{\delta}{\log r}\right)\right) \\
&\times \exp\left(\frac{2re^{\delta}}{\log r}(C_{\max}-1)+O\left(\frac{r}{(\log r)^2}\right)\right) \\
&= (\log r)^{2r}\exp\left(2r(1-e^{\delta})\log\left(1+\frac{\delta}{\tau}\right)+2re^{\delta}\log\left(1+\frac{\delta}{\log r}\right)\right) \\
&\times \exp\left(\frac{2re^{\delta}}{\log r}(C_{\max}-1)+O\left(\frac{r}{(\log r)^2}\right)\right)\exp\left(2r(1-e^{\delta})\left(\log\tau-\log_2 r\right)\right),
\end{aligned}$$

which holds uniformly for

$$r_2 \le \frac{\log X}{16\log_2 X\log_3 X} \iff r \le e^{-\delta}\frac{\log X}{16\log_2 X\log_3 X}. \tag{4.24}$$

We now choose $r = r(\tau)$ by $\log r = \tau - C_{\max}$. This gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\tau+\delta}^{\infty} t^{2r-1}\phi_X(t)dt &\le (\log r)^{2r}\exp\left(2r(1-e^{\delta})\log\left(1+\frac{\delta}{\tau}\right)+2re^{\delta}\log\left(1+\frac{\delta}{\log r}\right)\right) \\
&\times \exp\left(\frac{2r}{\log r}\left(C_{\max}-e^{\delta}+O\left(\frac{1}{\log r}\right)\right)\right) \\
&\le (\log r)^{2r}\exp\left(\frac{2r}{\log r}\left(C_{\max}+\delta-e^{\delta}\right)+O\left(\frac{r}{(\log r)^2}\right)\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Using $\delta = \frac{c}{\sqrt{\log r}}$ in the last equation, and the fact that $1+\delta-e^{\delta} = -\frac{1}{2}\delta^2+O(\delta^3)$, the last line becomes

$$\int_{\tau+\delta}^{\infty} t^{2r-1}\phi_X(t)dt \le (\log r)^{2r}\exp\left(\frac{2r}{\log r}(C_{\max}-1)+O\left(\frac{r}{(\log r)^2}\right)\right)\exp\left(-\frac{c^2 r}{(\log r)^2}\right)$$

adjusting the constant c. Using (4.23), we have proven that

$$\int_{\tau+\delta}^{\infty} t^{2r-1}\phi_X(t)dt \le \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{2r-1}\phi_X(t)dt\right)\exp\left(-\frac{c^2 r}{(\log r)^2}\right), \tag{4.25}$$

and similarly, we can prove that

$$\int_{0}^{\tau-\delta} t^{2r-1}\phi_X(t)dt \le \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{2r-1}\phi_X(t)dt\right)\exp\left(-\frac{c^2 r}{(\log r)^2}\right). \tag{4.26}$$

The exponential decay of (4.25) and (4.26) implies that most of the mass of the integral is between $\tau-\delta$ and $\tau+\delta$ when r grows. More precisely, again using (4.23), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\tau-\delta}^{\tau+\delta} t^{2r-1}\phi_X(t)dt &= \int_0^{\infty} t^{2r-1}\phi_X(t)dt\left(1+O\left(\exp\left(-\frac{c^2 r}{(\log r)^2}\right)\right)\right)\\ &= (\log r)^{2r}\exp\left(\frac{2r}{\log r}(C_{\max}-1)+O\left(\frac{r}{(\log r)^2}\right)\right)\\ &\quad\times\exp\left(\log\left(1+O\left(\exp\left(-\frac{c^2 r}{(\log r)^2}\right)\right)\right)\right)\\ &= (\log r)^{2r}\exp\left(\frac{2r}{\log r}(C_{\max}-1)+O\left(\frac{r}{(\log r)^2}\right)\right). \qquad (4.27)\end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\int_{\tau-\delta}^{\tau+\delta} t^{2r-1}dt = \frac{(\tau+\delta)^{2r}-(\tau-\delta)^{2r}}{2r} = \tau^{2r}\exp\left(O\left(\frac{\delta r}{\tau}\right)\right),$$

and since $\phi_X(t)=\mathbb{P}\left(|L(1,\chi)|>e^{\gamma}t\right)$ is non-increasing, this gives

$$\tau^{2r}\exp\left(O\left(\frac{\delta r}{\tau}\right)\right)\phi_X(\tau+\delta)\le\int_{\tau-\delta}^{\tau+\delta} t^{2r-1}\phi_X(t)dt\le\tau^{2r}\exp\left(O\left(\frac{\delta r}{\tau}\right)\right)\phi_X(\tau-\delta).$$

Replacing the middle term by (4.27), we have

$$\phi_X(\tau+\delta)\le\left(\frac{\log r}{\tau}\right)^{2r}\exp\left(\frac{2r}{\log r}(C_{\max}-1)+O\left(\frac{r}{(\log r)^2}\right)+O\left(\frac{\delta r}{\tau}\right)\right)\le\phi_X(\tau-\delta).$$

Since $\tau=\log r+C_{\max}\iff r=e^{\tau-C_{\max}}$, we have that the middle expression above is

$$\begin{aligned}&= \exp\left(\frac{2r}{\log r}(C_{\max}-1)+2r\log\left(1-\frac{C_{\max}}{\tau}\right)+O\left(\frac{\delta r}{\tau}\right)\right)\\ &= \exp\left(\frac{2r}{\log r}(C_{\max}-1)-\frac{2rC_{\max}}{\tau}+O\left(\frac{r}{\tau^2}\right)+O\left(\frac{\delta r}{\tau}\right)\right)\\ &= \exp\left(-\frac{2r}{\log r}+O\left(\frac{r}{\tau^2}\right)+O\left(\frac{\delta r}{\tau}\right)\right)\\ &= \exp\left(-\frac{2e^{\tau-C_{\max}}}{\tau}\left(1+O\left(\delta\right)+O(1/\tau)\right)\right).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\phi_X(\tau+\delta)\le\exp\left(-\frac{2e^{\tau-C_{\max}}}{\tau}\left(1+O\left(\delta\right)\right)\right)\le\phi_X(\tau-\delta),$$

and we have

$$\phi_X(\tau)=\exp\left(-\frac{2e^{\tau-C_{\max}}}{\tau}\left(1+O\left(\frac{1}{\tau^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right)\right)\right).$$

Recalling (4.24), this holds uniformly in the range

$$\begin{aligned}\tau=\log r+C_{\max}&\le\log\left(e^{-\delta}\frac{\log X}{16\log_2 X\log_3 X}\right)+C_{\max}\\ &\le\log_2 X-\log_3 X-\log_4 X-2.\end{aligned}$$

A similar estimate holds for $\psi_X(\tau)$. $\square$

5. Extreme values of $|L(1,\chi)|$

In this section, we investigate extreme values of $|L(1,\chi)|$ (under GRH). Our first goal is to prove Theorem 1.10, exhibiting the upper and lower bounds for characters of prime order $\ell \geq 3$ following the work of Littlewood [Lit28] for quadratic characters.

We will focus on the lower bound, since the upper bound can be obtained by the same arguments given by Littlewood. We first recall the following result.

Lemma 5.1. [Lit28, Lemma 7] *Let χ be a non-principal character of conductor q. Assume GRH. Then, as $y \to 0$,*

$$-\log|L(1,\chi)| = -\operatorname{Re}\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{\Lambda_1(n)\chi(n)}{n}e^{-ny}\right) + O_\epsilon(y^{1/2-\epsilon}\log q) + o(1), \tag{5.1}$$

where $\Lambda_1(n) = \frac{\Lambda(n)}{\log n}$.

Proof of Theorem 1.10. To prove the lower bound, we follow the proof of [Lit28, Theorem 1]. Taking $y = (\log q)^{-2-7\epsilon}$ and $x = (\log q)^{2+8\epsilon}$ in (5.1), we have that

$$O_\epsilon(y^{1/2-\epsilon}\log q) = o(1) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \sum_{n\geq x}\frac{\Lambda_1(n)\chi(n)}{n}e^{-ny} = o(1),$$

and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
-\log|L(1,\chi)| &= -\operatorname{Re}\left(\sum_{p^m\leq x}\frac{\Lambda_1(p^m)\chi(p^m)}{p^m}e^{-p^m y}\right) + o(1)\\
&= -\operatorname{Re}\left(\sum_{p\leq x}\frac{\chi(p)}{p}e^{-py}\right) - \operatorname{Re}\left(\sum_{\substack{p^m\leq x\\ m>1}}\frac{\chi(p)^m}{mp^m}e^{-p^m y}\right) + o(1)\\
&= -\sum_{p\leq x}\left(\frac{\operatorname{Re}(\chi(p))}{p}e^{-py} + \sum_{m=2}^{M_p}\frac{\operatorname{Re}(\chi(p))^m)}{mp^m}\right) + o(1)\\
&=: -\sum_{p\leq x}A_p + o(1),
\end{aligned}$$

where $M_p = [\log x/\log p]$ and we have used the fact that

$$\sum_{\substack{p^m\leq x\\ m>1}}\frac{1-e^{-p^m y}}{mp^m} = O(yx^{\frac{1}{2}}) = o(1).$$

Note that $\chi(p) \in \{0, 1, \omega_\ell, \dots, \omega_\ell^{\ell-1}\}$. If $\chi(p) = 0$ or 1, then $A_p \geq 0$. Let $\chi(p) = \omega_\ell^h$. Then $\chi(p)^m = \omega_\ell^{hm}$ for any $m \geq 1$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} A_p =& \frac{(\omega_\ell^h + \omega_\ell^{-h})(e^{-py} - 1)}{2p} + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\omega_\ell^h + \omega_\ell^{-h}}{p} + \frac{\omega_\ell^{2h} + \omega_\ell^{-2h}}{2p^2} + \frac{\omega_\ell^{3h} + \omega_\ell^{-3h}}{3p^3} - \cdots\right) \\ =& \frac{\cos\left(\frac{2\pi h}{\ell}\right)(e^{-py} - 1)}{p} - \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1 - \frac{2\cos\left(\frac{2\pi h}{\ell}\right)}{p} + \frac{1}{p^2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

When $\cos\left(\frac{2\pi h}{\ell}\right) < 0$, the first term above is positive, and we can bound A_p below by the second term involving the log. Otherwise, notice that $\frac{e^{-py}-1}{p} \geq -y = -(\log q)^{-2+7\epsilon}$. Summing over p, we obtain

$$-\log|L(1,\chi)| \leq \frac{1}{2}\sum_{p \leq x} \log\left(1 - \frac{2\cos\left(\frac{2\pi h}{\ell}\right)}{p} + \frac{1}{p^2}\right) + O\left((\log q)^{-2+7\epsilon}\right).$$

We remark that, since ℓ is odd, the real part of ω_ℓ^h is minimized when $h = \frac{\ell-1}{2}$ or $h = \frac{\ell+1}{2}$. In other words, $-\cos\left(\frac{2\pi h}{\ell}\right) \leq -\cos\left(\frac{\pi(\ell-1)}{\ell}\right) = \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{\ell}\right)$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2}\sum_{p \leq x} \log\left(1 - \frac{2\cos\left(\frac{2\pi h}{\ell}\right)}{p} + \frac{1}{p^2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2}\log\prod_{p \leq x}\left(1 + \frac{2\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{\ell}\right)}{p} + \frac{1}{p^2}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\log\prod_{p \leq x}\left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-2\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{\ell}\right)} + \frac{1}{2}\log\prod_{p \leq x}\left[\left(1 + \frac{2\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{\ell}\right)}{p} + \frac{1}{p^2}\right)\left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{2\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{\ell}\right)}\right] \\ &= \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{\ell}\right)\log\left(e^\gamma \log x\right) - \log\left(C_\ell + o(1)\right), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$C_\ell = \prod_p \left[\left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{\ell}\right)}\left(1 + \frac{2\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{\ell}\right)}{p} + \frac{1}{p^2}\right)^{-1/2}\right],$$

and we have applied Mertens' third theorem. Taking the exponential and recalling our choice of $x = (\log q)^{2+5\epsilon}$, we conclude that

$$|L(1,\chi)| \geq \frac{C_\ell}{(2e^\gamma \log\log q)^{\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{\ell}\right)}}(1 + o(1)).$$

□

To prove the Ω-results, we need the following auxiliary statements.

