On the Mahler measure of resultants in small dimensions

AMS Joint Mathematics Meeting – San Antonio, TX Special session in Mahler Measure and Heights January 13th, 2006

Matilde N. Lalín (joint work with Carlos D'Andrea)

Mahler measure of multivariate polynomials

Definition 1 For $P \in \mathbb{C}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, x_n^{\pm 1}]$, the (logarithmic) Mahler measure is defined by

$$m(P) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n} \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} \log |P(x_1, \dots, x_n)| \frac{\mathrm{d}x_1}{x_1} \dots \frac{\mathrm{d}x_n}{x_n}$$
$$\mathbb{T}^n = S^1 \times \dots \times S^1.$$

For example, Smyth [Smy1] computed

$$m(1+x+y) = \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{4\pi} L(\chi_{-3}, 2) = L'(\chi_{-3}, -1)$$

$$\mathcal{L}(\chi_{-3},s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi_{-3}(n)}{n^s} \qquad \chi_{-3}(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & n \equiv 1 \mod 3\\ -1 & n \equiv -1 \mod 3\\ 0 & n \equiv 0 \mod 3 \end{cases}.$$

The mixed sparse resultant

Let $\mathcal{A}_0, \ldots, \mathcal{A}_n \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ be finite sets of integer vectors, $\mathcal{A}_i := \{a_{ij}\}_{j=1,\ldots,k_i}$, which jointly span the lattice \mathbb{Z}^n .

Consider the system

$$F_i(t_1, \dots, t_n) := \sum_{j=1}^{k_i} x_{ij} \mathbf{t}^{a_{ij}} = 0 \quad i = 0, \dots, n$$
(1)

of Laurent polynomials, where $\mathbf{t}^a = t_1^{a_1} t_2^{a_2} \dots t_n^{a_n}$ for $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$.

The associated mixed sparse resultant $\operatorname{Res}_{\mathcal{A}_0,\ldots,\mathcal{A}_n} \in \mathbb{Z}[X_0,\ldots,X_n]$ is an irreducible polynomial in n + 1 groups $X_i := \{x_{ij}; 1 \leq j \leq k_i\}$ of k_i variables each. The resultant vanishes on a specialization of the x_{ij} in an algebraically closed field K iff the system (1) has a common solution in $(K \setminus \{0\})^n$. See definitions in [CLO, Stu],

Examples

• If we choose, $\mathcal{A}_0 = \{0, \ldots, d_0\}$, $\mathcal{A}_1 = \{0, \ldots, d_1\} \subset \mathbb{Z}$, then $\operatorname{Res}_{\mathcal{A}_0, \mathcal{A}_1}$ is the Sylvester resultant of two univariate polynomials of degree d_0 and d_1 .

• If we choose $\mathcal{A}_0 = \mathcal{A}_1 = \ldots = \mathcal{A}_n = \{(0, \ldots, 0), (1, 0, \ldots, 0), \ldots, (0, \ldots, 0, 1)\}$, then we obtain a system of linear equations

$$x_{i0} + x_{i1}t_1 + \ldots + x_{in}t_n = 0$$
 $i = 0, \ldots, n$

and $\operatorname{Res}_{\mathcal{A}_0,\ldots,\mathcal{A}_n} = \det(x_{ij}).$

Previous work

Some previous work include

• Theorem 2 (Sombra [Som])

$$h(\operatorname{Res}_{\mathcal{A}_0,\dots,\mathcal{A}_n}), m(\operatorname{Res}_{\mathcal{A}_0,\dots,\mathcal{A}_n}) \leq \frac{1}{[\mathbb{Z}^n : L_{\mathcal{A}}]} \sum_{i=0}^n MV(Q_0,\dots,Q_{i-1},Q_{i+1},\dots,Q_n) \log(\#\mathcal{A}_i)$$

Where $L_{\mathcal{A}} \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ denotes the \mathbb{Z} -module spanned by the pointwise sum $\sum_{i=0}^n \mathcal{A}_i$, Q_i is the convex hull of \mathcal{A}_i , and MV denotes the mixed volume function. Implies, for the Sylvester resultant,

$$H(\operatorname{Res}(f_{(m)}, g_{(n)})) \le (m+1)^n (n+1)^m$$

• D'Andrea and Hare [DH] computed the height of the Sylvester resultant of two polynomials, H(Res(f,g)), when one of the polynomials is quadratic and found a tight estimate when one of the polynomials is cubic. In particular,

$$H(\operatorname{Res}(f_0 + f_1 x + f_2 x^2, g_{(n)})) \sim \frac{2.3644}{\sqrt{n\pi}} 1.6180^n - O\left(\frac{1.6180^n}{n\sqrt{n}}\right)$$
$$H(\operatorname{Res}(f_0 + f_1 x + f_2 x^2 + f_3 x^3, g_{(n)})) \sim \frac{8.13488}{n\pi} 1.83928^n - O\left(\frac{1.83928^n}{n^2}\right)$$

