Sujets spéciaux en théorie des nombres - formes modulaires/ Special Topics in Number Theory - Modular Forms.

MAT 6684w

Homework 4. Due November 13, 2017

To get full credit solve **3** of the following problems (you are welcome to do them all). The answers may be submitted in English or French.

1. Let N be a positive integer, let p be a prime number, and let

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Gamma = \Gamma_0(N), \Gamma' = \Gamma \cap \alpha^{-1} \Gamma \alpha.$$

Determine a system of coset representatives for the quotient  $\Gamma' \setminus \Gamma$ .

Solution: As seen in class,

$$\alpha^{-1} \left( \begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ c & d \end{array} \right) \alpha = \left( \begin{array}{cc} a & bp \\ c/p & d \end{array} \right).$$

Thus

$$\Gamma' = \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ c & d \end{array} \right) \in \operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \middle| N \mid c, p \mid b \right\}.$$

Now consider the map  $\Gamma \to \operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ . When  $p \mid N$ , the image of the map consists of the matrices of the form  $\begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix}$  and the inverse image of  $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} * & 0 \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix} \right\}$  equals  $\Gamma'$ . Therefore,

$$\Gamma' \setminus \Gamma \cong \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{cc} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{array} \right) \middle| a \in \mathbb{F}_p^{\times} \right\} \setminus \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{array} \right) \middle| a \in \mathbb{F}_p^{\times}, b \in \mathbb{F}_p \right\}$$

The index of the quotient above is  $\frac{p(p-1)}{p-1} = p$ . A system of representatives is

$$\left\{ \left( \begin{array}{cc} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) \middle| 0 \le b \le p - 1 \right\},$$

since they are p elements and they are non-equivalent.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -b' \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b - b' \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

iff b = b'.

When  $p \nmid N$ , the image of the map is  $\operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  (since  $\Gamma_1(N) \subset \Gamma_0(N)$  and we proved that the map is surjective for  $\Gamma_1(N)$ ) and the inverse image of the matrices of the form  $\begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix}$  gives  $\Gamma'$ . This implies

$$\Gamma' \setminus \Gamma \cong \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{cc} a & 0 \\ c & a^{-1} \end{array} \right) \middle| a \in \mathbb{F}_p^{\times}, c \in \mathbb{F}_p \right\} \setminus \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$$

This is the case that was discussed in class. Thus we get

$$\left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{array}\right) \middle| 0 \le b \le p - 1 \right\} \cup \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cc} ap & 1 \\ cN & 1 \end{array}\right) \right\},\$$

where a, c are fixed numbers such that ap - cN = 1.

2. Prove that for any even integer  $k \ge 4$  and prime p we have

$$T_p G_k = \sigma_{k-1}(p) G_k$$

for the Eisenstein series  $G_k$  and the Hecke operator  $T_p$  on  $M_k(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}))$ .

Solution: Since the diamond operator is trivial for 
$$N = 1$$
, we have  

$$T_p G_k = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_{pn}(G_k) + p^{k-1} a_{n/p}(G_k)) q^n$$

$$= -(1+p^{k-1}) \frac{(2\pi i)^k B_k}{k!} + 2 \frac{(2\pi i)^k}{(k-1)!} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\sigma_{k-1}(pn) + p^{k-1} \sigma_{k-1}(n/p)) q^n$$

$$= -\sigma_{k-1}(p) \frac{(2\pi i)^k B_k}{k!} + 2 \frac{(2\pi i)^k}{(k-1)!} \sigma_{k-1}(p) \sum_{\substack{n \ge 1 \\ p \mid n}} \sigma_{k-1}(n) q^n$$

$$+ 2 \frac{(2\pi i)^k}{(k-1)!} \sum_{\substack{n \ge 1 \\ p \mid n}} (\sigma_{k-1}(pn) + p^{k-1} \sigma_{k-1}(n/p)) q^n$$