Proposition 5.2. *Assume GRH. Let $P(z) = \prod_{p \leq z} p^2 = e^{2z+o(z)}$. Let $\epsilon_p \in \{1, \omega_3, \omega_3^2\}$ for each rational prime $p \leq z$, and let*

$$\mathcal{P}(X, \{\epsilon_p\}, z) = \{\chi \in \mathcal{F}_3(X) \,|\, \mathrm{cond}(\chi) \text{ is prime and } \chi(p) = \epsilon_p \text{ for each } p \leq z\}.$$

Then, for $z \ll X^{\frac{1}{6}}$,

$$\sum_{\chi \in \mathcal{P}(X, \{\epsilon_p\}, z)} \log \mathrm{cond}(\chi) = \frac{X}{3^{\pi(z)}} + O(X^{\frac{1}{2}}\log^2(XP(z)),$$

and

$$\sum_{\chi\in\mathcal{P}(X,\{\epsilon_p\},z)} L(1,\chi)\log \mathrm{cond}(\chi) = \frac{X}{3^{\pi(z)}}\zeta(3)\prod_{p\le z}\left(1+\frac{\epsilon_p^{-2}}{p}+\frac{\epsilon_p^{-1}}{p^2}\right)+O(X^{\frac{1}{2}}\log^3(XP(z))).$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.6, studying $\mathcal{P}(X,\{\epsilon_p\},z)$ is equivalent to studying $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}[\omega_3]}(X,\{\epsilon_p\},z)$ where

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}[\omega_3]}(X,\{\epsilon_p\},z)\\ &=\left\{\mathfrak{q}\in\mathbb{Z}[\omega_3]\setminus\mathbb{Z} : \mathfrak{q}\equiv 1\,(\mathrm{mod}\,3), \mathfrak{q}\text{ is prime, } N(\mathfrak{q})\leqslant X \text{ and } \left(\frac{p}{\mathfrak{q}}\right)_3=\epsilon_p \text{ for each } p\le z\right\}.\end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\#\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}[\omega_3]}(X,\{\epsilon_p\},z)\\ &=\#\left\{\mathfrak{q}\in\mathbb{Z}[\omega_3] : \mathfrak{q}\equiv 1\,(\mathrm{mod}\,3), \mathfrak{q}\text{ is prime, } N(\mathfrak{q})\leqslant X \text{ and } \left(\frac{p}{\mathfrak{q}}\right)_3=\epsilon_p \text{ for each } p\le z\right\}\\ &+O\left(\#\left\{q\in\mathbb{Z}_{>0} : q\equiv 2\,(\mathrm{mod}\,3), q\text{ is prime, } N(q)\leqslant X\right\}\right)\\ &=\#\left\{\mathfrak{q}\in\mathbb{Z}[\omega_3] : \mathfrak{q}\equiv 1\,(\mathrm{mod}\,3), \mathfrak{q}\text{ is prime, } N(\mathfrak{q})\leqslant X \text{ and } \left(\frac{p}{\mathfrak{q}}\right)_3=\epsilon_p \text{ for each } p\le z\right\}\\ &+O\left(X^{\frac{1}{2}}\right).\end{aligned}\tag{5.2}$$

We first write a characteristic function for $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}[\omega_3]}(X,\{\epsilon_p\},z)$. For a rational $m\mid P(z)$, we define $\epsilon_m=\prod_{p\mid m}\epsilon_p^{v_p(m)}$. Then,

$$\frac{1}{3^{\pi(z)}}\sum_{m\mid P(z)}\epsilon_m^{-1}\left(\frac{m}{\mathfrak{q}}\right)_3=\begin{cases}1 & \text{if } \mathfrak{q}\in\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}[\omega_3]}(X,\{\epsilon_p\},z),\\ 0 & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

We write

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\chi\in\mathcal{P}(X,\{\epsilon_p\},z)}\log\mathrm{cond}(\chi)&=\sum_{\mathfrak{q}\in\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}[\omega_3]}(X,\{\epsilon_p\},z)}\log N(\mathfrak{q})=\frac{1}{3^{\pi(z)}}\sum_{m\mid P(z)}\epsilon_m^{-1}\sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{q}\in\mathbb{Z}[\omega_3]\setminus\mathbb{Z}\\ N(\mathfrak{q})\le X\\ \mathfrak{q}\equiv 1\,(\mathrm{mod}\,3)\\ \mathfrak{q}\text{ is prime}}}\left(\frac{m}{\mathfrak{q}}\right)_3\log N(\mathfrak{q})\\ &=\frac{1}{3^{\pi(z)}}\sum_{m\mid P(z)}\epsilon_m^{-1}\sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{q}\in\mathbb{Z}[\omega_3]\\ N(\mathfrak{q})\le X\\ \mathfrak{q}\equiv 1\,(\mathrm{mod}\,3)\\ \mathfrak{q}\text{ is prime}}}\left(\frac{m}{\mathfrak{q}}\right)_3\log N(\mathfrak{q})+O(X^{\frac{1}{2}}),\end{aligned}$$

by (5.2). The main term comes from $m=1$ and, by the Prime Number Theorem, it equals $\frac{X}{3^{\pi(z)}}$ with an error term of $O(X^{\frac{1}{2}}\log X)$ if we assume GRH. When $m\neq 1$, then $\left(\frac{m}{\cdot}\right)_3$ is a non-trivial character modulo at most $9m$ since m is not a cube, and using the Chebotarev density theorem under GRH, we have that the sum over $\mathfrak{q}$ is $O(X^{\frac{1}{2}}\log^2(XP(z)^2))$. This gives the first statement.

For the second result, first note that

$$L(1,\chi) = \sum_{n\le N} \frac{\chi(n)}{n} + \sum_{n>N} \frac{\chi(n)}{n} = \sum_{n\le N} \frac{\chi(n)}{n} + O\left(\frac{\mathrm{cond}(\chi)}{N}\right), \tag{5.3}$$

where

$$N := X^{3/2} P(z)^{3/2}. \tag{5.4}$$

Thus we get

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\chi\in\mathcal{P}(X,\{\epsilon_p\},z)} L(1,\chi)\log \mathrm{cond}(\chi) &= \sum_{\chi\in\mathcal{P}(X,\{\epsilon_p\},z)} \log\mathrm{cond}(\chi)\left(\sum_{n\le N}\frac{\chi(n)}{n} + O\left(\frac{\mathrm{cond}(\chi)}{N}\right)\right)\\ &= \frac{1}{3^{\pi(z)}}\sum_{m\mid P(z)} \epsilon_m^{-1}\sum_{n\le N}\frac{1}{n}\sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{q}\in\mathbb{Z}[\omega_3]\\ N(\mathfrak{q})\le X\\ \mathfrak{q}\equiv 1 \,(\mathrm{mod}\, 3)\\ \mathfrak{q}\text{ is prime}}} \left(\frac{nm}{\mathfrak{q}}\right)_3 \log N(\mathfrak{q}) + O\left(\frac{X^2\log X}{N}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

The character $\left(\frac{nm}{\mathfrak{q}}\right)_3$ is principal only when $nm = \square$. In this case, applying the Prime Number Theorem (assuming RH over $\mathbb{Z}[\omega_3]$), we get that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{q}\in\mathbb{Z}[\omega_3]\\ N(\mathfrak{q})\le X\\ \mathfrak{q}\equiv 1 \,(\mathrm{mod}\, 3)\\ \mathfrak{q}\text{ is prime}}} \left(\frac{nm}{\mathfrak{q}}\right)_3 \log N(\mathfrak{q}) &= \sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{q}\in\mathbb{Z}[\omega_3]\\ N(\mathfrak{q})\le X\\ \mathfrak{q}\equiv 1 \,(\mathrm{mod}\, 3)\\ \mathfrak{q}\text{ is prime}}} \log N(\mathfrak{q}) + O\Bigg(\sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{q}\mid nm\\ \mathfrak{q}\equiv 1 \,(\mathrm{mod}\, 3)\\ \mathfrak{q}\text{ is prime}}} 1\Bigg)\\ &= X + O\left(X^{\frac{1}{2}}\log^2 X + \log\left(NP(z)\right)\right)\\ &= X + O\left(X^{\frac{1}{2}}\log^2(XP(z))\right).\end{aligned}$$

Replacing the above in (5.5), we have

$$\left(X + O\left(X^{\frac{1}{2}}\log^2(XP(z))\right)\right)\frac{1}{3^{\pi(z)}}\sum_{m\mid P(z)}\epsilon_m^{-1}\sum_{\substack{n\le N\\ nm=\square}}\frac{1}{n}. \tag{5.6}$$

We write $n = n_1 n_2^2 n_3^3$, where n_1, n_2 are square-free and coprime, and $m = m_1 m_2^2$, where m_1, m_2 are square-free and coprime. Then, $m_1, m_2 \mid \widehat{P}(z)$, where $\widehat{P}(z) := \prod_{p\le z} p$. The only possibility leading to $nm = \square$ is to have $n_1 = m_2, n_2 = m_1$, and we get

$$\frac{1}{3^{\pi(z)}}\sum_{m\mid P(z)}\epsilon_m^{-1}\sum_{\substack{n\le N\\ nm=\square}}\frac{1}{n} = \frac{1}{3^{\pi(z)}}\sum_{n_3\le N^{\frac{1}{3}}}\frac{1}{n_3^3}\sum_{\substack{n_2\le (N/n_3^3)^{\frac{1}{2}}\\ n_2\mid\widehat{P}(z)}}\frac{\epsilon_{n_2}^{-1}}{n_2^2}\sum_{\substack{n_1\le N/n_2^2n_3^3\\ (n_2,n_1)=1\\ n_1\mid\widehat{P}(z)}}\frac{\epsilon_{n_1}^{-2}}{n_1}.$$

The condition $\widehat{P}(z) \le N/n_2^3n_3^3$ is equivalent to $n_2^2n_3^3 \le N/\widehat{P}(z) = X^{3/2}P(z)$ with the choice of N given by (5.4). In this case, we have no condition on the size of n_1 in the inside sum,

and we compute the above sum as

$$= \frac{1}{3^{\pi(z)}} \prod_{p\le z} \left(1+\frac{\epsilon_p^{-2}}{p}\right) \sum_{n_3\le N^{\frac{1}{3}}} \frac{1}{n_3^3} \sum_{\substack{n_2\le (N/n_3^3)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ n_2 | \widehat{P}(z)}} \frac{\epsilon_{n_2}^{-1}}{n_2^2} \prod_{\substack{p\le z \\ p|n_2}} \left(1+\frac{\epsilon_p^{-2}}{p}\right)^{-1}.$$