Mahler measure and heights differ

Mahler measures and heights behave completely different in resultants. For instance, take $n = 1, A_0 = \{0, 1\}, A_1 = \{0, 1, \dots, \ell\}$, then

$$\operatorname{Res}_{\mathcal{A}_0,\mathcal{A}_1} = \pm \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} (-1)^j x_{1j} x_{00}^{\ell-j} x_{01}^j,$$

so $h(\operatorname{Res}_{\mathcal{A}_0,\mathcal{A}_1}) = 0.$

But setting $y_j = (-1)^j x_{1j} x_{00}^{\ell-j} x_{01}^j$,

$$m(\operatorname{Res}_{\mathcal{A}_0,\mathcal{A}_1}) = m\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\ell} y_j\right).$$

 $m(\operatorname{Res}_{\mathcal{A}_0,\ldots,\mathcal{A}_n})$ when the Newton polytope has low dimension

Our work focuses on explicit computations for cases when $N(\text{Res}_{\mathcal{A}_0,\ldots,\mathcal{A}_n})$ has low dimension. We use the following Theorem

Theorem 3 (Sturmfelds, [Stu])

$$\dim(N(\operatorname{Res}_{\mathcal{A}_0,\dots,\mathcal{A}_n})) = k - 2n - 1,$$

where $k = \sum_{i=0}^{n} k_i$.

Using this Theorem we can prove

• Theorem 4

$$m(\operatorname{Res}_{\mathcal{A}_0,\dots,\mathcal{A}_n}) = 0 \iff \dim(N(\operatorname{Res}_{\mathcal{A}_0,\dots,\mathcal{A}_n})) = 1.$$

(Because the system must be like $x_{j1}t_j^{\eta_j} - x_{j2}$ for $j = 0, \ldots, n$).

• When $\dim(N(\operatorname{Res}_{\mathcal{A}_0,\ldots,\mathcal{A}_n})) = 2$, we can assume $k_0 = 3, k_1 = \ldots = k_n = 2$ by the Theorem above. We can think of the system as

$$F_{0}(t_{1},...,t_{n}) = x_{01}\mathbf{t}^{a_{01}} + x_{02}\mathbf{t}^{a_{02}} + x_{03}\mathbf{t}^{a_{03}}$$

$$F_{1}(t_{1},...,t_{n}) = x_{11}t_{1}^{\eta_{1}} - x_{12},$$

$$...$$

$$F_{n}(t_{1},...,t_{n}) = x_{n1}t_{n}^{\eta_{n}} - x_{n2}.$$
(2)

Let $\eta := \eta_1 + \eta_2 + \ldots + \eta_n$, then

Theorem 5 For systems having support as in (2),

$$m(\operatorname{Res}_{\mathcal{A}_0,\dots,\mathcal{A}_n}) = \eta \, \mathcal{L}'(\chi_{-3},-1).$$

Theorem 6 With the notation established above, for systems as

$$F_{0} = x_{01}\mathbf{t}^{a_{01}} + x_{02}\mathbf{t}^{a_{02}} + \ldots + x_{0\ell}\mathbf{t}^{a_{0\ell}},$$

$$F_{1} = x_{11}t_{1}^{\eta_{1}} - x_{12},$$

$$\ldots \qquad \ldots$$

$$F_{n} = x_{n1}t_{n}^{\eta_{n}} - x_{n2}.$$
(3)

we have

$$m(\text{Res}_{\mathcal{A}_0,...,\mathcal{A}_n}) = \eta \, m(1 + s_1 + s_2 + \ldots + s_{\ell-1})$$

Asymptotics for these Mahler measures were studied in [Smy1, R-VTV].

- Finally, we study the case where $\dim(N(\operatorname{Res}_{\mathcal{A}_0,\ldots,\mathcal{A}_n})) = 3$. We have two possibilities:
 - 1. $k_0 = 4, k_1 = k_2 = \ldots = k_n = 2$. This is a system of the form (3), and hence we have that

$$m(\operatorname{Res}_{\mathcal{A}_0,\dots,\mathcal{A}_n}) = \eta \, \frac{\gamma}{2\pi^2} \zeta(3),$$

2. $k_0 = k_1 = 3, k_2 = k_3 = \ldots = k_n = 2.$

$$F_{0} = x_{01}\mathbf{t}^{a_{01}} + x_{02}\mathbf{t}^{a_{02}} + x_{03}\mathbf{t}^{a_{03}},$$

$$F_{1} = x_{11}\mathbf{t}^{a_{11}} + x_{12}\mathbf{t}^{a_{12}} + x_{13}\mathbf{t}^{a_{13}},$$

$$F_{2} = x_{21}t_{2}^{\eta_{2}} - x_{22},$$

$$\dots \dots$$

$$F_{n} = x_{n1}t_{n}^{\eta_{n}} - x_{n2}.$$
(4)

This case can be reduced to

Theorem 7

$$m(\operatorname{Res}_{\mathcal{A}_0,\dots,\mathcal{A}_n}) = \eta \, m(\operatorname{Res}_{\mathcal{A}'_0,\mathcal{A}'_1})$$

where

$$\mathcal{A}_0' := \{\alpha_{01}, \alpha_{02}, \alpha_{03}\}, \mathcal{A}_1' := \{\alpha_{11}, \alpha_{12}, \alpha_{13}\}$$

and $\alpha_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$ is the first coordinate of the vector a_{ij} , i = 0, 1, j = 1, 2, 3.