If  $p \mid n$ , we write  $n = p^{\ell} n_1$  with  $p \nmid n_1$ , we have  $\sigma_{k-1}(pn) + p^{k-1}\sigma_{k-1}(n/p) = \sigma_{k-1}(p^{\ell+1}n_1) + p^{k-1}\sigma_{k-1}(p^{\ell-1}n_1) = (\sigma_{k-1}(p^{\ell+1}) + p^{k-1}\sigma_{k-1}(p^{\ell-1})\sigma_{k-1}(n_1)) = \left(\frac{p^{(k-1)(\ell+2)} - 1}{p^{k-1} - 1} + p^{k-1}\frac{p^{(k-1)\ell} - 1}{p^{k-1} - 1}\right)\sigma_{k-1}(n_1) = \left(\frac{(p^{(k-1)(\ell+1)} - 1)(p^{k-1} + 1)}{p^{k-1} - 1}\right)\sigma_{k-1}(n_1) = \sigma_{k-1}(p^{\ell})\sigma_{k-1}(p)\sigma_{k-1}(n_1) = \sigma_{k-1}(p)\sigma_{k-1}(n).$ 

Combining with the computation above, this proves the result.

- 3. Let p be a prime and consider the lattice  $\Lambda := \mathbb{Z}\omega_1 + \mathbb{Z}\omega_2$ , where  $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$  and  $\omega_1/\omega_2 \notin \mathbb{R}$ .
  - (a) Show that there are exactly  $p^2 + p + 1$  lattices  $\Lambda' \subset \mathbb{C}$  satisfying  $\Lambda' \supset \Lambda$  and  $[\Lambda' : \Lambda] = p^2$ , and give a list of these.
  - (b) Try to generalize part (a) (e.g. replace  $[\Lambda' : \Lambda] = p^2$  by  $[\Lambda' : \Lambda] = p^k$  with  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ ).

**Solution:** (a) Let  $\Lambda' = \mathbb{Z}\nu_1 + \mathbb{Z}\nu_2$ . Then  $\omega_1 = a\nu_1 + b\nu_2$  and  $\omega_2 = c\nu_1 + d\nu_2$ with det  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = p^2$  Turning to Hermitian normal form gives  $\begin{pmatrix} a' & 0 \\ c' & d' \end{pmatrix}$  with a', d' > 0, and  $0 \le c' < d'$ . Also  $a'd' = p^2$ . Thus, we have three possibilities. (1)  $a' = p^2, d' = 1, c' = 0$  (2)  $a' = p = d', 0 \le c' < p$ , and (3)  $a' = 1, d' = p^2, 0 \le c' < p^2$ . This yields  $1 + p + p^2$  non-equivalent lattices of the form (1)  $\mathbb{Z}\frac{\omega_1}{p^2} + \mathbb{Z}\omega_2$ , (2)  $\mathbb{Z}\frac{\omega_1}{p} + \mathbb{Z}\frac{c\omega_1 + p\omega_2}{p^2}$ ,  $0 \le c < p$ , (3)  $\mathbb{Z}\omega_1 + \mathbb{Z}\frac{c\omega_1 + \omega_2}{p^2}, 0 \le c < p^2$ . (b) We consider the same transformation, but now we get that  $a' = p^j, d' = p^{k-j}$  and  $0 \le c' < p^{k-j}$ . We get,  $1 + p + \cdots + p^k$  lattices, namely, for  $j = 0, \ldots, k$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}\frac{\omega_1}{p^j} + \mathbb{Z}\frac{c\omega_1 + p^{k-j}\omega_2}{p^k}, 0 \le c < p^{k-j}$ ,

4. Calculate the matrix of the Hecke operator  $T_2$  on the space  $S_{24}(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}))$  with respect to a basis of your choice. Show that the characteristic polynomial of  $T_2$  is  $x^2 - 1080x - 20468736$ . (You may use a computer, but not a package in which this question can be solved with a one-line command.) **Solution:** There are various bases for  $S_{24}$ . A natural choice is

$$F_1 = E_4^3 \Delta = q + 696q^2 + 162252q^3 + 12831808q^4 + \cdots$$
  

$$F_2 = \Delta^2 = q^2 - 48q^3 + 1080q^4 + \cdots$$

$$T_2F_1 = (a_0(F_1) + 2^{23}a_0(F_1)) + a_2(F_1)q + (a_4(F_1) + 2^{23}a_1(F_1))q^2 + \cdots$$
  