When $n_2^2 n_3^3 \ge N/\widehat{P}(z) = X^{3/2}P(z)$, we get the bound

$$\begin{aligned} &\ll \frac{\log z}{3^{\pi(z)}} \sum_{n_3\le N^{\frac{1}{3}}} \frac{1}{n_3^3} \sum_{\left(\frac{X^{3/2}P(z)}{n_3^3}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \le n_2 \le (N/n_3^3)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{1}{n_2^2} \\ &\ll \frac{\log z}{3^{\pi(z)}} \sum_{n_3\le N^{\frac{1}{3}}} \frac{1}{n_3^3} \left(\frac{n_3^{3/2}}{X^{3/4}P(z)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right) \ll \frac{\log z\, X^{-\frac{3}{4}}}{3^{\pi(z)}P(z)^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \end{aligned}$$

which is $O(X^{-\frac{1}{2}})$. Working similarly for the n_2-sum, separating the range for $n_3^{3/2} \le N/\widehat{P}(z) = X^{\frac{3}{2}}P(z)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{3^{\pi(z)}} \sum_{m|P(z)} \epsilon_m^{-1} \sum_{\substack{n\le N \\ nm=\square}} \frac{1}{n} &= \frac{1}{3^{\pi(z)}} \prod_{p\le z} \left(1+\frac{\epsilon_p^{-2}}{p}\right) \sum_{n_3\le N^{\frac{1}{3}}} \frac{1}{n_3^3} \sum_{n_2|\widehat{P}(z)} \frac{\epsilon_{n_2}^{-1}}{n_2^2} \prod_{\substack{p\le z \\ p|n_2}} \left(1+\frac{\epsilon_p^{-2}}{p}\right)^{-1} + O\left(X^{-1/2}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{3^{\pi(z)}} \prod_{p\le z} \left(1+\frac{\epsilon_p^{-2}}{p}+\frac{\epsilon_p^{-1}}{p^2}\right) \left(\zeta(3)+O\left(\frac{1}{N^{\frac{2}{3}}}\right)\right) + O\left(X^{-1/2}\right) \\ &= \frac{\zeta(3)}{3^{\pi(z)}} \prod_{p\le z} \left(1+\frac{\epsilon_p^{-2}}{p}+\frac{\epsilon_p^{-1}}{p^2}\right) + O\left(X^{-1/2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Replacing in (5.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(X+O\left(X^{\frac{1}{2}}\log^2(XP(z))\right)\right) \frac{1}{3^{\pi(z)}} \sum_{m|P(z)} \epsilon_m^{-1} \sum_{\substack{n\le N \\ nm=\square}} \frac{1}{n} =& \frac{X}{3^{\pi(z)}}\zeta(3) \prod_{p\le z} \left(1+\frac{\epsilon_p^{-2}}{p}+\frac{\epsilon_p^{-1}}{p^2}\right) \\ &+ O\left(X^{\frac{1}{2}}\log^2(XP(z))\right). \end{aligned}$$

When $nm \ne \square$ then $\left(\frac{nm}{\cdot}\right)_3$ is a non-trivial character of modulo at most $9nm$ and using again the GRH, we have that the sum over $\mathfrak{q}$ in (5.5) is $O(X^{\frac{1}{2}}\log^2(XN^2P(z)^2))$. Therefore the non-cubic contribution can be bounded by

$$\ll X^{\frac{1}{2}}\log^2(XNP(z)) \frac{1}{3^{\pi(z)}} \sum_{m|P(z)} \epsilon_m^{-1} \sum_{n\le N} \frac{1}{n} \ll X^{\frac{1}{2}}\log^2(XNP(z))\log N \ll X^{\frac{1}{2}}\log^3(XP(z)).$$

This concludes the proof. □

Our next goal is to prove a result analogous to Proposition 5.2 that can be applied to obtain a lower bound. Since in this case we are interested in characters of order ℓ with ℓ prime, we need to consider this more general setting. First, we need to generalize the ideas exposed in Lemma 3.6.

The characters of order ℓ are supported on primes $q \equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}$, which are those that split completely in $\mathbb{Q}(\omega_\ell)$, say $q\mathbb{Z}[\omega_\ell] = \mathfrak{q}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{q}_{\ell-1}$. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have that

$$\{\chi : \ \chi \text{ is a primitive character of conductor } q\} = \left\{ \left(\frac{\cdot}{\mathfrak{q}_1}\right)_\ell, \dots, \left(\frac{\cdot}{\mathfrak{q}_{\ell-1}}\right)_\ell \right\},$$

where the ℓth residue symbol is defined for $q \nmid n$ by

$$\left(\frac{n}{\mathfrak{q}}\right)_\ell = \omega_\ell^k, \qquad \text{where} \qquad n^{\frac{q-1}{\ell}} \equiv \omega_\ell^k \pmod{\mathfrak{q}}.$$

Proposition 5.3. *Assume GRH. Let $P_\ell(z) = \prod_{p \le z} p^{\ell-1} = e^{(\ell-1)z+o(z)}$. Let $\epsilon_p \in \{\omega_\ell^k : k = 1, \dots, \ell\}$ for each rational prime $p \le z$, and let*

$$\mathcal{P}_\ell(X, \{\epsilon_p\}, z) = \{\chi \in \mathcal{F}_\ell(X) \,|\, \mathrm{cond}(\chi) \text{ is prime and } \chi(p) = \epsilon_p \text{ for each } p \le z\}.$$

Then for $z \ll X^{\frac{1}{6}}$, we have

$$\sum_{\chi \in \mathcal{P}(X, \{\epsilon_p\}, z)} \frac{1}{L(1,\chi)} \log \mathrm{cond}(\chi) = \frac{X}{\ell^{\pi(z)}} \prod_{p \le z} \left(1 - \frac{\epsilon_p^{-(\ell-1)}}{p}\right) + O(X^{\frac{1}{2}} \log^3(X P_\ell(z))).$$

Proof. As an application of Perron's formula, under GRH, it is known that for any $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\sum_{n \le x} \mu(n)\chi(n) \ll x^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}.$$

Using this, for large N, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{L(1,\chi)} &= \sum_{n \le N} \frac{\mu(n)\chi(n)}{n} + \int_N^\infty \left(\sum_{n \le t} \mu(n)\chi(n)\right) \frac{dt}{t^2} \\ &= \sum_{n \le N} \frac{\mu(n)\chi(n)}{n} + O\left(N^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}\right). \end{aligned}$$

By the discussion before the statement of Proposition 5.3, studying $\mathcal{P}_\ell(X, \{\epsilon_p\}, z)$ is equivalent to studying $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}[\omega_\ell]}(X, \{\epsilon_p\}, z)$ where

(5.7)

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}[\omega_\ell]}(X, \{\epsilon_p\}, z) = \left\{ \mathfrak{q} \text{ ideal in } \mathbb{Z}[\omega_\ell] : N(\mathfrak{q}) = q \text{ is prime, } q \leqslant X \text{ and } \left(\frac{p}{\mathfrak{q}}\right)_\ell = \epsilon_p \text{ for each } p \le z \right\}.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \#\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}[\omega_\ell]}(X, \{\epsilon_p\}, z) = \# &\left\{ \mathfrak{q} \text{ ideal in } \mathbb{Z}[\omega_\ell] : N(\mathfrak{q}) \leqslant X \text{ and } \left(\frac{p}{\mathfrak{q}}\right)_\ell = \epsilon_p \text{ for each } p \le z \right\} \\ &+ O\left(X^{\frac{1}{2}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

We will use the detector

$$\frac{1}{\ell^{\pi(z)}} \sum_{m \mid P_\ell(z)} \epsilon_m^{-1} \left(\frac{m}{\mathfrak{q}}\right)_\ell = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}[\omega_\ell]}(X, \{\epsilon_p\}, z), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We apply (5.7) and $\frac{1}{|L(1,\chi)|} \ll \log_2 \operatorname{cond}(\chi)$ under GRH to get

$$\sum_{\chi \in \mathcal{P}_\ell(X,\{\epsilon_p\},z)} \frac{1}{L(1,\chi)} \log \operatorname{cond}(\chi) = \sum_{\chi \in \mathcal{P}_\ell(X,\{\epsilon_p\},z)} \log \operatorname{cond}(\chi) \left(\sum_{n \le N} \frac{\mu(n)\chi(n)}{n} + O\left(N^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}\right)\right)$$

(5.8)

$$= \frac{1}{\ell^{\pi(z)}} \sum_{m | P_\ell(z)} \epsilon_m^{-1} \sum_{n \le N} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} \sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{q} \text{ ideal in } \mathbb{Z}[\omega_\ell] \\ \mathfrak{q} \text{ prime} \\ N(\mathfrak{q}) \le X}} \left(\frac{nm}{\mathfrak{q}}\right)_\ell \log N(\mathfrak{q}) + O\left(\frac{X \log X}{N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}}\right) + O\left(X^{\frac{1}{2}} \log X \log_2 X\right).$$

The map $\mathfrak{q} \to \left(\frac{nm}{\mathfrak{q}}\right)_\ell$ is a Hecke character over $\mathbb{Q}(\omega_\ell)$ that becomes principal when nm in an ℓth power. In this case, applying the Prime Number Theorem and assuming RH over $\mathbb{Z}[\omega_\ell]$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{q} \text{ ideal in } \mathbb{Z}[\omega_\ell] \\ \mathfrak{q} \text{ prime} \\ N(\mathfrak{q}) \le X}} \left(\frac{nm}{\mathfrak{q}}\right)_\ell \log N(\mathfrak{q}) &= \sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{q} \text{ ideal in } \mathbb{Z}[\omega_\ell] \\ \mathfrak{q} \text{ prime} \\ N(\mathfrak{q}) \le X}} \log N(\mathfrak{q}) + O\left(\sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{q} \text{ ideal in } \mathbb{Z}[\omega_\ell] \\ N(\mathfrak{q}) \text{ prime} \\ N(\mathfrak{q}) \le X \\ \mathfrak{q} | nm}} 1 \right) \\ &= X + O_\ell\left(X^{\frac{1}{2}} \log^2 X + \log\left(N P_\ell(z)\right)\right) \\ &= X + O_\ell\left(X^{\frac{1}{2}} \log^2 (X P_\ell(z))\right),\end{aligned}$$

where we take $N = X P_\ell(z)$ and we use the assumption that $z \ll X^{\frac{1}{6}}$. Replacing in (5.8), we have

$$\left(X + O_\ell\left(X^{\frac{1}{2}} \log^2 (X P_\ell(z))\right)\right) \frac{1}{\ell^{\pi(z)}} \sum_{m | P_\ell(z)} \epsilon_m^{-1} \sum_{\substack{n \le N \\ nm = \ell\text{th power}}} \frac{\mu(n)}{n}. \tag{5.9}$$

We write $m = m_1 m_2^2 \cdots m_{\ell-1}^{\ell-1}$, where the $m_1, \dots, m_{\ell-1}$ are square-free and pairwise coprime and $m_1, \dots, m_{\ell-1} \mid \widehat{P}(z)$, where we recall that $\widehat{P}(z) = \prod_{p \le z} p$. Since n is square-free, then the only possibility for nm to be an ℓth power is to have $m_{\ell-1} = n$ and $m_k = 1$ for $k = 1, \dots, \ell-2$. Therefore (5.9) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(X + O_\ell\left(X^{\frac{1}{2}} \log^2 (X P_\ell(z))\right)\right) \frac{1}{\ell^{\pi(z)}} \sum_{\substack{n \le N \\ n | \widehat{P}(z)}} \frac{\mu(n) \epsilon_n^{-(\ell-1)}}{n} \\ &= \frac{\left(X + O_\ell\left(X^{\frac{1}{2}} \log^2 (X P_\ell(z))\right)\right)}{\ell^{\pi(z)}} \prod_{p \le z} \left(1 - \frac{\epsilon_p^{-(\ell-1)}}{p}\right),\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from the choice of N.