 $m(\mathrm{Res}_{\mathcal{A}_0',\mathcal{A}_1'})$ seems to be hard to compute. We have obtained the following partial result:

Theorem 8 Suppose that $\mathcal{A}'_0 = \mathcal{A}'_1$, both having cardinality three, w.l.o.g. we can suppose that $\mathcal{A}'_0 = \{0, p, q\}$, with p < q and gcd(p, q) = 1. Then,

$$m(\operatorname{Res}_{\mathcal{A}_{0}^{\prime},\mathcal{A}_{1}^{\prime}}) = \frac{2}{\pi^{2}} \left(-p\mathcal{L}_{3}(\varphi^{q}) - q\mathcal{L}_{3}(-\varphi^{p}) + p\mathcal{L}_{3}(\phi^{q}) + q\mathcal{L}_{3}(\phi^{p})\right)$$

where φ is the real root of $x^q + x^{q-p} - 1 = 0$ such that $0 \le \varphi \le 1$, and ϕ is the real root of $x^q - x^{q-p} - 1 = 0$ such that $1 \le \phi$. Finally,

$$\mathcal{L}_3(z) = \operatorname{Re}\left(\operatorname{Li}_3(z) - \log|z|\operatorname{Li}_2(z) + \frac{1}{3}\log^2|z|\operatorname{Li}_1(z)\right).$$

is a modified version of the trilogarithm.

In particular,

$$m(\operatorname{Res}_{\{\{0,1,2\},\{0,1,2\}\}}) = \frac{4\sqrt{5}\zeta_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})}(3)}{\pi^2\zeta(3)}$$

The proof rests in writing the resultant as $z - \frac{(1-x)^p(1-y)^{q-p}}{(1-xy)^q}$.

• We studied also an example in dimension 4. Take n = 2 and

$$\mathcal{A}_0 = \mathcal{A}_1 = \mathcal{A}_2 = \mathcal{A} := \{(0,0), (1,0), (0,1)\}.$$

Then the resultant is the 3x3 determinant. We have

Theorem 9

$$m(\operatorname{Res}_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A}}) = \frac{9\zeta(3)}{2\pi^2}.$$

The proof consists in writing the resultant as (x-1)(y-1) - (z-1)(w-1).

Why should we expect such values?

Let \mathcal{X} be the irreducible surface in \mathbb{C}^k defined by $\mathcal{X} := \{ \operatorname{Res}_{\mathcal{A}_0, \dots, \mathcal{A}_n} = 0 \}.$

Theorem 10 The symbol

$$\{x_{01},\ldots,x_{0k_0},\ldots,x_{n1},\ldots,x_{nk_n}\}\in K_k^M(\mathbb{C}(\mathcal{X}))_{\mathbb{Q}}$$
(5)

is trivial.

This implies that the tame symbols of the facets are trivial and that the first regulator is exact. This is the first step that may led to a Mahler measure involving special values of polylogarithms [RV, Lal2].

References

- [CLO] Cox, David; Little, John; O'Shea, Donal. Using algebraic geometry. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 185. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998.
- [DH] D'Andrea, Carlos; Hare, Kevin. On the height of the Sylvester resultant. Experiment. Math. 13 (2004), no. 3, 331–341.
- [Lal2] Lalín, Matilde. An algebraic integration for Mahler measure. Preprint September 2005.
- [RV] Rodriguez-Villegas, Fernando. Modular Mahler measures I. Topics in number theory (University Park, PA 1997), 17–48, Math. Appl., 467, Kluwer Acad. Publ. Dordrecht, 1999.
- [R-VTV] Rodriguez-Villegas, Fernando; Toledano, Ricardo; Vaaler, Jeffrey. Estimates for Mahler's measure of a linear form. Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. (2) 47 (2004), no. 2, 473–494.
- [Smy1] Smyth, Christopher J. On measures of polynomials in several variables. Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 23 (1981), no. 1, 49–63. Corrigendum: Myerson G.;Smyth, C. J. 26 (1982), 317–319.
- [Som] Sombra, Martín. The height of the Mixed Sparse resultant. Amer. J. Math. 126 (2004), no. 6, 1253–1260.
- [Stu] Sturmfels, Bernd. On the Newton polytope of the resultant. J. Algebraic Combin. 3 (1994), no. 2, 207–236.