=696q + 21220416q<sup>2</sup> + \cdots  
$$T_2F_2 = (a_0(F_2) + 2^{23}a_0(F_2)) + a_2(F_2)q + (a_4(F_2) + 2^{23}a_1(F_2))q^2 + \cdots$$
  
=q + 1080q<sup>2</sup>

Then

$$T_2F_1 = 696F_1 + 20736000F_2$$
$$T_2F_2 = F_1 + 384F_2$$

Finally,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 696 & 1\\ 20736000 & 384 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This has the characteristic polynomial of the statement.

5. Consider the formal (so we do not worry about convergence) generating function of the Hecke operators  $T_n$  on  $M_k(\Gamma_1(N))$ 

$$g(s) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} T_n n^{-s}.$$

Deduce the following formal product expansion (over all primes p):

$$g(s) = \prod_{p} (1 - T_p p^{-s} + \langle p \rangle p^{k-1-2s})^{-1},$$

where we assume that  $\langle p \rangle = 0$  when  $p \mid N$ .

**Solution:** Using multiplicativity of  $T_n$  and the Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetics, we have

$$g(s) = \prod_{p} (1 + T_p p^{-s} + T_{p^2} p^{-2s} + \cdots).$$

We look at  

$$(1 - T_p x + \langle p \rangle p^{k-1} x^2) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} T_{p^n} x^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} T_{p^n} x^n - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} T_p T_{p^n} x^{n+1} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle p \rangle p^{k-1} T_{p^n} x^{n+2}$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} T_{p^n} x^n - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} T_p T_{p^{n-1}} x^n + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \langle p \rangle p^{k-1} T_{p^{n-2}} x^n$$

$$= 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (T_{p^n} - T_p T_{p^{n-1}} + \langle p \rangle p^{k-1} T_{p^{n-2}}) x^n$$

$$= 1.$$

6. Let  $k, N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ , and let  $\chi$  be a Dirichlet character modulo N.

(a) For  $\gamma \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ , denote by  $d_{\gamma}$  the lower-right entry of  $\gamma$ . Show that

$$M_k(N,\chi) = \{ f \in M_k(\Gamma_1(N)) : f|_k \gamma = \chi(d_\gamma) f \text{ for all } \gamma \in \Gamma_0(N) \}$$

and

$$S_k(N,\chi) = \{ f \in S_k(\Gamma_1(N)) : f|_k \gamma = \chi(d_\gamma) f \text{ for all } \gamma \in \Gamma_0(N) \}$$

(b) Let  $\mathbf{1}_N$  denote the trivial character modulo N. Show that

 $M_k(N, \mathbf{1}_N) = M_k(\Gamma_0(N))$  and  $S_k(N, \mathbf{1}_N) = S_k(\Gamma_0(N)).$ 

**Solution:** (a) By definition,  $M_k(N, \chi)$  is the set of  $f \in M_k(\Gamma_1(N))$  such that  $\langle d \rangle f = \chi(d) f \qquad \forall d \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{\times}.$ 

But

$$(\langle d \rangle f)(z) = (cz+d)^{-k} f\left(\frac{az+b}{cz+d}\right) = f|_k \gamma_d(z).$$

and this, for the classes in  $\Gamma_1(N) \setminus \Gamma_0(N)$ .

Since every  $\gamma \in \Gamma_0(N)$  corresponds to a  $\langle d \rangle$ , and every  $\langle d \rangle$  gives rise to  $\gamma_d \in \Gamma_0(N)$ , we get the equality.

The case of cusps is similar and follows from the fact that  $\langle d \rangle$  preserves cusps (which is a consequence of the fact that  $T_{\alpha}$  preserves cusps).