The map $\mathfrak{q} \to \left(\frac{nm}{\mathfrak{q}}\right)_\ell$ is a non-trivial Hecke character over $\mathbb{Q}(\omega_\ell)$ of conductor a multiple of (nm) when nm is not an ℓth power in $\mathbb{Z}$, since n is square-free and m is ℓ-power free, which follows from the fact that $m \mid P_\ell(z)$. Using the GRH again, we have that the sum over

$\mathfrak{q}$ in (5.8) is $O_\ell(X^{\frac{1}{2}}\log^2(XNP_\ell(z)^2))$. Therefore, the terms when nm is not an ℓth power in $\mathbb{Z}$ contribute

$$\ll_\ell X^{\frac{1}{2}}\log^2(XNP_\ell(z))\frac{1}{\ell^{\pi(z)}}\sum_{m|P_\ell(z)}\epsilon_m^{-1}\sum_{n\leq N}\frac{1}{n}\ll_\ell X^{\frac{1}{2}}\log^2(XNP_\ell(z))\log N\ll X^{\frac{1}{2}}\log^3(XP_\ell(z)).$$

This finishes the proof. □

Proof of Theorem 1.7. Let us consider the case $\epsilon_p=1$ for all primes $p\leq z$. If $3^{\pi(z)}\leq X^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}$ then using Proposition 5.2, we have

$$\sum_{\chi\in\mathcal{P}(X,\{1\},z)}\log\mathrm{cond}(\chi)=\frac{X}{3^{\pi(z)}}\left(1+O(X^{-\epsilon})\right),$$

and

$$\sum_{\chi\in\mathcal{P}(X,\{1\},z)}L(1,\chi)\log\mathrm{cond}(\chi)=\frac{X}{3^{\pi(z)}}\zeta(3)\prod_{p\leq z}\left(1+\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p^2}\right)\left(1+O(X^{-\epsilon})\right).$$

Applying the triangular inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\chi\in\mathcal{P}(X,\{1\},z)}|L(1,\chi)|\log\mathrm{cond}(\chi)&\geq\left|\sum_{\chi\in\mathcal{P}(X,\{1\},z)}L(1,\chi)\log\mathrm{cond}(\chi)\right|\\&=\frac{X}{3^{\pi(z)}}\zeta(3)\prod_{p\leq z}\left(1+\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p^2}\right)\left(1+O(X^{-\epsilon})\right).\end{aligned}$$

We take $z:=\frac{\log X\log_2 X}{2\log 3}$ in order to have $3^{\pi(z)}\leq X^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}$. For convenience of notation, we denote

$$\alpha_{\epsilon_p}:=\zeta(3)\prod_{p\leq z}\left(1+\frac{\epsilon_p}{p}+\frac{\epsilon_p}{p^2}\right).$$

Recall that from (5.3), we also have that $|L(1,\chi)|\leq 2\log\mathrm{cond}(\chi)$ for $\mathrm{cond}(\chi)$ sufficiently large. Let $\delta>0$ arbitrary, and assume that the proportion of $\chi\in\mathcal{P}(X,\{\epsilon_p\},z)$ such that $|L(1,\chi)|\geq\alpha_{\epsilon_p}-\delta$ is $\Delta_{\geq\alpha-\delta}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{X}{3^{\pi(z)}}\alpha_{\epsilon_p}\leq&\sum_{\substack{\chi\in\mathcal{P}(X,\{\epsilon_p\},z)\\|L(1,\chi)|<\alpha_{\epsilon_p}-\delta}}|L(1,\chi)|\log\mathrm{cond}(\chi)+\sum_{\substack{\chi\in\mathcal{P}(X,\{\epsilon_p\},z)\\|L(1,\chi)|\geq\alpha_{\epsilon_p}-\delta}}|L(1,\chi)|\log\mathrm{cond}(\chi)\\\leq&(1-\Delta_{\geq\alpha-\delta})\frac{X}{3^{\pi(z)}}(\alpha_{\epsilon_p}-\delta)+\frac{X}{3^{\pi(z)}}2\log^2 X\Delta_{\geq\alpha-\delta}\end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\alpha_{\epsilon_p}\leq(1-\Delta_{\geq\alpha-\delta})(\alpha_{\epsilon_p}-\delta)+2\log^2 X\Delta_{\geq\alpha-\delta},$$

and therefore

$$\frac{\delta}{2\log^2 X-\alpha_{\epsilon_p}+\delta}\leq\Delta_{\geq\alpha-\delta}.$$

Multiplying $\Delta_{\geq\alpha-\delta}$ by the total number of elements in $\mathcal{P}(X,\{\epsilon_p\},z)$, which is $X/3^{\pi(z)}\gg X^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}$, we get that there are $\gg X^{\frac{1}{2}}$ cubic characters $\chi\in\mathcal{F}_3(X)$ with prime conductor such

that

$$|L(1,\chi)| \geq \zeta(3)\prod_{p\leq z}\left(1+\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p^2}\right) = \zeta(3)\prod_{p\leq z}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)^{-1}\prod_{p\leq z}\left(1-\frac{1}{p^3}\right)$$
$$\geq e^{\gamma-O(1/z)}\log z\prod_{p>z}\left(1-\frac{1}{p^3}\right) \geq e^{\gamma}\left(\log_2 X+\log_3 X-\log(2\log 3)+o(1)\right).$$

□

Proof of Theorem 1.12. We fix the values $\epsilon_p = \omega_\ell^{\frac{\ell-1}{2}}$ for all $p \leq z$. Following the proof of Theorem 1.7, if $\ell^{\pi(z)} \leq X^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}$ then applying Proposition 5.3, we have

$$\sum_{\chi\in\mathcal{P}_\ell(X,\{\omega_\ell\},z)}\frac{1}{L(1,\chi)}\log \mathrm{cond}(\chi) = \frac{X}{\ell^{\pi(z)}}\prod_{p\leq z}\left(1-\frac{\omega_\ell^{\frac{\ell-1}{2}}}{p}\right)\left(1+O(X^{-\epsilon})\right).$$

Applying the triangular inequality, we have

$$\sum_{\chi\in\mathcal{P}_\ell(X,\{\omega_\ell\},z)}\frac{1}{|L(1,\chi)|}\log \mathrm{cond}(\chi) \geq \left|\sum_{\chi\in\mathcal{P}_\ell(X,\{\omega_\ell\},z)}\frac{1}{L(1,\chi)}\log \mathrm{cond}(\chi)\right|$$
$$= \frac{X}{\ell^{\pi(z)}}\prod_{p\leq z}\left|\left(1-\frac{\omega_\ell^{\frac{\ell-1}{2}}}{p}\right)\right|\left(1+O(X^{-\epsilon})\right).$$

Following the choice of $z := \frac{\log X\log_2 X}{2\log \ell}$ and similar arguments as in the proof of Theorem 1.7, we conclude that there are $\gg X^{\frac{1}{2}}$ ℓth power characters $\chi \in \mathcal{F}_\ell(X)$ with prime conductor such that

$$\frac{1}{|L(1,\chi)|} \geq \prod_{p\leq z}\left|\left(1-\frac{\omega_\ell^{\frac{\ell-1}{2}}}{p}\right)\right| = \prod_{p\leq z}\left(1+\frac{2\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{\ell}\right)}{p}+\frac{1}{p^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{(e^\gamma\log z)^{\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{\ell}\right)}}{C_\ell}(1+o(1))$$
$$= \frac{(e^\gamma(\log_2 X+\log_3 X-\log(2\log \ell)))^{\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{\ell}\right)}}{C_\ell}(1+o(1)),$$

where we have employed the same simplifications as in the proof of Theorem 1.10.

□

6. Strengthening the range in the distribution result via the short Euler product under GRH

Our goal in this section is to obtain the distribution result Theorem 1.6, by strengthening the range of τ in Theorem 1.3 under GRH. We obtain a range similar to the Ω-result under GRH (as the term $\log_2 X + \log_3 X$ is achieved). This is done via the short Euler product. We remark that Theorem 1.6 plays an integral role in the proof of Theorem 1.3. We now give a moment estimate for the short Euler product in a comparatively wider range of $|z|$ than in Theorem 1.6. Recall that we defined

$$L(s,\chi;y) := \prod_{p\leq y}\left(1-\frac{\chi(p)}{p^s}\right)^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad L(1,\mathbb{X};y) = \prod_{p\leq y}\left(1-\frac{\mathbb{X}(p)}{p}\right)^{-1}.$$

We first prove Theorem 1.5. We start with the some auxiliary results. The following lemma relies on upper bounds for $|\log L(1,\chi_d)|$ proven in [GS07] for quadratic characters, and extended by Lamzouri [Lam10] to general L-functions. For the case of quadratic characters, this is [GS03, Lemma 4.5], slightly generalized to allow a parameter β.

Lemma 6.1. *Assume GRH, and let $\frac{1}{2} \le \sigma < 1$ and $\sigma > \frac{\beta-1}{\beta}$, $\beta \ge 2$. Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ that is not a cube. Then we have*

$$\sum_{\chi \in \mathcal{F}_3(X)} \chi(m) \ll X^{\sigma} \exp\left((\log m)^{\beta(1-\sigma)}\right).$$

Remark 6.2. We have some flexibility to choose β and σ. For example, if we take $\beta = 2$ and $\sigma = \frac{2}{3} + \epsilon$ then

$$\sum_{\chi \in \mathcal{F}_3(X)} \chi(m) \ll X^{\frac{2}{3}+\epsilon} \exp\left((\log m)^{\frac{2}{3}-\epsilon}\right).$$

Proof of Lemma 6.1. Recall from (3.3) that

(6.1)
$$\sum_{\chi \in \mathcal{F}_3(X)} \chi(m) = \sum_{\substack{d \in \mathbb{Z}, d \equiv 1 \,(\mathrm{mod}\, 3) \\ |d| \le \sqrt{X}}} \mu_{\mathbb{Z}}(d)\chi_d(m) \sum_{\substack{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega_3], \ell \equiv 1 \,(\mathrm{mod}\, 3) \\ (\ell,d)=1 \\ N(\ell) \le \sqrt{X/N(d)}}} \mu_{\mathbb{Z}[\omega_3]}(\ell)\chi_{\ell^2}(m) \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega_3], n \equiv 1 \,(\mathrm{mod}\, 3) \\ (n,d)=1 \\ N(n) \le X/N(d\ell^2)}} \chi_n(m).$$

The function $\psi_m : (n) \mapsto \chi_n(m) = \left(\frac{m}{n}\right)_3$ defined on ideals $(n) \subset \mathbb{Z}[\omega_3]$ (coprime to 3, and where $m \equiv 1 \,(\mathrm{mod}\, 3)$) gives a Hecke character of modulus $9m$. Let

$$L(s,\psi_m) = \sum_{(n)} \psi_m((n))N(n)^{-s} = \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega_3] \\ n \equiv 1 \,(\mathrm{mod}\, 3)}} \chi_n(m)N(n)^{-s}$$

be the corresponding Hecke L-function.

Using Perron's summation formula we have, for some $T \ge 1$,

(6.2)
$$\sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega_3], n \equiv 1 \,(\mathrm{mod}\, 3) \\ (n,d)=1 \\ N(n) \le Z}} \chi_n(m) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{(1+\epsilon)} f_{m,d}(s)Z^s \frac{ds}{s} = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{1+\epsilon-iT}^{1+\epsilon+iT} f_{m,d}(s)Z^s \frac{ds}{s} + O\left(\frac{Z^{1+\epsilon}}{T}\right),$$

where $Z := \frac{X}{N(d\ell^2)}$ and

$$f_{m,d}(s) = \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega_3], n \equiv 1 \,(\mathrm{mod}\, 3) \\ (n,d)=1}} \frac{\chi_m(n)}{N(n)^s} = \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \mid d} \left(1 - \frac{\psi_m(\mathfrak{p})}{N(\mathfrak{p})^s}\right) L(s,\psi_m).$$

A special case of Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3 in [Lam10] implies that if $\sigma_1 = \min\left(\sigma_0 + \frac{1}{\log y}, \frac{\sigma+\sigma_0}{2}\right)$, where $y \ge 2$, $|t| \ge y+3$ and $\sigma > \sigma_0 \ge \frac{1}{2}$, then

$$\log L(\sigma+it,\psi_m) = \sum_{N(n) \le y} \frac{b_n}{N(n)^{\sigma+it}} + O\left(\frac{\log|t|}{(\sigma_1-\sigma_0)^2} y^{\sigma_1-\sigma}\right),$$

where

$$b_n = \begin{cases} \frac{\psi_m((n))}{k} & (n) = \mathfrak{p}^k, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then, for $|t| \leq T$ and $y = (\log T)^\beta$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\log L(\sigma + it, \psi_m)| &\ll y^{1-\sigma} + \frac{\log T}{(\sigma_1 - \sigma_0)^2} y^{\sigma_1 - \sigma} \\ &\ll (\log T)^{\beta(1-\sigma)} + (\log T)^{1+\beta(\sigma_0-\sigma)} \log_2 T. \end{aligned}$$

Inserting this estimate after shifting the line of integration in the above Perron's integral (6.2) to $\mathrm{Re}(s) = \sigma > \sigma_0 \geq \frac{1}{2}$, we obtain

$$\sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega_3], n \equiv 1 \,(\mathrm{mod}\, 3) \\ (n,d)=1 \\ N(n) \leq Z}} \chi_n(m) \ll Z^\sigma 2^{2\omega(d)} \exp\left((\log T)^{\beta(1-\sigma)} + (\log T)^{1+\beta(\sigma_0-\sigma)} \log_2 T\right) + \frac{Z^{1+\epsilon}}{T}.$$

We may suppose that $Z \leq N(m)$, since by periodicity $\sum_{N(n) \leq Z} \chi_m(n) \ll N(m)$. This implies that $m \geq Z^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and hence we can take $T := m$, since in this case $\frac{Z^{1+\epsilon}}{T} \ll Z^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}$, which is permissible. Also equating $\beta(1-\sigma)$ and $1+\beta(\sigma_0-\sigma)$, we can choose $\sigma_0 = \frac{\beta-1}{\beta}$ with $\beta \geq 2$. Therefore, for $\sigma > \sigma_0 \geq \frac{1}{2}$, we have

$$\sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega_3], n \equiv 1 \,(\mathrm{mod}\, 3) \\ (n,d)=1 \\ N(n) \leq Z}} \chi_n(m) \ll Z^\sigma 2^{2\omega(d)} \exp\left((\log m)^{\beta(1-\sigma)}\right).$$

Plugging this estimate in (6.1) together with $Z = \frac{X}{N(d\ell^2)}$, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\chi \in \mathcal{F}_3(X)} \chi(m) \ll & X^\sigma \sum_{\substack{d \in \mathbb{Z}, d \equiv 1 \,(\mathrm{mod}\, 3) \\ d \leq X}} \frac{2^{2\omega(d)}}{d^{2\sigma}} \sum_{\substack{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega_3], \ell \equiv 1 \,(\mathrm{mod}\, 3) \\ (\ell,d)=1 \\ N(\ell) \leq \sqrt{X/N(d)}}} \frac{1}{N(\ell)^{2\sigma}} \exp\left((\log m)^{\beta(1-\sigma)}\right) \\ \ll & X^\sigma \exp\left((\log m)^{\beta(1-\sigma)}\right), \end{aligned}$$

which finishes the proof. □

The following is the analogue of Theorem 6.1 from [GS03] for the case of cubic characters.

Proposition 6.3. *Assume GRH. Let $2 \leq y \leq \exp(\sqrt{\log X})$ and let z be a real number. Then we have*

$$\frac{1}{|\mathcal{F}_3(X)|} \sum_{\chi \in \mathcal{F}_3(X)} |L(1, \chi; y)|^{2z} = \mathbb{E}(|L(1, \mathbb{X}; y)|^{2z}) \left(1 + O\left(E(z, y, X)\right)\right),$$

where $E(z, y, X)$ is a positive real number such that for $y \geq 4(|z| + 1)$,

$$E(z, y, X) \ll X^{-\frac{1}{4}} \exp\left(\frac{16(|z|+1)}{\log(4(|z|+1))} + \frac{120(|z|+1)}{\sqrt{\log X}} + 2\log_2 y + 6e^{30}|z| \log\left(\frac{\log y}{\log(4(|z|+1))}\right)\right),$$

and otherwise,

$$E(z,y,X) \ll X^{-\frac{1}{4}} \exp\left(\frac{34y}{\log y}\right).$$

Proof. We have

$$\sum_{\chi\in\mathcal{F}_3(X)} |L(1,\chi;y)|^{2z} = \sum_{\substack{n_1,n_2=1\\ n_1,n_2\in\mathcal{S}(y)}}^{\infty} \frac{d_z(n_1)d_z(n_2)}{n_1n_2} S(X;n_1n_2^2), \tag{6.3}$$

where

$$S(X;n) = \sum_{\chi\in\mathcal{F}_3(X)} \chi(n),$$

and $\mathcal{S}(y)$ denotes the set of integers whose prime factors are all $\le y$. We decompose n_1 and n_2 as $n_1 = r_1r_2^2r_3^3r_4^3$ and $n_2 = s_1s_2^2s_3^3s_4^3$, where r_1, r_2, r_3 are square-free and coprime in pairs, and s_1, s_2, s_3 are as well. In this decomposition we also have $p \mid r_4 \Rightarrow p \mid r_1r_2r_3$ and $p \mid s_4 \Rightarrow p \mid s_1s_2s_3$.

Then, $S(X;n_1n_2^2) = S(X;r_1s_1^2r_2^2s_2r_3^3s_3^3)$ and we rewrite (6.3) as

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{r_1\in\mathcal{S}(y)} \mu^2(r_1) \sum_{\substack{r_2\in\mathcal{S}(y)\\(r_1,r_2)=1}} \mu^2(r_2) \sum_{\substack{r_3\in\mathcal{S}(y)\\(r_3,r_1r_2)=1}} \mu^2(r_3) \sum_{s_1\in\mathcal{S}(y)} \mu^2(s_1) \sum_{\substack{s_2\in\mathcal{S}(y)\\(s_1,s_2)=1}} \mu^2(s_2) \sum_{\substack{s_3\in\mathcal{S}(y)\\(s_3,s_1s_2)=1}} \mu^2(s_3)\\ \times S(X;r_1s_1^2r_2^2s_2r_3^3s_3^3) \sum_{p\mid r_4\Rightarrow p\mid r_1r_2r_3} \frac{d_z(r_1r_2^2r_3^3r_4^3)}{r_1r_2^2r_3^3r_4^3} \sum_{p\mid s_4\Rightarrow p\mid s_1s_2s_3} \frac{d_z(s_1s_2^2s_3^3s_4^3)}{s_1s_2^2s_3^3s_4^3}.\end{aligned} \tag{6.4}$$

We first evaluate the contribution when $n_1n_2^2 = \square \iff r_1s_1^2r_2^2s_2 = \square$.
If we write $(r_1,s_1) = U_1$, $(r_2,s_2) = U_2$, then $r_1 = U_1r_{1,1}$, $s_1 = U_1s_{1,1}$, $r_2 = U_2r_{2,1}$, $s_2 = U_2s_{2,1}$. Then we get that the main term comes from $r_{1,1}s_{1,1}^2r_{2,1}^2s_{2,1} = \square$. Because of coprimality (we have that $(r_{1,1},s_{1,1}) = (r_{2,1},s_{2,1}) = (r_{1,1},r_{2,1}) = (s_{1,1},s_{2,1}) = 1$) and square-free conditions ($r_{1,1}, r_{2,1}, s_{1,1}, s_{2,1}$ are square-free) we get that $r_{1,1} = r_{2,1} = s_{1,1} = s_{2,1} = 1$. This gives $r_1 = s_1 = r$ (say) and $r_2 = s_2 = s$ (say). Using Proposition 2.1, this results in

$$S(X;r_1s_1^2r_2^2s_2r_3^3s_3^3) = S(X;r^3s^3r_3^3s_3^3) = |\mathcal{F}_3(X)| \prod_{p\mid rsr_3s_3} \alpha_p + O\left(3^{\omega(rsr_3s_3)}X^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}\right), \tag{6.5}$$

where the factors α_p in the Euler product are defined in (4.1) and depend on $p \pmod 3$. We can now write (for r_1, r_2, r_3 square-free and coprime)

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\substack{r_4\ge 1\\ p\mid r_4\Rightarrow p\mid r_1r_2r_3}} \frac{d_z(r_1r_2^2r_3^3r_4^3)}{r_1r_2^2r_3^3r_4^3} &= \prod_{p\mid r_1}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{d_z(p^{3k+1})}{p^{3k+1}} \prod_{p\mid r_2}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{d_z(p^{3k+2})}{p^{3k+2}} \prod_{p\mid r_3}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{d_z(p^{3k+3})}{p^{3k+3}}\\ &= \prod_{p\mid r_1} c_{p,\omega_3^2}(z) \prod_{p\mid r_2} c_{p,\omega_3}(z) \prod_{p\mid r_3} \left(c_{p,1}(z)-1\right),\end{aligned}$$

where

$$c_{p,\omega_3^j}(z) = \frac{\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)^{-z} + \omega_3^j\left(1-\frac{\omega_3}{p}\right)^{-z} + \omega_3^{2j}\left(1-\frac{\omega_3^2}{p}\right)^{-z}}{3}.$$

We remark that $c_{p,\omega_3^j}(z) \in \mathbb{R}$ since $z \in \mathbb{R}$.

A similar treatment applies to the sum over s_4. Replacing in (6.4), the contribution of the main term of (6.5) to (6.3) is

$$\begin{aligned}
&= |\mathcal{F}_3(X)| \sum_{r\in\mathcal{S}(y)} \sum_{\substack{s\in\mathcal{S}(y)\\(r,s)=1}} \sum_{\substack{r_3,s_3\in\mathcal{S}(y)\\(r_3,rs)=1\\(s_3,rs)=1}} \mu^2(r)\mu^2(s)\mu^2(r_3)\mu^2(s_3) \prod_{p|rsr_3s_3} \alpha_p \prod_{p|r} c^2_{p,\omega_3^2}(z) \prod_{p|s} c^2_{p,\omega_3}(z) \\
&\qquad \times \prod_{p|r_3} (c_{p,1}(z)-1) \prod_{p|s_3} (c_{p,1}(z)-1) \\
&= |\mathcal{F}_3(X)| \prod_{p\le y} \left(1 + \sum_{\substack{k_1,k_2,k_3,k_4\in\{0,1\}\\(k_1,k_2,k_3,k_4)\neq(0,0,0,0)\\k_1=1\Rightarrow k_2,k_3,k_4=0\\k_2=1\Rightarrow k_1,k_3,k_4=0}} \alpha_p c_{p,\omega_3^2}(z)^{2k_1} c_{p,\omega_3}(z)^{2k_2} \left(c_{p,1}(z)-1\right)^{k_3} \left(c_{p,1}(z)-1\right)^{k_4}\right) \\
&= |\mathcal{F}_3(X)| \prod_{p\le y} \Big(1 + \alpha_p c^2_{p,\omega_3^2}(z) + \alpha_p c^2_{p,\omega_3}(z) + 2\alpha_p (c_{p,1}(z)-1) + \alpha_p (c_{p,1}(z)-1)^2\Big) \\
&= |\mathcal{F}_3(X)| \prod_{p\le y} \Big(1 - \alpha_p + \alpha_p \left(c^2_{p,1}(z) + c^2_{p,\omega_3}(z) + c^2_{p,\omega_3^2}(z)\right)\Big) \\
&= |\mathcal{F}_3(X)| \mathbb{E}(|L(1,\mathbb{X};y)|^{2z}),
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last equality, we have applied the fact that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}(|L(1,\mathbb{X};y)|^{2z}) &= \prod_{p\le y} \mathbb{E}\left(\left|1-\frac{\mathbb{X}(p)}{p}\right|^{-2z}\right) = \prod_{p\le y} \mathbb{E}\left(\left|1-\frac{\mathbb{X}(p)+\overline{\mathbb{X}}(p)}{p}+\frac{\mathbb{X}(p)\overline{\mathbb{X}}(p)}{p^2}\right|^{-z}\right) \\
&= \prod_{p\le y} \left(1-\alpha_p + \frac{\alpha_p}{3}\left(1-\frac{2}{p}+\frac{1}{p^2}\right)^{-z} + \frac{2\alpha_p}{3}\left(1+\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p^2}\right)^{-z}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$c^2_{p,1}(z) + c^2_{p,\omega_3}(z) + c^2_{p,\omega_3^2}(z) = \frac{1}{3}\left(1-\frac{2}{p}+\frac{1}{p^2}\right)^{-z} + \frac{2}{3}\left(1+\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p^2}\right)^{-z}. \tag{6.6}$$