(b) In this case we have

$$\langle d \rangle f = f \qquad \forall d \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$$

or

$$f|_k \gamma = f \qquad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma_1(N) \setminus \Gamma_0(N),$$

which is equivalent to saying that  $f \in M_k(\Gamma_0(N))$ .

The case of cusps is similar and follows from the fact that  $\langle d \rangle$  preserves cusps.

- 7. Let  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ , let  $f \in M_k(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}))$  be an eigenform, normalized such that  $a_1(f) = 1$ , and let p be a prime number. Let  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$  be the roots of the polynomial  $t^2 - a_p(f)t + p^{k-1}$ . You may use without proof that  $a_p(f)$  is real.
  - (a) Prove the formula

$$a_{p^r}(f) = \sum_{j=0}^r \alpha^j \beta^{r-j} \qquad \forall r \ge 0.$$

(b) Show that the following conditions are equivalent: (1)  $|a_p(f)| \leq 2p^{(k-1)/2}$ ; (2)  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are complex conjugates of absolute value  $p^{(k-1)/2}$ .

(c) Show that if the equivalent conditions of part (b) hold for all prime numbers p, then the q-expansion coefficients of f satisfy the bound

$$|a_n(f)| \le \sigma_0(n) n^{(k-1)/2} \qquad \forall n \ge 1,$$

where  $\sigma_0(n)$  is the number of (positive) divisors of n.

Note: If f is a cusp form, then the conditions of part (b) do hold. This follows from two very deep theorems proved by P. Deligne in 1968 and 1974.

**Solution:** (a) We have that

$$a_{p^r} = a_p a_{p^{r-1}} - p^{k-1} a_{p^{r-2}}$$

and  $a_p = \alpha + \beta$ ,  $p^{k-1} = \alpha\beta$ . We proceed by induction. Notice that  $a_1 = 1$  and the statement is also true for  $a_p$ . Suppose that

$$a_{p^{\ell}} = \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} \alpha^j \beta^{\ell-j} \qquad \forall 0 \le \ell < r$$

Now

$$a_{p^{r}} = a_{p}a_{p^{r-1}} - p^{k-1}a_{p^{r-2}}$$

$$= (\alpha + \beta) \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \alpha^{j}\beta^{r-1-j} - \alpha\beta \sum_{j=0}^{r-2} \alpha^{j}\beta^{r-2-j}$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \alpha^{j+1}\beta^{r-1-j} + \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \alpha^{j}\beta^{r-j} - \sum_{j=0}^{r-2} \alpha^{j+1}\beta^{r-1-j}$$

$$= \alpha^{r} + \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \alpha^{j}\beta^{r-j}$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{r} \alpha^{j}\beta^{r-j}.$$

(b) (2) 
$$\Rightarrow$$
 (1):  
 $|a_p(f)| = |\alpha + \beta| \le |\alpha| + |\beta| \le 2p^{(k-1)/2}.$   
(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): The roots of  $t^2 - a_p(f)t + p^{k-1}$  are

$$\frac{a_p(f) \pm \sqrt{a_p(f)^2 - 4p^{k-1}}}{2}.$$

If  $|a_p(f)| \leq 2p^{(k-1)/2}$ , then the discriminant is negative (since  $a_p(f)$  is real), and the absolute value of each roots is

$$\frac{\sqrt{a_p(f)^2 + 4p^{k-1} - a_p(f)^2}}{2} = p^{(k-1)/2}.$$

(c) By part (a) we have

$$|a_{p^r}(f)| \le \sum_{j=0}^r |\alpha^j \beta^{r-j}| = (r+1)p^{r(k-1)/2} = \sigma_0(p^r)(p^r)^{(k-1)/2}.$$

For arbitrary n, let

$$n = \prod_{p \text{prime}} p^{e_p}$$

be the prime factorization of n, where  $e_p \geq 0$  and  $e_p = 0$  for all but finitely many p. Then

$$|a_n| = \prod_p |a_{p^{e_p}}| \le \prod_p \sigma_0(p^{e_p})(p^{e_p})^{(k-1)/2} = \sigma_0(n)n^{(k-1)/2}$$

since  $\sigma_0(n)$  is multiplicative.