Now replacing the error term of (6.5) in (6.4), and using (6.6), the contribution to (6.3) is

$$\begin{aligned}
&\ll X^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} \prod_{p\le y} \left(c^2_{p,1}(z) + c^2_{p,\omega_3^2}(z) + c^2_{p,\omega_3}(z)\right) \\
&= X^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} \mathbb{E}(|L(1,\mathbb{X};y)|^{2z}) \prod_{p\le y} \left(1+O\left(\frac{2}{p}\right)\right) \ll X^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} \log^2 y\, \mathbb{E}(|L(1,\mathbb{X};y)|^{2z}).
\end{aligned}$$

We now proceed to bound the contribution when $m = n_1 n_2^2 = r_1 s_1^2 r_2^2 s_2 r_3^3 s_3^3 \neq \square$ in (6.4). By Lemma 6.1, we have

$$|S(X;m)| \ll X^{\frac{2}{3}+\epsilon} \exp\left((\log m)^{\frac{2}{3}-\epsilon}\right) \ll X^{\frac{3}{4}} m^{\frac{5}{\sqrt{\log X}}}, \tag{6.7}$$

where the second bound follows by considering the two cases, namely, $\log m \le \left(\frac{\log X}{25}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}$ and $\log m > \left(\frac{\log X}{25}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}$. In the first case,

$$\exp\left((\log m)^{\frac{2}{3}-\epsilon}\right) \le \exp\left(\frac{\log X}{25}\right) = X^{\frac{1}{25}}.$$

In the second case,

$$\exp\left((\log m)^{\frac{2}{3}}\right) = \exp\left(\frac{\log m}{(\log m)^{\frac{1}{3}}}\right) \le \exp\left(\frac{5\log m}{\sqrt{\log X}}\right) = m^{\frac{5}{\sqrt{\log X}}}.$$

Replacing in (6.7) with $m = r_1 s_1^2 r_2^2 s_2 r_3^3 s_3^3$ in (6.4), the contribution when $n_1 n_2^2 \neq \square$ is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\ll X^{\frac{3}{4}} \sum_{\substack{r_1,r_2,r_3\in\mathcal{S}(y)\\(r_2,r_1)=1\\(r_3,r_1r_2)=1\\r_1,r_2,r_3 \text{ square-free}}} \sum_{\substack{s_1,s_2,s_3\in\mathcal{S}(y)\\(s_2,s_1)=1\\(s_3,s_1s_2)=1\\s_1,s_2,s_3 \text{ square-free}}} \left| \prod_{p|r_1}(c_{p,\omega_3^2}(z)p^{\frac{5}{\sqrt{\log X}}}) \prod_{p|r_2}(c_{p,\omega_3}(z)p^{\frac{10}{\sqrt{\log X}}}) \prod_{p|r_3}((c_{p,1}(z)-1)p^{\frac{15}{\sqrt{\log X}}}) \right. \\
&\left. \times \prod_{p|s_1}(c_{p,\omega_3^2}(z)p^{\frac{10}{\sqrt{\log X}}}) \prod_{p|s_2}(c_{p,\omega_3}(z)p^{\frac{5}{\sqrt{\log X}}}) \prod_{p|s_3}((c_{p,1}(z)-1)p^{\frac{15}{\sqrt{\log X}}}) \right| \\
&= X^{\frac{3}{4}} \left| \prod_{p\le y} \left(1 + c_{p,\omega_3^2}(z)p^{\frac{5}{\sqrt{\log X}}} + c_{p,\omega_3}(z)p^{\frac{10}{\sqrt{\log X}}} + (c_{p,1}(z)-1)p^{\frac{15}{\sqrt{\log X}}}\right) \right. \\
&\left. \times \left(1 + c_{p,\omega_3^2}(z)p^{\frac{10}{\sqrt{\log X}}} + c_{p,\omega_3}(z)p^{\frac{5}{\sqrt{\log X}}} + (c_{p,1}(z)-1)p^{\frac{15}{\sqrt{\log X}}}\right) \right| \\
&\ll X^{\frac{3}{4}} \prod_{p\le y} \left(1 + |c_{p,\omega_3^2}(z)|p^{\frac{15}{\sqrt{\log X}}} + |c_{p,\omega_3}(z)|p^{\frac{15}{\sqrt{\log X}}} + |c_{p,1}(z)-1|p^{\frac{15}{\sqrt{\log X}}}\right)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we split the product into the primes that are $\le 4(|z|+1)$ and those that are $> 4(|z|+1)$. When $p \le 4(|z|+1)$, we use the bound (which is valid for all p)

$$\begin{aligned}
|c_{p,\omega_3^j}(z)| \le& \frac{1}{3}\left(\left(\left|1-\frac{1}{p}\right|^2\right)^{-\frac{z}{2}} + \left(\left|1-\frac{\omega_3}{p}\right|^2\right)^{-\frac{z}{2}} + \left(\left|1-\frac{\omega_3^2}{p}\right|^2\right)^{-\frac{z}{2}}\right) \\
=& \frac{1}{3}\left(1-\frac{2}{p}+\frac{1}{p^2}\right)^{-\frac{z}{2}} + \frac{2}{3}\left(1+\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p^2}\right)^{-\frac{z}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

When $p \ge 4(|z|+1)$ we use the bounds

$$|c_{p,\omega_3^2}(z)| \le \frac{4|z|}{3p}, \quad |c_{p,\omega_3}(z)| \le \frac{4|z|^2}{3p^2}, \quad |c_{1,p}(z)-1| \le \frac{4|z|^3}{3p^3}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\ll X^{\frac{3}{4}} \prod_{p\le \min\{y,4(|z|+1)\}} \left(1+|c_{p,\omega_3^2}(z)|p^{\frac{15}{\sqrt{\log X}}}+|c_{p,\omega_3}(z)|p^{\frac{15}{\sqrt{\log X}}}+|c_{p,1}(z)-1|p^{\frac{15}{\sqrt{\log X}}}\right)^2\\
&\times \prod_{\min\{y,4(|z|+1)\}<p<y} \left(1+|c_{p,\omega_3^2}(z)|p^{\frac{15}{\sqrt{\log X}}}+|c_{p,\omega_3}(z)|p^{\frac{15}{\sqrt{\log X}}}+|c_{p,1}(z)-1|p^{\frac{15}{\sqrt{\log X}}}\right)^2\\
&\ll X^{\frac{3}{4}} \prod_{p\le \min\{y,4(|z|+1)\}} p^{\frac{30}{\sqrt{\log X}}} \left(2+\left(1-\frac{2}{p}+\frac{1}{p^2}\right)^{-\frac{z}{2}}+2\left(1+\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p^2}\right)^{-\frac{z}{2}}\right)^2\\
&\times \prod_{\min\{y,4(|z|+1)\}<p<y} \left(1+\frac{6p^{\frac{30}{\sqrt{\log X}}}|z|}{p}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Now we use that $1\le \left(1-\frac{2}{p}+\frac{1}{p^2}\right)^{-\frac{z}{2}}+\left(1+\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p^2}\right)^{-\frac{z}{2}}$ to get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(2+\left(1-\frac{2}{p}+\frac{1}{p^2}\right)^{-\frac{z}{2}}+2\left(1+\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p^2}\right)^{-\frac{z}{2}}\right)^2\\
&\le 54\left(1-\alpha_p+\frac{\alpha_p}{3}\left(1-\frac{2}{p}+\frac{1}{p^2}\right)^{-z}+\frac{2\alpha_p}{3}\left(1+\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p^2}\right)^{-z}\right)\left(1+O\left(\frac{2}{p}\right)\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Then we get for $4(|z|+1)<y$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\ll X^{\frac{3}{4}}\mathbb{E}(|L(1,\mathbb{X};y)|^{2z}) \prod_{p\le 4(|z|+1)} 54p^{\frac{30}{\sqrt{\log X}}}\left(1+O\left(\frac{2}{p}\right)\right) \prod_{4(|z|+1)<p<y}\left(1+\frac{6p^{\frac{30}{\sqrt{\log X}}}|z|}{p}\right)\\
&\ll X^{\frac{3}{4}}\mathbb{E}(|L(1,\mathbb{X};y)|^{2z})\log^2 y \prod_{p\le 4(|z|+1)} 54p^{\frac{30}{\sqrt{\log X}}} \prod_{4(|z|+1)<p<y}\left(1+\frac{6p^{\frac{30}{\sqrt{\log X}}}|z|}{p}\right)\\
&\ll X^{\frac{3}{4}}\mathbb{E}(|L(1,\mathbb{X};y)|^{2z})\exp\left(\frac{16(|z|+1)}{\log(4(|z|+1))}+\frac{120(|z|+1)}{\sqrt{\log X}}+2\log_2 y+6e^{30}|z|\log\left(\frac{\log y}{\log(4(|z|+1))}\right)\right).
\end{aligned}$$

For $y\le 4(|z|+1)$, we have

$$\ll X^{\frac{3}{4}}\mathbb{E}(|L(1,\mathbb{X};y)|^{2z})\exp\left(\frac{34y}{\log y}\right),$$

since $\log y\le\sqrt{\log X}$. This finished the proof.

□

Proof of Theorem 1.5. We directly use Proposition 6.3 with $y=B\log X\log_2 X$ and $z=\frac{\log X\log_2 X}{e^{37}\log B}$, where $e^{40}\le B\le(\log_2 X)^C$ to prove the statement. Indeed, from the choice of y and z, we see that $y\ge 4(|z|+1)$. Therefore, applying the first part of Proposition 6.3, we

have

$$E(z,y,X) \ll X^{-\frac{1}{4}} \exp\left(\frac{16\log X\log_2 X}{e^{37}\log_2 X\log B} + \frac{120\log X\log_2 X}{e^{37}\log B\sqrt{\log X}} + 2\log_2 X \right.$$
$$\left. + \frac{6e^{30}\log X\log_2 X}{e^{37}\log B}\log\frac{\log_2 X+\log_3 X+\log B}{\log_2 X+\log_3 X-37-\log_2 B+\log 4}\right).$$

Now notice that

$$\log\frac{\log_2 X+\log_3 X+\log B}{\log_2 X+\log_3 X-37-\log_2 B+\log 4} = \log\left(\left(1+\frac{\log B}{\log_2 X+\log_3 X}\right)\left(1-\frac{37-\log 4+\log_2 B}{\log_2 X+\log_3 X}\right)^{-1}\right)$$
$$\leq \frac{2\log B}{\log_2 X},$$

where for the last inequality we applied the condition $37-\log 4+\log_2 B<\log B$, which is true provided that $B\geq e^{40}$.

Incorporating this observation, we obtain

$$E(z,y,X) \ll X^{-\frac{1}{4}}\exp\left(\frac{17\log X\log_2 X}{e^{37}\log_2 X\log B} + \frac{6e^{30}\log X\log_2 X}{e^{37}\log B}\frac{2\log B}{\log_2 X}\right)$$
$$\ll X^{-\frac{1}{4}}\exp\left(\frac{17\log X}{e^{37}\log B}+\frac{12}{e^7}\log X\right) \ll X^{-\frac{23}{100}}.$$

□

We now proceed to establish a connection between $L(1,\chi)$ and $L(1,\chi;y)$ under GRH.

Proposition 6.4. *Let $C>0$, and let $2\leq A\leq(\log_2 X)^C$ be a real number and $y:=A^4\log X\log_2 X$. Under GRH, we have*

$$L(1,\chi)=L(1,\chi;y)\left(1+O\left(\frac{1}{A\log_2 X}\right)\right)$$

for all but at most $X^{\frac{14}{15}}$ cubic characters in $\mathcal{F}_3(X)$.

To prove this statement we need the following auxiliary result.

Lemma 6.5. *Let k be a positive integer. Under GRH,*

$$\sum_{\chi\in\mathcal{F}_3(X)}\left|\sum_{y<p\leq z}\frac{\chi(p)}{p}\right|^{2k} \ll X2^k k!\left(\sum_{y<p\leq z}\frac{1}{p^2}\right)^k + X^{2/3+\epsilon}\exp\left((3k\log z)^{\frac{2}{3}}\right)\left(\frac{\log(z/y)}{\log y}\right)^{2k}$$
$$\ll X\left(\frac{2k}{y\log y}\right)^k + X^{2/3+\epsilon}\exp\left((3k\log z)^{\frac{2}{3}}\right)\left(\frac{\log(z/y)}{\log y}\right)^{2k}.$$

Proof. We write

$$\left(\sum_{y<p\leq z}\frac{\chi(p)}{p}\right)^k = \sum_{y^k<m\leq z^k}\frac{a_k(m)\chi(m)}{m},$$

where

$$a_k(m)=\sum_{\substack{y<p_1,\dots,p_k\leq z\\ p_1\cdots p_k=m}}1.$$

This gives us

$$\sum_{\chi\in\mathcal{F}_3(X)}\left|\sum_{y<p\le z}\frac{\chi(p)}{p}\right|^{2k}=\sum_{y^k<m_1,m_2\le z^k}\frac{a_k(m_1)a_k(m_2)}{m_1m_2}\sum_{\chi\in\mathcal{F}_3(X)}\chi(m_1m_2^2).$$

Separating the above sum into the cubic and the non-cubic part, and applying Lemma 6.1, we obtain the following bound

$$\begin{aligned}&\ll X\sum_{\substack{y^k<m_1,m_2\le z^k\\ m_1m_2^2=\square}}\frac{a_k(m_1)a_k(m_2)}{m_1m_2}+X^{2/3+\epsilon}\exp\left((3k\log z)^{\frac{2}{3}}\right)\left(\sum_{y<p\le z}\frac{1}{p}\right)^{2k}\\&\ll X\sum_{\substack{y^k<m_1,m_2\le z^k\\ m_1m_2^2=\square}}\frac{a_k(m_1)a_k(m_2)}{m_1m_2}+X^{2/3+\epsilon}\exp\left((3k\log z)^{\frac{2}{3}}\right)\left(\frac{\log(z/y)}{\log y}\right)^{2k}.\end{aligned}$$

We notice the following facts. For $m=p_1^{e_1}\cdots p_k^{e_k}$

$$a_k(m)=\binom{k}{e_1,\dots,e_r}. \tag{6.8}$$

For m_1,m_2 with $\Omega(m_i)=k_i$, we have

$$a_{k_1+k_2}(m_1m_2)\le\binom{k_1+k_2}{k_2}a_{k_1}(m_1)a_{k_2}(m_2). \tag{6.9}$$

Now for $m_1m_2^2=\square$, write $m=(m_1,m_2)$, and $m_1=mm_{1,1}$, $m_2=mm_{2,1}$. We have that $m_{1,1}m_{2,1}^2=\square$, with $(m_{1,1},m_{2,1})=1$, and this implies $m_{1,1}=m_{1,2}^3$, $m_{2,1}=m_{2,2}^3$. Setting $\ell=\Omega(m)$ and combining (6.8) and (6.9) several times, one obtains

$$a_k(m_1)a_k(m_2)\le k!\binom{k}{3\ell}a_\ell(m_{1,2})a_\ell(m_{2,2})a_{k-3\ell}(m),$$

and from there one can deduce that

$$\sum_{\substack{y^k<m_1,m_2\le z^k\\ m_1m_2^2=\square}}\frac{a_k(m_1)a_k(m_2)}{m_1m_2}\ll 2^kk!\left(\sum_{y<p\le z}\frac{1}{p^2}\right)^k.$$

□

Proof of Proposition 6.4. Specializing by $Q=X$, $y=(\log X)^{32}$, and $A=4$ in Proposition 2.2 of [GS03], we can say that

$$L(1,\chi)=L(1,\chi;(\log X)^{32})\left(1+O\left(\frac{1}{\log X}\right)\right)$$

holds for all but at most $X^{\frac{1}{2}}$ characters inside $\mathcal{F}_3(X)$. Observe that for $z>y$,

$$L(1,\chi;z)=L(1,\chi;y)\exp\left(\sum_{y<p\le z}\left(\frac{\chi(p)}{p}+O\left(\frac{1}{p^2}\right)\right)\right).$$

We take $z = (\log X)^{32}$ and $y := A^4 \log X \log_2 X$, and it suffices to show that

$$\Big| \sum_{y<p\le z} \frac{\chi(p)}{p} \Big| \le \frac{1}{A \log_2 X}$$

holds for all but $\ll X^{\frac{14}{15}}$ characters inside $\mathcal{F}_3(X)$. To do this we dyadically divide the interval $(y, z]$ into J subintervals of the form $(y_j, y_{j+1}]$ such that $y_j := 2^{j-1}y$, where $J = [32 \log_2 X - \log y]$. (We need this to make the quantity $\log(z/y)$ small in Lemma 6.5.)

On the basis of this division, using a version of Chebyshev's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\#\Big\{\chi \in \mathcal{F}_3(X) \,:\, \Big| \sum_{y_j<p\le y_{j+1}} \frac{\chi(p)}{p} \Big| \ge \frac{1}{A 2^{\frac{j}{6}-1} \log_2 X}\Big\} \\ &\le \sum_{\chi\in\mathcal{F}_3(X)} \Big| \sum_{y_j<p\le y_{j+1}} \frac{\chi(p)}{p} \Big|^{2k_j} \times (A 2^{\frac{j}{6}-1} \log_2 X)^{2k_j}, \end{aligned} \tag{6.10}$$

where we take

$$k_j = \frac{\log X}{30 \log(A 2^{j/3+1})}. \tag{6.11}$$

We now apply Lemma 6.5 to bound (6.10). From the first term in the bound of Lemma 6.5, we get the bound

$$\begin{aligned} &\ll X \left(\frac{2k_j}{y_j \log y_j}\right)^{k_j} (A 2^{\frac{j}{6}-1} \log_2 X)^{2k_j} \\ &\ll X \exp\left(k_j \left(-\log 30 - 2\log A - 2j/3 \log 2\right)\right) \\ &\ll X \exp\left(-2k_j \log(5A 2^{j/3})\right) \ll X^{\frac{14}{15}}, \end{aligned} \tag{6.12}$$

by (6.11) and using the fact[2] that $y_j = 2^{j-1} A^4 \log X \log_2 X$. Similarly, from the second term in the bound of Lemma 6.5, we get the bound

$$\begin{aligned} &\ll X^{2/3+\epsilon} \exp((3k_j \log y_{j+1})^{\frac{2}{3}}) \left(\frac{\log(y_{j+1}/y_j)}{\log y_j}\right)^{2k_j} (A 2^{\frac{j}{6}-1} \log_2 X)^{2k_j} \\ &\ll X^{2/3+2\epsilon} \exp(2k_j \log(2^{j/6-1} A) + 3k_j^{\frac{2}{3}} (\log_2 X)^{\frac{2}{3}})) \ll X^{\frac{4}{5}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{J} \Big| \sum_{y_j<p\le z_j} \frac{\chi(p)}{p} \Big| \le \frac{1}{A \log_2 X}$$

holds for all but at most $X^{\frac{14}{15}}$ characters inside $\mathcal{F}_3(X)$. □

Proposition 6.6. *For any $r, y > 0$, define*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(r; y) &:= \log(\mathbb{E}(|L(1, \mathbb{X}; y)|^{2r})) = \sum_{p\le y} \log E_p(r), \\ \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(r; y) &:= \log(\mathbb{E}(|L(1, \mathbb{X}; y)|^{-2r})) = \sum_{p\le y} \log E_p(-r). \end{aligned}$$

[2]In the estimate (6.12) we particularly use that $y = A^4 \log X \log_2 X$ as opposed to the perhaps more natural choice $y = A \log X \log_2 X$.

Then

$$\mathcal{L}(r;y) = 2r\log\log\min\{r,y\} + 2r\gamma + \frac{2r\left(C_{\max}(r/y)-1\right)}{\log r} + O\left(\frac{r}{(\log r)^2}\right) \tag{6.13}$$

and

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(r;y) = \log\log\min\{r,y\} + r\gamma + \frac{r\left(C_{\min}(r/y)-1\right)}{\log r} - r\log\zeta(3) + O\left(\frac{r}{(\log r)^2}\right),$$

where $2C_{\max}(r/y) = \int_{r/y}^{\infty}\frac{f'(u)}{u}du$ *and* $C_{\min}(r/y) = \int_{r/y}^{\infty}\frac{\widetilde{f}'(u)}{u}du$ *and* $f(u)$ *and* $\widetilde{f}'(u)$ *are defined by* (4.12) *and* (4.13) *respectively.*

Moreover, $2C_{\max}$ *and* $C_{\min}$ *satisfy*

$$2C_{\max}(r/y) = 2C_{\max} + O(r/y) \quad \textit{and} \quad C_{\min}(r/y) = C_{\min} + O(r/y). \tag{6.14}$$

Proof. This is a direct adaptation of the proof of Proposition 4.5. □

Proof of Theorem 1.6. The proof is almost identical to the proof of Theorem 1.4 from Section 4.3, replacing $L(1,\chi)$ by the short Euler product $L(1,\chi;y)$, and keeping track of y in the error terms. We define for any $r \geq 1$

$$\phi_X(\tau;y) := \frac{1}{|\mathcal{F}_3(X)|}\sum_{\substack{\chi\in\mathcal{F}_3(X)\\ |L(1,\chi;y)|\geq e^{\gamma}\tau}} 1,$$

which gives

$$2r\int_0^{\infty} t^{2r-1}\phi_X(t;y)dt = \frac{e^{-2r\gamma}}{|\mathcal{F}_3(X)|}\sum_{\chi\in\mathcal{F}_3(X)}|L(1,\chi;y)|^{2r}.$$

We apply Theorem 1.5 for $B = A^4$ and $e^{10} \leq A \leq (\log_2 X)^C$ to get

$$2r\int_0^{\infty} t^{2r-1}\phi_X(t;y)dt = e^{-2\gamma r}E\left(|L(1,\mathbb{X};y)|^{2r}\right) + O\left(e^{-2\gamma r}X^{-\frac{23}{100}}E\left(|L(1,\mathbb{X};y)|^{2r}\right)\right),$$

uniformly for $r \leq \frac{\log X\log_2 X}{4e^{37}\log A}$ and $y = A^4\log X\log_2 X$ (notice that $r < y$). Using (6.13) and (6.14), we get

$$\int_0^{\infty} t^{2r-1}\phi_X(t;y)dt = (\log r)^{2r}\exp\left(\frac{2r}{\log r}(C_{\max}-1) + O\left(\frac{r}{(\log r)^2}\right) + O\left(\frac{r^2}{y\log r}\right)\right). \tag{6.15}$$

Let $r_2 = re^{\delta}$, where $\delta > 0$ is sufficiently small to be determined later. We apply (6.15) with r_2 to obtain

$$\int_{\tau+\delta}^{\infty} t^{2r-1}\phi_X(t;y)dt \leq (\log r)^{2r}\exp\left(2r(1-e^{\delta})\log\left(1+\frac{\delta}{\tau}\right) + 2re^{\delta}\log\left(1+\frac{\delta}{\log r}\right)\right)$$
$$\times\exp\left(\frac{2re^{\delta}}{\log r}(C_{\max}-1) + O\left(\frac{r}{(\log r)^2}\right) + O\left(\frac{r^2}{y\log r}\right)\right)\exp\left(2r(1-e^{\delta})\left(\log\tau - \log_2 r\right)\right),$$

which holds uniformly for

$$r_2 \leq \frac{\log X\log_2 X}{4e^{37}\log A} \iff r \leq e^{-\delta}\frac{\log X\log_2 X}{4e^{37}\log A}.$$

We now choose $r = r(\tau)$ by $\log r = \tau - C_{\max}$, and $\delta = \frac{c}{\sqrt{\log r}}$ to get

$$\int_{\tau+\delta}^{\infty} t^{2r-1}\phi_X(t;y)dt \le (\log r)^{2r} \exp\left(\frac{2r}{\log r}(C_{\max}-1) + O\left(\frac{r}{(\log r)^2}\right) + O\left(\frac{r^2}{y\log r}\right)\right)\exp\left(-\frac{c^2 r}{(\log r)^2}\right)$$

for some constant c. Using (6.15), we have

$$\int_{\tau+\delta}^{\infty} t^{2r-1}\phi_X(t;y)dt \le \left(\int_0^{\infty} t^{2r-1}\phi_X(t;y)dt\right)\exp\left(-\frac{c^2 r}{(\log r)^2}\right),$$

and similarly, we can prove that

$$\int_0^{\tau-\delta} t^{2r-1}\phi_X(t;y)dt \le \left(\int_0^{\infty} t^{2r-1}\phi_X(t;y)dt\right)\exp\left(-\frac{c^2 r}{(\log r)^2}\right).$$

Working as in the proof of Theorem 1.4, we get

$$\phi_X(\tau+\delta;y) \le \exp\left(-\frac{2e^{\tau-C_{\max}}}{\tau}\left(1+O(\delta)+O\left(\frac{e^\tau}{y}\right)\right)\right) \le \phi_X(\tau-\delta;y),$$

and

$$\phi_X(\tau;y) = \exp\left(-\frac{2e^{\tau-C_{\max}}}{\tau}\left(1+O\left(\frac{1}{\tau^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right)+O\left(\frac{e^\tau}{y}\right)\right)\right) \tag{6.16}$$

uniformly in the range

$$\tau = \log r + C_{\max} \le \log\left(e^{-\delta}\frac{\log X \log_2 X}{4e^{37}\log A}\right) + C_{\max}.$$

To conclude the proof, we know replace $\phi_X(\tau;y)$ by $\phi_X(\tau)$, with the appropriate error terms. Using Proposition 6.4, we can write for $y = A^4 \log X \log_2 X$ and $2 < e^{10} \le A \le (\log_2 X)^C$,

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_X(\tau) = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{F}_3(X)|}\sum_{\substack{\chi\in\mathcal{F}_3(X)\\ |L(1,\chi)|\ge e^\gamma\tau}} 1 &= \frac{1}{|\mathcal{F}_3(X)|}\sum_{\substack{\chi\in\mathcal{F}_3(X)\\ |L(1,\chi;y)|\ge e^\gamma\tau\left(1+O\left(\frac{1}{A\log_2 X}\right)\right)}} 1+O(X^{-\frac{1}{15}})\\ &= \phi_X\left(\tau\left(1+O\left(\frac{1}{A\log_2 X}\right)\right);y\right)+O(X^{-\frac{1}{15}}),\end{aligned}$$

and from (6.16), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\phi_X\left(\tau\left(1+O\left(\frac{1}{A\log_2 X}\right)\right);y\right)\\ &= \exp\left(-\frac{2e^{\tau-C_{\max}+O(\tau/(A\log_2 X))}}{\tau}\left(1+O\left(\frac{1}{\tau^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right)+O\left(\frac{1}{A\log_2 X}\right)+O\left(\frac{e^\tau}{y}\right)\right)\right)\\ &= \exp\left(-\frac{2e^{\tau-C_{\max}}}{\tau}\left(1+O\left(\frac{1}{\tau^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right)+O\left(\frac{1}{A}\right)+O\left(\frac{e^\tau}{y}\right)\right)\right).\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we conclude that

$$\phi_X(\tau) = \exp\left(-\frac{2e^{\tau-C_{\max}}}{\tau}\left(1+O\left(\frac{1}{\tau^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right)+O\left(\frac{1}{A}\right)\right)\right),$$

since $\frac{e^\tau}{y} \ll \frac{1}{A^4\log A}$. This completes the proof for $\phi_X(\tau)$. A similar estimate holds for $\psi_X(\tau)$. □

References

[AH20] Amir Akbary and Alia Hamieh, *Value-distribution of cubic Hecke L-functions*, J. Number Theory **206** (2020), 81–122. MR 4013165

[AH21] ———, *Two dimensional value-distribution of cubic Hecke L-functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **149** (2021), no. 11, 4669–4684. MR 4310094

[AMM19] Christoph Aistleitner, Kamalakshya Mahatab, and Marc Munsch, *Extreme values of the Riemann zeta function on the 1-line*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2019), no. 22, 6924–6932. MR 4032179

[AMMP19] Christoph Aistleitner, Kamalakshya Mahatab, Marc Munsch, and Alexandre Peyrot, *On large values of $L(\sigma, \chi)$*, Q. J. Math. **70** (2019), no. 3, 831–848. MR 4009474

[BDFL10] Alina Bucur, Chantal David, Brooke Feigon, and Matilde Lalín, *Statistics for traces of cyclic trigonal curves over finite fields*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2010), no. 5, 932–967. MR 2595014

[BS17] Andriy Bondarenko and Kristian Seip, *Large greatest common divisor sums and extreme values of the Riemann zeta function*, Duke Math. J. **166** (2017), no. 9, 1685–1701. MR 3662441

[BY10] Stephan Baier and Matthew P. Young, *Mean values with cubic characters*, J. Number Theory **130** (2010), no. 4, 879–903. MR 2600408

[Cho49] S. Chowla, *Improvement of a theorem of Linnik and Walfisz*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) **50** (1949), 423–429. MR 27302

[Coh54] Harvey Cohn, *The density of abelian cubic fields*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **5** (1954), 476–477. MR 0064076

[DL18] Alexander Dahl and Youness Lamzouri, *The distribution of class numbers in a special family of real quadratic fields*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **370** (2018), no. 9, 6331–6356. MR 3814332

[GHS15] Andrew Granville, Adam J. Harper, and Kannan Soundararajan, *Mean values of multiplicative functions over function fields*, Res. Number Theory **1** (2015), Paper No. 25, 18. MR 3501009

[GL21] Andrew Granville and Youness Lamzouri, *Large deviations of sums of random variables*, Lith. Math. J. **61** (2021), no. 3, 345–372. MR 4313597

[GR90] S. W. Graham and C. J. Ringrose, *Lower bounds for least quadratic nonresidues*, Analytic number theory (Allerton Park, IL, 1989), Progr. Math., vol. 85, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1990, pp. 269–309. MR 1084186

[GS03] A. Granville and K. Soundararajan, *The distribution of values of $L(1, \chi_d)$*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **13** (2003), no. 5, 992–1028. MR 2024414

[GS06] ———, *Extreme values of $|\zeta(1 + it)|$. The Riemann zeta function and related themes: papers in honour of Professor K. Ramachandra*, Ramanujan Math. Soc. Lect. Notes Ser. **2** (2006), 65–80. MR 335187

[GS07] Andrew Granville and K. Soundararajan, *Large character sums: pretentious characters and the Pólya-Vinogradov theorem*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **20** (2007), no. 2, 357–384. MR 2276774

[HB88] D. R. Heath-Brown, *The growth rate of the Dedekind zeta-function on the critical line*, Acta Arith. **49** (1988), no. 4, 323–339. MR 0937931

[Hin83] Jürgen G. Hinz, *Character sums in algebraic number fields*, J. Number Theory **17** (1983), no. 1, 52–70. MR 712968

[Lam10] Youness Lamzouri, *Distribution of values of L-functions at the edge of the critical strip.*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) **100** (2010), no. 3, 835–863. MR 2640292

[Lam11] ———, *Extreme values of* $\arg L(1, \chi)$, Acta Arith. **146** (2011), no. 4, 335–354. MR 2747035

[Lam17] ———, *Large values of $L(1, \chi)$ for kth order characters χ and applications to character sums*, Mathematika **63** (2017), no. 1, 53–71. MR 3610005

[Lit28] J. E. Littlewood, *On the Class-Number of the Corpus $P(\sqrt{-k})$*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) **27** (1928), no. 5, 358–372. MR 1575396

[LLS15] Youness Lamzouri, Xiannan Li, and Kannan Soundararajan, *Conditional bounds for the least quadratic non-residue and related problems*, Math. Comp. **84** (2015), no. 295, 2391–2412. MR 3356031

[Lum18] Allysa Lumley, *Explicit bounds for L-functions on the edge of the critical strip*, J. Number Theory **188** (2018), 186–209. MR 3778630

[Lum19] ———, *Complex moments and the distribution of values of $L(1, \chi_D)$ over function fields with applications to class numbers*, Mathematika **65** (2019), no. 2, 236–271. MR 3884656

[Mon71] Hugh L. Montgomery, *Topics in multiplicative number theory*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 227, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1971. MR 0337847

[MV99] H. L. Montgomery and R. C. Vaughan, *Extreme values of Dirichlet L-functions at* 1, Number theory in progress, Vol. 2 (Zakopane-Kościelisko, 1997), de Gruyter, Berlin, 1999, pp. 1039–1052. MR 1689558

[RS62] J. Barkley Rosser and Lowell Schoenfeld, *Approximate formulas for some functions of prime numbers*, Illinois J. Math. **6** (1962), 64–94. MR 137689

Pranendu Darbar: Department of Mathematical Sciences, Norwegian University of Science and Technology NO-7491 Trondheim, Norway

Email address: darbarpranendu100@gmail.com

Chantal David: Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Concordia University, 1455 de Maisonneuve West, Montréal, QC H3G 1M8, Canada

Email address: chantal.david@concordia.ca

Matilde Lalín: Département de mathématiques et de statistique, Université de Montréal. CP 6128, succ. Centre-ville. Montreal, QC H3C 3J7, Canada

Email address: matilde.lalin@umontreal.ca

Allysa Lumley: Department of Mathematics and Statistics, York University, N520 Ross, 4700 Keele Street, Toronto, ON M3J 1P3, Canada

Email address: alumley2@yorku.ca